

# [Rorschach week 6](https://assignbuster.com/rorschach-week-6/)

IS THE RORSCHACH VALID?- Not answerable because Rorschach and valid are ambiguous   
- Global conclusions are unlikely (must be criterion by criterion)   
- Each method is of value in some areas and limited in others   
> Self-report best when focus is on consciously available, behavioral dimensions of functioning [which the subject is not motivated to hide]   
> Rorschach best for unconscious or structural dimensions of functionCONCLUSIONS- Admissible in court BUT use the Comprehensive system   
- And rely on Structural Summary rather than sequence or content analysisSPA WHITE PAPER- Written for judges, attorneys, administrators, and public   
- Rorschach has " documented reliability and validity similar to other generally accepted test instruments" ERARD & EVANS 2006- Took detractors to task for failing to follow the very principles of good science that they claim as the basis of their critiques:   
> Factual accuracy   
> Thoroughness   
> HumilityMELOY- Concluded: Rorschach " continues to have authority, or weight, in higher courts of appeal" STRUCTURAL SUMMARY- The ultimate objective for scoring is to compute the structural summary   
- Handscore the first hundred--so you know where things come from   
- Use Chapter 9 in the book to walk you through the stepsSTRUCTURAL SUMMARY: UPPER SECTION (8)1. ORGANIZATIONAL ACTIVITY   
2. LOCATION CODES   
3. DEVELOPMENTAL QUALITY   
4. DETERMINANTS   
5. FORM QUALITY   
6. CONTENTS (27 categories)   
7. APPROACH SUMMARY   
8. SPECIAL SCORESSTRUCTURAL SUMMARY: UPPER SECTION   
ORGANIZATIONAL ACTIVITY> Zf: frequency of Z-scores   
> Zsum: sum of the weighted Z-scores   
> Zest: the Zsum expected from a given ZfSTRUCTURAL SUMMARY: UPPER SECTION   
LOCATION CODES> W, D, Dd, SSTRUCTURAL SUMMARY: UPPER SECTION   
DEVELOPMENTAL QUALITY> For all responses: +, o, v/+, v   
> For responses with (-) form quality: +, o, v/+, vSTRUCTURAL SUMMARY: UPPER SECTION   
DETERMINANTS> Single: each separately occurring determinant is recorded   
> Blends (combinations of determinants): all blends are recorded here   
> BE SURE when you are getting counts, to include BOTH Single and Blend determinantsSTRUCTURAL SUMMARY: UPPER SECTION   
FORM QUALITY> FQx: form quality for all responses   
> MQual: form quality for M responses   
> W+D: form quality for all W and D responsesSTRUCTURAL SUMMARY: UPPER SECTION   
CONTENTS> Freq of responses in each category (primary content, secondary/additional content)STRUCTURAL SUMMARY: UPPER SECTION   
APPROACH SUMMARY> The sequence of location codes for each card (WS, W, Dd, D)STRUCTURAL SUMMARY: UPPER SECTION   
SPECIAL SCORES> Level 1 and Level 2--freq of special scores in each level (DV, INCOM, DR, FABCOM)   
> Raw Sum6--freq of special scores (including ALOG and CONTAM)   
> WSum6--weighted sum of the special scoresDV1= 1, DV2= 2, INCOM1= 2, INCOM2= 4, DR1= 3, DR2= 6, FABCOM1= 4, FABCOM2= 7, ALOG= 5, CONTAM= 7.

STRUCTURAL SUMMARY: LOWER SECTION (8)1. CORE   
2. IDEATION   
3. AFFECT   
4. MEDIATION   
5. PROCESSING   
6. INTERPERSONAL   
7. SELF-REFLECTION   
8. COMPOSITE INDEX SCORESSTRUCTURAL SUMMARY: LOWER SECTION   
CORE- R= total number of responses   
- L (Lambda)= # of pure F divided by R-F   
- EB (Erlebnistypus) = Total # of M responses : WtSumC {FC (. 5) + CF (1. 0) + C (1. 5)}   
- EA (Experience Actual) = Sum M + WtSumC- EB Per (Pervasive)= divide larger number in EB by the smaller   
> Calculate only if:   
> EA is greater than 4   
> L is less than 1. 0   
> if EA 2. 0 the smaller side   
> if EA > 10, then one side of EB must be > 2. 5 the smaller side

- eb (Experience Base)= Sum FM+m : Sum all variations C'+T+Y+V   
- es (Experience stimulation)= sum of both sides of eb   
- D= EA-es converted with 2. 5 as standard deviation   
> -2. 5 to +2. 5 = 0   
> -5. 0 to +5. 0 = 1 , etc

- Adj es= all but 1 m and 1 Y are removed from es to get Adj es   
- Adj D= use adj es to get adj D as above

STRUCTURAL SUMMARY: LOWER SECTION   
IDEATIONM- is the number of M with minus FQ   
M-none is M without FQ (e. g. it is love) (from any vague scores)STRUCTURAL SUMMARY: LOWER SECTION   
AFFECT- FC : CF + C + Cn (not weighted here, just counts)   
- Pure C above (also includes Cn)   
- AFR = # of responses to the last 3 cards divided by the # to the first 7 cardsSTRUCTURAL SUMMARY: LOWER SECTION   
MEDIATION- XA+% (form appropriate extended) = # of all (+), (o), and (u) FQ over R   
- WDA% (Form Appropriate--Common Areas) = # of W and D responses with FQ +, o, and u / # of all W + D responses   
- S- This is a count of the number of S responses that have - FQ   
- X+% (conventional use of form) = # of FQ + and o / RSTRUCTURAL SUMMARY: LOWER SECTION   
PROCESSINGZd = Zsum - Zest (with appropriate sign)STRUCTURAL SUMMARY: LOWER SECTION   
INTERPERSONALHuman Content--H + (H) + Hd + (Hd) (Interpersonal Interest) Hx is not includedSTRUCTURAL SUMMARY: LOWER SECTION   
SELF-REFLECTION- Egocentricity Index 3r+(2) / R   
- r = # of reflections   
- (2) = # of pairsSTRUCTURAL SUMMARY: LOWER SECTION   
COMPOSITE INDEX SCORES (6)6 indices derived from compiling a number of indicators   
\*\* Age-adjusted cutoffs for three variables:   
1. WSUM6   
2. 3r+(2)/R   
3. Afr   
NOTE cutoff values to be used   
1. PTI (Perceptual-Thinking Index)   
2. DEPI (Depression Index)   
> Sum Shading does NOT include C'   
3. CDI (Coping Deficit Index)   
4. S-Con (Suicide Constellation)   
> Don't overlook that FV + VF + V ALSO includes FD   
5. HVI (Hypervigilance Index)   
6. OBS (Obsessive Style Index)NORMS- In general look for a variance of a standard deviation or more from the norm to interpret an indicator   
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