The stuarts learned nothing from the civil war



The stuarts learned nothing from the civ... – Paper Example

As history proves, the Stuart learned nothing from the Civil War. One would think that after hiding away, awaiting the day that England would decide to restore its monarchy, they would have realized the faults in their fathers beliefs that had caused the disastrous CM war. Once they had reclaimed the throne from 11 years of Commonwealth, they followed the ways of their father, Charles, into the belief of the Divine Right of Kings. This made Parliament wonder, did the Stuart learn any thing from the Civil War?

Charles was the first monarch after the Civil War. When parliament decided that England needed a king, Charles was called over from France where he had been hiding from Oliver Cromwell army. Charles" was a hide- away Catholic, because he, unlike his father, was attempting to stay on parliaments good side. Creditableness religious tolerance, which gave him the ability to worship in the Catholic style, while keeping parliament happy. Although Charles never went to the extremes of his predecessors. He still was a believer In the Divine

Right of Kings, giving him, in his mind, full power over England. All in all, though Charles was not a bad ruler to fix much of England's problems, he still kept the beliefs of his father. As said by Judge Blackstone, The constitution of England had arrived to Its full vigor, and the true balance between liberty and prerogative was happily established by law, in the reign of King Charles the Secondarily, unlike his brother Charles", was just like his father. James believed, to the extreme, in the Divine right of Kings.

Along with his previously stated belief, James also believed in Absolute Monarchy and was a devote Catholic. These three beliefs caused James to be

The stuarts learned nothing from the civ... – Paper Example

very much disliked by parliament, and therefore, the people. After putting up with two years of his reign, Parliament finally called in Mary, Counterproposal daughter, and William of Orange, her husband, to come and force James to abdicate the throne. This change was welcome. Although James and his descendants, who are known as the Jackboots, tried to reclaim the throne they never succeeded.

James did to, in recorded history, learn any thing from his fathers mistakes. Both Charles and James were not the best monarchs England had ever seen. Both Charles and James were believers In the Divine Right of Kings. Both Charles" and lames were images of their father. Charles was a hidden believer. He never showed his true motive until its time had come, even if many of those times never came to pass. James was an open believer. Even more like his father, he did not care what people thought, and showed off his beliefs for all he was worth, which In his mind as a lot.

This is how by 1 688, and probably sooner, parliaments suspicions were proved. The Stuart learned nothing from the Civil War. Bibliographically al. , The Columbia Encyclopedia, Sixth Edition FoxPro, Charles James. The Speeches of the Right Honorable Charles James Fox, In the House of Commons. University of Michigan: Longhand, Hurst, Reese, Rome, and Brown, 1815. 1 OFF James II, nntp:/ . Encyclopedia. Com/doc/l El-Gamesmen. . NET tote. N BЂỹ This was an in class writing test so there is not as much detail as on an actual essay.