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Gardasil has been approved by the FDA this June 29, 2006 as a vaccine for HPV (humanpapillomavirus) caused cervical cancer. Despite the approval, many people refuse its compulsory or required vaccination for women. Consideration whether it should or it should not be required for vaccination questions whether gardasil is really safe and effective, the length of time of the experimentation and the number of respondents as to concretize the pre-licensure trial, and if the price set by Merck and his company gives justice to the consumer.
According to National Vaccine Information Center (NVIC) president Barbara Loe Fisher, pre-licensure trials of gardasil have not been disclosed neither by the FDA nor Merck. They did not reveal the truth and made it appear that the whole procedure has been safety. Far from the knowledge of the consumers, gardasil contains aluminum adjuvant that has potential health risk. Merck neither the FDA revealed that the aluminum content of Gardasil is 225 mcg. Researches show the unfavorable effects of aluminum with respect to health (Redhead K. et al. 1992). It has been determined that aluminum adjuvant produces the high risk of aluminum to enter the brain. Other than that there were serious adverse reactions such as headache, gastroenteritis, arthritis, appendicitis etc. that also manifested to gardasil recipients during the clinical trials. Loe Fisher told that, “ Merck and the FDA have not been completely honest with the people,” which is the right impression.
Health-wise, it is still very doubtful for gardasil to be considered a complete vaccine. Though Merck promises that gardasil can prevent four strain of HPV, it only works to about 70 percent of humanpapillomavirus. Those who will be vaccinated by gardasil will just be protected to that 70 percent of HPV and remain unprotected to that 30 percent more. Gardasil will not work to patient who already has HPV which implies that it can not be used as a treatment to the presence of HPV, too early to say that it is already a complete and effective vaccine.
Another is that the said immunization has been tested to women with ages ranging from 9-26 years old. We should not be ignorant that based on the current researches, according to experts (Main Cancer Registry, 2006), data shows that incident rates of HPV related cervical cancer is lower during the bracket ages lower than 30. Cervical cancer is at higher risk at the age of thirty above. The period by which the research has been conducted and the number of respondents used is not sufficient to conclude that the pre-licensure trial is a success. Higher risk of cervical cancer happened during forties and above then those ages should also be included.
Is the vaccine worth of its cost? (A course of three shots over six months will cost from $300 to $500.) Could you imagine the price that Merck has given to the said vaccine which could not be a reality to some countries that conducts mass vaccination especially to poor regions? Giving the vaccine right away is too hasty and setting the price is exaggerating too. The point is, there has been proven negative reactions during the experimental period and we do not want those people from non-stabilized economic regions just to pay for a wrong diagnosis or a medicine that is still under doubts.
Therefore it is a hasty and careless move to implement that Gardasil vaccination should be made a requirement. Safety and efficacy are our main concerns and it is still a way too early to conclude that gardasil vaccine be made compulsory. More research and analysis should be made in order to wholly drive to the conclusion that gardasil will really benefit human needs.
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Aluminum has an adverse long term effect both to human and to animals based on the data resulted from the conducted tests. It is therefore needed that its usage should be of precautionary measure or in avoidance since this may critically affect many normal health functions.
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