

# Performance of amplitude modulation in noise engineering essay

[Engineering](#)



**ASSIGN  
BUSTER**

Steve Mauro Caruana Y2S2 2013 Theory: Performance of Amplitude

Modulation in Noise [Q1] Amplitude Modulation with carrier: Synchronous

Demodulation. Received Signal:  $\sqrt{2} [ A + m(t) ] \cos \omega_c t$   $S_i = (\sqrt{2})^2 [ A + m(t) ]^2$

$= [ A + m(t) ]^2$  {Input Signal Power}  $S_i = A^2 + m^2 + 2A \cdot m(t)$   $S_i = A^2 + m^2$  if message is dc component.

$\sqrt{2} [ A + m(t) ] \cos \omega_c t * \sqrt{2} [ \cos \omega_c t ]$  L. P.  $F \Rightarrow m(t)$

$S_o = m^2$  {Output Signal Power}  $S_o = m^2 = m^2 * S_i$  Useful Input

Power. No NB  $m^2 + A^2$  NB  $S_o = m^2 * \gamma$  No  $m^2 + A^2$  Here the output is now

smaller, and this is expected because when we are transmitting an AM signal

with carrier, not the entire useful input power is used in the message, losing

performance. Therefore we have to set for higher  $\gamma$ , else the carrier would be

inferior. If  $|m(t)|_{MAX} = m_p$ ,  $A \geq m_p$  For maximum SNR, we should choose  $A$

$= m_p$   $S_o = m^2 * \gamma$  No  $m_p^2 + A^2$  Smallest possible value of  $A$  is  $m_p = 1 * \gamma +$

$m_p^2 / m^2$   $m_p^2 \geq 1$ ,  $m_p^2 \leq \gamma$  At least 3dB Power and  $m^2$  two times as

much. Although a 6dB to 9dB loss in power efficiency is more typically.  $S_o =$

$\gamma = \gamma_{dB} - 4.77$  dB No dB 3 dB So what we can conclude is that there is a cost

associated with performance, power efficiency etc, when we transmit with

carrier. We do this because it gets simpler to demodulate it. With an

envelope detector we will get the same performance as the synchronous

detector does. Amplitude Modulation with envelope detector The process is

very similar that we start with the received signal:  $[ A + m(t) ] \cos \omega_c t$  The

actual received signal input to demodulator is:  $y_i(t) = [ A + m(t) ] \cos \omega_c t +$

$n_i(t)$  This represents the output of its Band Pass Filter / the front end of the

receiver. In this case we will have a Bandwidth of  $2B$ .  $y_i(t) = [ A + m(t) +$

$n_c(t) ] \cos \omega_c t + n_s(t) \sin \omega_c t$   $S_i = A^2 + m^2$  The envelope of the signal

above  $\dots = E_i(t) \cdot \cos[ \omega_c t + \theta(t) ]$  where  $E_i(t)$  is the envelope  $= \sqrt{ [ A + m(t) ]^2 + n_c^2(t) + n_s^2(t) }$

$+ m_c(t)]^2 + n_s^2(t)$  Signal part no linear dependence. Finally the envelope detector will produce an output proportional to the envelope. To simplify matters we make some assumptions; Small Noise Assumption This is essentially to say that the signal power at the input is much greater than the noise power at the input (ie. the signal is much stronger than the noise).  $A + m(t) \gg n_i(t)$ , for all type  $A + m(t) \gg n_c(t)$ ,  $n_s(t)$ , for all type  $E_i(t) \approx A + m(t) + n_c(t)$  So  $= m^2 = m^2 * S_i / N_B A^2 + m^2 N_B$  Therefore  $So = m^2 * \gamma / N_B m^2 + A^2$  The output here is not as exactly the same for a synchronous demodulation. For a synchronous demodulation this expression is valid irrespective of the input. For the case of the envelope detector it is only valid when the input SNR is insufficiently large. So in higher SNR situations, it highly matters that we do not lose any further in Power efficiency, not losing performance. Large Noise Assumption The received signal is much weaker than the noise:  $n_i(t) \gg A + m(t)$  This will imply that both:  $n_c(t)$ ,  $n_s(t) \gg A + m(t)$ , for all values of  $t$ . The envelope:  $E_i(t) \approx \sqrt{n_c^2(t) + n_s^2(t) + 2n_c(t) [A + m(t)]}$  Therefore  $E_i(t) = E_n(t) \sqrt{1 + 2[A + m(t)] \cdot \cos \theta_n(t)} / E_n(t)$  or even better . . .  $E_i(t) = \sqrt{E_n^2(t) + 2n_c(t) [A + m(t)]}$   $E_i(t) = E_n(t) \sqrt{1 + 2[A + m(t)] \cdot \cos \theta_n(t)}$   $E_n(t)$  Quality of  $n_c(t)$  Ratio.  $E_n(t) / E_n^2(t)$   $\theta_n(t)$   $n_s(t) / n_c(t)$  Phase of Noise part.  $E_i(t) \approx E_n(t) \sqrt{1 + 2[A + m(t)] \cdot \cos \theta_n(t)}$   $E_n(t)$  Which represents the equation for the instantaneous envelope becomes;  $E_i(t) \approx E_n(t) [1 + [A + m(t)] \cdot \cos \theta_n(t)] / E_n(t)$   $E_i(t) = E_n(t) + [A + m(t)] \cdot \cos \theta_n(t)$  {Noise Waveform} noise noise The envelope detector will produce this kind of output. What we will get is almost entirely noise. => Totally Noisy, with no component which we consider as proportional to  $m(t)$ . Now if we were to plot for a synchronous detector { Plot output SNR vs  $\gamma = S_i / N_B = SNR$  } 1 When

$S_i \gg$  Noise falls below some value we start moving down. 2 Eventually at lower SNR's the output does not resemble the input at all in terms of message. 3 Output here degrades much more than the input SNR degrades. This is seen in the envelope detector and is called the threshold effect. So the threshold effect is that the output SNR does not degrade gracefully as the input SNR is reduced. We must operate at threshold value;  $\gamma_{th}$  is in the order of 10dB (ie.  $\gamma_{nth} \sim 10\text{dB}$ ) This means that unless my input signal falls below 10dB our continuity will be represented by the linear part of the graph 1. We must keep in mind that Modulation is used to simplify the receiver but at the same time we do not want to lose performance. Calculation of  $\gamma_{threshold}$ . Probability density function of noise envelope:  $E_n(t) = \sqrt{n_c^2(t) + n_s^2(t)}$  Gaussian Quadrature Components.  $n_i(t) = n_c(t) \cos wct + n_s(t) \sin wct$  Given that the density function of  $p_{nc}(\alpha) = p_{ns}(\alpha) = \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\alpha^2 / 2\sigma^2}$ ,  $\alpha > 0$  then we can show that  $p_{En}(\alpha) = \frac{\alpha}{\sigma^2} e^{-\alpha^2 / 2\sigma^2}$ ,  $\alpha > 0$  which is only defined for positive values of the argument  $\{ E_n(t) \}$   $\sigma^2 \Rightarrow$  noise variance of  $n_c(t)$  and  $n_s(t)$ , which is equal to  $n_i(t)$ , equal to  $2NB$ . (ie.  $\sigma^2 = 2NB$ ) This is the noise variance of variable signal coming out of the Band Pass Filter, before the envelope detector. We can say that; Noise Envelope Threshold: If  $E_n > A$

$\infty \infty$

If noise amplitude is greater than the signal and carrier amplitude of this such property.  $A \propto P[ E_n \geq A ] = \int_A^\infty \frac{\alpha}{\sigma^2} e^{-\alpha^2 / 2\sigma^2} d\alpha$  The shaded area.  $\sigma^2 = e^{-A^2 / 2\sigma^2}$  is  $\approx 0.01$  at the point of threshold. now  $A^2 = 4.6052\sigma^2$  This means ;  $\sigma^2 = 2NB \Rightarrow A^2 = 4.6054NB$   $S_i = A^2 + m^2(t)$  Received Signal Power where  $A^2$  represents the power of the amplitude carrier.  $m^2(t)$  represents the message power.  $S_i = A^2 + 0.5 A^2 = 3 A^2 / 4$  Therefore

$\gamma_{\text{threshold}} = S_i = 3 A^2 \approx 13.8 \text{ dB}$  or  $\approx 11.4 \text{ dB}$ . NB 4NB[Q2] The parameters of the Band Pass Filter used in the Mixer are as follows; kHzfstop1: 70 , 92fstop2: 108 , 130Magnitude: Astop1: 60dBAstop2: 60dBBand Pass Filter after Envelope Detector; kHzfstop1: 10 , 500fstop2: 8000 , 16000Magnitude: Astop1: 60dBAstop2: 60dBThereforeMinimum Frequency Allowed = 10 HzMaximum Frequency Allowed = 130 kHz[Q3] The value of RC is adjusted such that the negative rate of the envelope will never exceed the exponential discharge rate of the RC network. Value of RC used in the envelope detector is that of 0.018315638 seconds. For the specific case of tone modulation (the message signal is a sinusoid), the time constant RC is related to the parameters of the AM signal by:  $RC \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - m^2}} \frac{1}{\pi f_x m}$ [Q4] The intermediate frequency  $f_i$  must be changed such as to modify the 'Envelope Detector' and 'Band Pass Filter 1' to:  $f_{lo} = f_c + f_i = 899 \text{ kHz} = 693 \text{ kHz} + f_i$   $f_{hi} = 206 \text{ kHz}$ [Q5a] After setting noise power to 0 and modulation index to 1; Transmitted Carrier Power:  $P_T = P_c (1 + \frac{m^2}{2})$  But  $P_c = \frac{A_c^2}{2}$  {  $A_c = 1$  }  $P_c = 0.5 \text{ W}$  Therefore  $P_T = 0.75 \text{ W}$  Which is equal to the Transmit Power = 0.75W.[Q5b] Power in transmitted side-bands; The power in each side band PSB is given by:  $P_{SB} = P_{LSB} = P_{USB} = P_c \frac{m^2}{4}$  Therefore  $P_{SB} = (0.5)(1)^2 / 4$   $P_{SB} = 0.125 \text{ W}$  For the total power transmitted Side Bands;  $P_{TSB} = 0.5 \text{ W} + 0.25 \text{ W}$   $P_{TSB} = 0.75 \text{ W}$  Which is equal to the Received Signal Power = 0.75W. [Q5c] Demodulated baseband Signal Power:  $P_{DBS} = 0 \text{ W}$ . Although in our model we get a really small value, practically 0.00290252W. What is causing this transient ? The possible generation of parasitic offsets due to the (first multiplication) switching found in the Envelope Detector. Saved Clean output signals as: Mixer\_Out = AmOut(:, 2); Bandpass\_out = Output(:, 2);[Q6a] After

setting the carrier amplitude to zero and channel noise power to 0.1W; The received noise after the mixer => Detected Noise[Q6b] Its frequency content is of => 100kHz[Q6c] The noise power after the mixer is 0.0008657W. The noise at the output of the receiver is 0.09993W.[Q6c] The pre-detection and the post-detection SNR at this noise level will be both 0, because the noise transmitted is 0 and also we have the amplitude 0.  $S = \frac{A_c^2 P_m}{4 N_0 B}$   $P_m = 0$   $N_0 = 0.1$   $B = 100 \times 10^3$

&

$S = \frac{A_c^2 P_m}{4 N_0 B}$   $P_m = 0$   $N_0 = 0.1$   $B = 100 \times 10^3$   $S = 0$  After setting the carrier amplitude back to 1: If we compare the pre and post detection SNRs we see that there is a gain of 2 in the processing since the channel noise is eliminated.  $S = \frac{A_c^2 P_m}{2 N_0 B}$   $P_m = 1$   $N_0 = 0.1$   $B = 100 \times 10^3$   $S = 0.5$  If we use the same transmit power in a baseband system, we see that we would achieve the same SNR. Thus there is no wasted power in the DSB-SC transmit scheme. But no gain either.  $S = \frac{A_c^2 P_m}{4 N_0 B}$   $P_m = 1$   $N_0 = 0.1$   $B = 100 \times 10^3$   $S = 0.25$  Difference in output; Questions => Q6Q7 Transmit Power 0W. Received Signal Power 0.09993W. Display 30.0008657W. Demodulated Signal Power 0.000201W. Carrier Amplitude is 1 Channel Noise Power is 0.1W Carrier Amplitude is 0 Channel Noise Power is 0.1W Although Q6 and Q7 have different Carrier Amplitude the final result after passing through the: AM Modulator, Mixer and Envelope Detector; is the same. The Demodulated Signal Power is for both of them 0.000201W.

**[Q8]**

Questions => Q8 Transmit Power 0.66W Received Signal Power 0.

7598W Display 30.0008661W Demodulated Signal Power 0.000201W This

shows a difference after the mixer in 'display 3' only. [Q9] After changing the

constant index modulation to 0.8 and varying the power from 0W up to

20W: For Q9 at 0W Q9 at 10W Q9 at 20W Transmit Power 0.66W 0.66W 0.

66W Received Signal Power 0.66W 10.65W 20.64W Display 30.002306W 0.

08658W 0.1731W Demodulated Signal Power 0.002021W 0.0201W 0.

0402W As we increase the noise power, the Received Signal Power also

increases by a large amount of an approximate factor of 1.94. Also the

Demodulated Signal Power increases slightly at a rather slow pace.

[Q10] Irrespective of the chosen Carrier Amplitude, the power in each stage

of our Simulink model increases proportionally, and with an increase in

power, our noise value also increases.