Rorschach week 6

IS THE RORSCHACH VALID?- Not answerable because Rorschach and valid are ambiguous

- Global conclusions are unlikely (must be criterion by criterion)

- Each method is of value in some areas and limited in others

> Self-report best when focus is on consciously available, behavioral dimensions of functioning [which the subject is not motivated to hide]

> Rorschach best for unconscious or structural dimensions of functionCONCLUSIONS- Admissible in court BUT use the Comprehensive system

- And rely on Structural Summary rather than sequence or content analysisSPA WHITE PAPER- Written for judges, attorneys, administrators, and public

- Rorschach has " documented reliability and validity similar to other generally accepted test instruments" ERARD & EVANS 2006- Took detractors to task for failing to follow the very principles of good science that they claim as the basis of their critiques:

> Factual accuracy

> Thoroughness

> HumilityMELOY- Concluded: Rorschach " continues to have authority, or weight, in higher courts of appeal" STRUCTURAL SUMMARY- The ultimate objective for scoring is to compute the structural summary

- Handscore the first hundred--so you know where things come from

- Use Chapter 9 in the book to walk you through the stepsSTRUCTURAL SUMMARY: UPPER SECTION (8)1. ORGANIZATIONAL ACTIVITY

2. LOCATION CODES

3. DEVELOPMENTAL QUALITY

4. DETERMINANTS

5. FORM QUALITY

6. CONTENTS (27 categories)

7. APPROACH SUMMARY

8. SPECIAL SCORESSTRUCTURAL SUMMARY: UPPER SECTION

ORGANIZATIONAL ACTIVITY> Zf: frequency of Z-scores

> Zsum: sum of the weighted Z-scores

> Zest: the Zsum expected from a given ZfSTRUCTURAL SUMMARY: UPPER

SECTION

LOCATION CODES> W, D, Dd, SSTRUCTURAL SUMMARY: UPPER SECTION

DEVELOPMENTAL QUALITY> For all responses: +, o, v/+, v

> For responses with (-) form quality: +, o, v/+, vSTRUCTURAL SUMMARY:

UPPER SECTION

DETERMINANTS> Single: each separately occurring determinant is recorded

> Blends (combinations of determinants): all blends are recorded here

> BE SURE when you are getting counts, to include BOTH Single and Blend

determinantsSTRUCTURAL SUMMARY: UPPER SECTION

FORM QUALITY> FQx: form quality for all responses

> MQual: form quality for M responses

> W+D: form quality for all W and D responsesSTRUCTURAL SUMMARY:

UPPER SECTION

CONTENTS> Freq of responses in each category (primary content,

secondary/additional content)STRUCTURAL SUMMARY: UPPER SECTION

APPROACH SUMMARY> The sequence of location codes for each card (WS,

W, Dd, D)STRUCTURAL SUMMARY: UPPER SECTION

SPECIAL SCORES> Level 1 and Level 2--freq of special scores in each level

(DV, INCOM, DR, FABCOM)

> Raw Sum6--freq of special scores (including ALOG and CONTAM)

> WSum6--weighted sum of the special scoresDV1= 1, DV2= 2, INCOM1= 2,

INCOM2= 4, DR1= 3, DR2= 6, FABCOM1= 4, FABCOM2= 7, ALOG= 5,

CONTAM= 7.

STRUCTURAL SUMMARY: LOWER SECTION (8)1. CORE

2. IDEATION

3. AFFECT

4. MEDIATION

5. PROCESSING

6. INTERPERSONAL

7. SELF-REFLECTION

8. COMPOSITE INDEX SCORESSTRUCTURAL SUMMARY: LOWER SECTION

CORE- R= total number of responses

- L (Lambda)= # of pure F divided by R-F

- EB (Erlebnistypus) = Total # of M responses : WtSumC {FC (. 5) + CF (1. 0)

+ C (1. 5)}

- EA (Experience Actual) = Sum M + WtSumC- EB Per (Pervasive)= divide

larger number in EB by the smaller

> Calculate only if:

> EA is greater than 4

> L is less than 1. 0

> if EA 2. 0 the smaller side

> if EA > 10, then one side of EB must be > 2. 5 the smaller side

- eb (Experience Base)= Sum FM+m : Sum all variations C'+T+Y+V

- es (Experience stimulation)= sum of both sides of eb

- D= EA-es converted with 2. 5 as standard deviation

> -2. 5 to +2. 5 = 0

> -5. 0 to +5. 0 = 1 , etc

- Adj es= all but 1 m and 1 Y are removed from es to get Adj es

- Adj D= use adj es to get adj D as above

STRUCTURAL SUMMARY: LOWER SECTION

IDEATIONM- is the number of M with minus FQ

M-none is M without FQ (e. g. it is love) (from any vague scores)STRUCTURAL

SUMMARY: LOWER SECTION

AFFECT- FC : CF + C + Cn (not weighted here, just counts)

- Pure C above (also includes Cn)

- AFR = # of responses to the last 3 cards divided by the # to the first 7

cardsSTRUCTURAL SUMMARY: LOWER SECTION

MEDIATION- XA+% (form appropriate extended) = # of all (+), (o), and (u)

FQ over R

- WDA% (Form Appropriate--Common Areas) = # of W and D responses with

FQ +, o, and u / # of all W + D responses

- S- This is a count of the number of S responses that have - FQ

- X+% (conventional use of form) = # of FQ + and o / RSTRUCTURAL

SUMMARY: LOWER SECTION

PROCESSINGZd = Zsum - Zest (with appropriate sign)STRUCTURAL

SUMMARY: LOWER SECTION

INTERPERSONALHuman Content--H + (H) + Hd + (Hd) (Interpersonal

Interest) Hx is not includedSTRUCTURAL SUMMARY: LOWER SECTION

SELF-REFLECTION- Egocentricity Index 3r+(2) / R

- r = # of reflections

- (2) = # of pairsSTRUCTURAL SUMMARY: LOWER SECTION

COMPOSITE INDEX SCORES (6)6 indices derived from compiling a number of indicators

** Age-adjusted cutoffs for three variables:

1. WSUM6

2. 3r+(2)/R

3. Afr

NOTE cutoff values to be used

1. PTI (Perceptual-Thinking Index)

2. DEPI (Depression Index)

> Sum Shading does NOT include C'

3. CDI (Coping Deficit Index)

4. S-Con (Suicide Constellation)

> Don't overlook that FV + VF + V ALSO includes FD

5. HVI (Hypervigilance Index)

6. OBS (Obsessive Style Index)NORMS- In general look for a variance of a standard deviation or more from the norm to interpret an indicator

- The further from the mean, the more significant ONRORSCHACH WEEK 6

SPECIFICALLY FOR YOUFOR ONLY$13. 90/PAGEOrder Now