

Editorial: action effects in perception and action

[Health & Medicine](#)



**ASSIGN
BUSTER**

Good scientific theories should be simple, valid, and stimulating. It seems that ideomotor theory, which has been the core theme behind the research topic on “ *Action Effects in Perception and Action*,” has done a fairly good job in terms of these three criteria. First, it is rather simple: goal-directed actions are assumed to be selected and addressed by anticipating their sensory consequences; crucially, learned bidirectional associations between sensory representations and motor commands ensure that these anticipations eventually result in overt behavior. Secondly, numerous observations comply with its basic predictions, derived from philosophical analyses of the nineteenth century (cf. [Stock and Stock, 2004](#) ; [Pfister and Janczyk, 2012](#)). Accordingly, the validity of ideomotor theory has been documented by extensive empirical research over the last decades (e. g., [Elsner and Hommel, 2001](#) ; [Hommel et al., 2001](#) ; [Kunde, 2001](#) ; see also [Shin et al., 2010](#)).

Thirdly, ideomotor theory (still) seems to stimulate contemporary research. Otherwise the impressive range of topics that have come together in the present research topic can hardly be explained. These topics range from investigations of how attention and perception are modulated by intentions and expectations ([Kemper et al., 2012](#) ; [Wykowska and Schubö, 2012](#)), to applied settings such as aging and tool-use ([Sutter et al., 2012](#)), task-switching ([Lukas et al., 2013](#)), to social influences on action coding ([Colzato et al., 2012](#) ; [Nishimura and Michimata, 2013](#)) and a developmental perspective on action effects in object manipulation ([Knudsen et al., 2012](#)). These new perspectives are backed up by studies on two prevailing questions in ideomotor research: The formation of action-effect associations

([Herwig and Waszak, 2012](#) ; [Janczyk et al., 2012](#) ; [Ruge et al., 2012](#))—including a first step toward addressing individual differences in ideomotor learning ([Muhle-Karbe and Krebs, 2012](#))—and the role of such associations for action control ([Gaschler and Nattkemper, 2012](#) ; [Walter and Rieger, 2012](#) ; [Ziessler et al., 2012](#)).

Furthermore, three notable articles explore theoretical refinements of ideomotor theory by addressing the virtue of visuomotor priming for ideomotor research ([Thomaschke, 2012](#)), hierarchical coding of action-effect relations ([Ondobaka and Bekkering, 2012](#)) and computational constraints for ideomotor theory ([Herbort and Butz, 2012](#)).

In the light of these and other recent empirical and theoretical advances (cf. [Shin et al., 2010](#)), it seems as if twenty-first century ideomotor theory accounted for almost all areas of cognitive psychology. On careful consideration, however, it also seems as if a particular area is still underrepresented in the ideomotor community, and this area is the concept of working memory. Whereas there are a several short hints to “ memory traces” or “ long-term memory” throughout the articles of the research topic, the concept of working memory is mentioned only a single time ([Thomaschke, 2012](#) , p. 4). Arguably, however, anticipated action effect must be represented somewhere in the cognitive architecture—and working memory appears a likely place for these representations. In our view, this state of affairs is indicative of the current theoretical state and calls for a better exchange between the respective scientific communities.

Similarly, while the sketched developments and directions are admirable on their own, they also pose a new challenge for scholars of action and perception. This challenge relates to an explicit treatment of the relations—commonalities and differences—of the ideomotor approach to other general frameworks for action and perception. For instance, the neuroscientific approaches of predictive coding ([Rao and Ballard, 1998](#)), the Bayesian brain ([Knill and Pouget, 2004](#)), and the free-energy principle ([Friston, 2010](#)) seem to share many features with the principles of effect-based action control even though the different accounts are rarely discussed in the same place (and are nourished by distinct scientific communities). In the same vein, relations to accounts for the perception of self-generated action effects ([Haggard et al., 2002](#) ; [Baess et al., 2011](#) ; [Moore and Obhi, 2012](#)) need a more explicit treatment, and so do the relations to mathematical models of human motor control ([Wolpert and Ghahramani, 2000](#)).

In the meantime, we would like to thank all authors who joined the enterprise of this research topic, and all reviewers who commented on the presented papers. It was a pleasant enterprise from beginning to end, i. e., from sending out the first invitations up to the final, joint action effect which is the research topic itself.

References 1

Baess, P., Horváth, J., Jacobsen, T., and Schröger, E. (2011). Selective suppression of self-initiated sounds in an auditory stream: an ERP study. *Psychophysiology* 48, 1276–1283.

[Pubmed Abstract](#) | [Pubmed Full Text](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#)

* Colzato, L. S., de Bruijn, E. R. A., and Hommel, B. (2012). Up to “ me” or up to “ us”? The impact of self-construal priming on cognitive self-other integration. *Front. Psychol.* 3: 341. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2012.00341

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [PubMed Full Text](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#)

Elsner, B., and Hommel, B. (2001). Effect anticipation and action control. *J. Exp. Psychol. Hum. Percept. Perform.* 27, 229-240.

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [PubMed Full Text](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#)

Friston, K. (2010). The free-energy principle: a unified brain theory? *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* 11, 127-138.

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [PubMed Full Text](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#)

* Gaschler, R., and Nattkemper, D. (2012). Instructed task demands and utilization of action effect anticipation. *Front. Psychol.* 3: 578. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2012.00578

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [PubMed Full Text](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#)

Haggard, P., Clark, S., and Kalogeras, J. (2002). Voluntary action and conscious awareness. *Nat. Neurosci.* 5, 382-385.

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [PubMed Full Text](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#)

* Herbolt, O., and Butz, M. V. (2012). Too good to be true? Ideomotor theory from a computational perspective. *Front. Psychol.* 3: 494. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2012.00494

<https://assignbuster.com/editorial-action-effects-in-perception-and-action/>

[Pubmed Abstract](#) | [Pubmed Full Text](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#)

* Herwig, A., and Waszak, F. (2012). Action-effect bindings and ideomotor learning in intention- and stimulus-based actions. *Front. Psychol.* 3: 444. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2012.00444

[Pubmed Abstract](#) | [Pubmed Full Text](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#)

Hommel, B., Müsseler, J., Aschersleben, G., and Prinz, W. (2001). The theory of event coding: a framework for perception and action. *Behav. Brain Sci.* 24, 849–878.

[Pubmed Abstract](#) | [Pubmed Full Text](#)

* Janczyk, M., Heinemann, A., and Pfister, R. (2012). Instant attraction: immediate action-effect bindings occur for both, stimulus- and goal-driven actions. *Front. Psychol.* 3: 446. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2012.00446

[Pubmed Abstract](#) | [Pubmed Full Text](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#)

* Kemper, M., Umbach, V. J., Schwager, S., Gaschler, R., Frensch, P. A., and Stürmer, B. (2012). What I say is what I get: stronger effects of self-generated vs. cue-induced expectations in event-related potentials. *Front. Psychol.* 3: 562. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2012.00562

[Pubmed Abstract](#) | [Pubmed Full Text](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#)

Knill, D. C., and Pouget, A. (2004). The Bayesian brain: the role of uncertainty in neural coding and computation. *Trends Neurosci.* 27, 712–719.

[Pubmed Abstract](#) | [Pubmed Full Text](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#)

* Knudsen, B., Henning, A., Wunsch, K., Weigelt, M., and Aschersleben, G. (2012). The end-state comfort effect in 3- to 8-year-old children in two object manipulation tasks. *Front. Psychol.* 3: 445. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2012.00445

[Pubmed Abstract](#) | [Pubmed Full Text](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#)

Kunde, W. (2001). Response-effect compatibility in manual choice reaction tasks. *J. Exp. Psychol. Hum. Percept. Perform.* 27, 387–394.

[Pubmed Abstract](#) | [Pubmed Full Text](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#)

* Lukas, S., Philipp, A. M., and Koch, I. (2013). The influence of action effects in task-switching. *Front. Psychol.* 3: 595. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2012.00595

[Pubmed Abstract](#) | [Pubmed Full Text](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#)

Moore, J. W., and Obhi, S. S. (2012). Intentional binding and the sense of agency: a review. *Conscious. Cogn.* 21, 546–561.

[Pubmed Abstract](#) | [Pubmed Full Text](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#)

* Muhle-Karbe, P. S., and Krebs, R. M. (2012). On the influence of reward on action-effect binding. *Front. Psychol.* 3: 450. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2012.00450

[Pubmed Abstract](#) | [Pubmed Full Text](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#)

* Nishimura, A., and Michimata, C. (2013). Pointing hand stimuli induce spatial compatibility effects and effector priming. *Front. Psychol.* 4: 219. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2013.00219

[CrossRef Full Text](#)

* Ondobaka, S., and Bekkering, H. (2012). Hierarchy of idea-guided action and perception-guided movement. *Front. Psychol.* 3: 579. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2012.00579

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [PubMed Full Text](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#)

Pfister, R., and Janczyk, M. (2012). Harleß' apparatus of will: 150 years later. *Psychol. Res.* 76, 561–565.

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [PubMed Full Text](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#)

Rao, R. P., and Ballard, D. H. (1998). Predictive coding in the visual cortex: a functional interpretation of some extra-classical receptive field effects. *Nat. Neurosci.* 2, 79–87.

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [PubMed Full Text](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#)

* Ruge, H., Krebs, R. M., and Wolfensteller, U. (2012). Early markers of ongoing action-effect learning. *Front. Psychol.* 3: 522. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2012.00522

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [PubMed Full Text](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#)

Shin, Y. K., Proctor, R. W., and Capaldi, E. J. (2010). A review of contemporary ideomotor theory. *Psychol. Bull.* 136, 943–974.

[Pubmed Abstract](#) | [Pubmed Full Text](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#)

Stock, A., and Stock, C. (2004). A short history of ideo-motor action. *Psychol. Res.* 68, 176–188.

[Pubmed Abstract](#) | [Pubmed Full Text](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#)

* Sutter, C., Ladwig, S., Oehl, M., and Müsseler, J. (2012). Age effects on controlling tools with sensorimotor transformations. *Front. Psychol.* 3: 573. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2012.00573

[Pubmed Abstract](#) | [Pubmed Full Text](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#)

* Thomaschke, R. (2012). Investigating ideomotor cognition with motorvisual priming paradigms: key findings, methodological challenges, and future directions. *Front. Psychol.* 3: 519. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2012.00519

[Pubmed Abstract](#) | [Pubmed Full Text](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#)

* Walter, A. M., and Rieger, M. (2012). Similar mechanisms of movement control in target- and effect-directed actions toward spatial goals? *Front. Psychol.* 3: 539. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2012.00539

[Pubmed Abstract](#) | [Pubmed Full Text](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#)

Wolpert, D. M., and Ghahramani, Z. (2000). Computational principles of movement neuroscience. *Nat. Neurosci.* 3, 1212–1217.

[Pubmed Abstract](#) | [Pubmed Full Text](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#)

* Wykowska, A., and Schubö, A. (2012). Action intentions modulate allocation of visual attention: electrophysiological evidence. *Front. Psychol.* 3: 379. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2012.00379

[Pubmed Abstract](#) | [Pubmed Full Text](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#)

* Ziessler, M., Nattkemper, D., and Vogt, S. (2012). The activation of effect codes in response preparation: new evidence from an indirect priming paradigm. *Front. Psychol.* 3: 585. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2012.00585

[Pubmed Abstract](#) | [Pubmed Full Text](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#)

Footnotes

1. [^]Articles that are part of the research topic are marked with asterisks (*).