

Steel design

Profession



STEEL BEAM DESIGN Laterally Unrestrained Beam Dr. A Aziz Saim 2010 EC3
 Unrestrained Beam 1 Non-dimensional slenderness Beam behaviour
 analogous to yielding/buckling of columns. M_{Ed} Material yielding (in-plane
 bending) M_{Ed} Elastic member buckling M_{cr} L_{cr} 1. 0 Dr. A Aziz Saim
 2010 EC3 Non-dimensional slenderness Unrestrained Beam ? LT 2 Lateral
 torsional buckling Lateral torsional buckling Lateral torsional buckling is the
 member buckling mode associated with slender beams loaded about their
 major axis, without continuous lateral restraint.

If continuous lateral restraint is provided to the beam, then lateral torsional
 buckling will be prevented and failure will occur in another mode, generally in-
 plane bending (and/or shear). Dr. A Aziz Saim 2010 EC3 Unrestrained Beam
 3 Eurocode 3 Eurocode 3 states, as with BS 5950, that both cross-sectional
 and member bending resistance must be verified: $M_{Ed} \leq M_{c}$, R_d Cross-
 section check (In-plane bending) $M_{Ed} \leq M_{b}$, R_d Dr. A Aziz Saim 2010 EC3
 Unrestrained Beam Member buckling check 4 Dr. A Aziz Saim 2010 EC3
 Unrestrained Beam 5 Laterally Unrestrained Beam

The design of beam in this Lecture 3 is considering beams in which either no
 lateral restraint or only intermittent lateral restraint is provided to the
 compression flange Dr. A Aziz Saim 2010 EC3 Unrestrained Beam 6 Lateral
 Torsional Buckling Dr. A Aziz Saim 2010 EC3 Unrestrained Beam 7 Lateral
 Torsional Buckling Figure 3-1 shows an unrestrained beam subjected to load
 increment. The compression flange unrestrained and beam is not stiff
 enough. There is a tendency for the beam to deform sideways and twist
 about the longitudinal axis. The failure mode which may occur to the beam is
 called lateral torsional buckling.

Dr. A Aziz Saim 2010 EC3 Unrestrained Beam 8 ? Involves both deflection and twisting rotation ? Out-of plane buckling. Bending Resistance M_c , R_d ? M_{pl} ? $W_{pl} f_y$? M_0 Due to the effect of LTB, the bending resistance of cross section become less. Failure may occurs earlier then expected Dr. A Aziz Saim 2010 EC3 Unrestrained Beam 9 Examples of Laterally Unrestrained Beam Dr. A Aziz Saim 2010 EC3 Unrestrained Beam 10 Restrained Beam Comparsion Dr. A Aziz Saim 2010 EC3 Unrestrained Beam 11 Intermittent Lateral Restrained Dr. A Aziz Saim 2010 EC3 Unrestrained Beam 12

Torsional restraint Usually both flanges are held in their relative positions by external members during bending. May be provided by load bearing stiffeners or provision of adequate end connection details. See Figure 3-4.

Dr. A Aziz Saim 2010 EC3 Unrestrained Beam 13 Beam without torsional restraint Dr. A Aziz Saim 2010 EC3 Unrestrained Beam 14 Can be discounted when:

- Minor axis bending
- CHS, SHS, circular or square bar
- Fully laterally restrained beams
- ? $L_T < 0.2$ (or 0.4 in some cases) - Unrestrained length

Cross-sectional shape End restrained condition The moment along the beam Loading - tension or compression Unrestrained Beam 16

Dr. A Aziz Saim 2010 EC3 Lateral torsional buckling resistance Checks should be carried out on all unrestrained segments of beams (between the points where lateral restraint exists). Lateral restraint $L_{cr} = 1.0 L$ Lateral restraint Beam on plan Dr. A Aziz Saim 2010 EC3 Unrestrained Beam 17 Three methods to check LTB in EC3:

- The primary method adopts the lateral torsional buckling curves given by equations 6.56 and 6.57, and is set out in clause 6.3.2.2 (general case) and clause 6.3.2.3 (for rolled

sections and equivalent welded sections). The second is a simplified assessment method for beams with restraints in buildings, and is set out in clause 6.3.2.4. • The third is a general method for lateral and lateral torsional buckling of structural components, given in clause 6.3.4. Dr. A Aziz Saim 2010 EC3 Unrestrained Beam 18 Eurocode 3 states, as with BS 5950, that both cross-sectional and member bending resistance must be verified: $M_{Ed} \leq M_c$, R_d Cross-section check (In-plane bending) $M_{Ed} \leq M_b$, R_d Dr. A Aziz Saim 2010 EC3 Unrestrained Beam Member buckling check 19 Lateral-torsional buckling Eurocode 3 design approach for lateral torsional buckling is analogous to the column buckling treatment. The design buckling resistance M_b , R_d of a laterally unrestrained beam (or segment of beam) should be taken as: M_b , $R_d = \chi_{LT} W_{pl,y} f_y / \gamma_{M1}$ Reduction factor for LTB Lateral torsional buckling resistance: M_b , $R_d = \chi_{LT} W_{pl,y} f_y / \gamma_{M1}$ Equation (6.55) χ_{LT} will be χ_{LT} or χ_{LT} Dr. A Aziz Saim 2010 EC3 is the reduction factor for lateral torsional buckling Unrestrained Beam 21 Buckling curves - general case (Cl 6.3.2.2) Lateral torsional buckling curves for the general case are given below : (as in Eq (6.56)) $\chi_{LT} = 1 / \sqrt{1 + \eta \sqrt{1 + \lambda^2}}$ but $\chi_{LT} \geq 1.0$ $\chi_{LT} \geq 0.5 [1 + \eta (\chi_{LT} \geq 0.)]$ χ_{LT} Plateau length Imperfection factor from Table 6.3 Dr. A Aziz Saim 2010 EC3 Unrestrained Beam 22 Imperfection factor η LT Imperfection factors η LT for 4 buckling curves: (refer Table 6.3) Buckling curve Imperfection factor η LT a 0.21 b 0.34 c 0.49 d 0.76 Buckling curve selection For the general case, refer to Table 6.4: Cross-section Rolled I-sections Welded I-sections Limits $h/b \leq 2$ $h/b > 2$ $h/b \leq 2$ $h/b > 2$ - Buckling curve a b c d d Other crosssections Dr. A Aziz Saim 2010 EC3 Unrestrained Beam 24 LTB curves 4 buckling curves for LTB (a, b, c and d) 1.2 Reduction factor χ_{LT} . 0 0.8 0.6 0.4 0.2 0.0 0.5 1 1.5 Curve a <https://assignbuster.com/steel-design/>

Curve b Curve c Curve d 2 2. 5 0. 2 Dr. A Aziz Saim 2010 EC3 Non-dimensional slenderness Unrestrained Beam ? LT 25 Dr. A Aziz Saim 2010 EC3 Unrestrained Beam 26 lateral torsional buckling slenderness ? LT M_{cr} ? $W_y f_y M_{cr}$ Elastic critical buckling moment Dr. A Aziz Saim 2010 EC3 Unrestrained Beam 27 Non-dimensional slenderness • Calculate lateral torsional buckling slenderness: ? LT ? $W_y f_y M_{cr}$ • Buckling curves as for compression (except curve a0) • W_y depends on section classification • M_{cr} is the elastic critical LTB moment Dr. A Aziz Saim 2010 EC3

Unrestrained Beam 28 BS EN 1993-1-1 does not give a method for determining the elastic critical moment for lateraltorsional buckling M_{cr} !!!!!!! May use 'LTBeam' software (can be downloaded from CTICM ?????? website) Or may use method presented by L. Gardner Dr. A Aziz Saim 2010 EC3 Unrestrained Beam 29 M_{cr} under uniform moment For typical end conditions, and under uniform moment the elastic critical lateral torsional buckling moment M_{cr} is: $M_{cr} = 0.5 \sqrt{G I_T I_w I_z} \sqrt{L_c} \sqrt{E I_z} \sqrt{2 L_c} \sqrt{I_w} \sqrt{G I_T} \sqrt{L_c} \sqrt{E I_z} \sqrt{I_z} \sqrt{0.5}$ is the shear modulus is the torsion constant is the warping constant is the inor axis second moment of area is the buckling length of the beam Unrestrained Beam 30 Dr. A Aziz Saim 2010 EC3 M_{cr} under non-uniform moment Numerical solutions have been calculated for a number of other loading conditions. For uniform doubly-symmetric cross-sections, loaded through the shear centre at the level of the centroidal axis, and with the standard conditions of restraint described, M_{cr} may be calculated by: $M_{cr} = C_1 \sqrt{E I_z} \sqrt{L_c} \sqrt{I_w} \sqrt{G I_T} \sqrt{L_c} \sqrt{E I_z} \sqrt{I_z} \sqrt{0.5}$ 31 C_1 factor - end moments

For end moment loading C_1 may be approximated by the equation below, though other approximations also exist. $C_1 = 1.88 - 1.40y + 0.52y^2$ but $C_1 \geq 2.70$ where y is the ratio of the end moments (defined in the following table). Dr. A Aziz Saim 2010 EC3 Unrestrained Beam 32 C_1 factor - transverse loading Loading and support conditions Bending moment diagram Value of C_1 1.132 1.285 1.365 1.565 1.046 Dr. A Aziz Saim 2010 EC3 Unrestrained Beam 33 Design procedure for LTB Design procedure for LTB:

1. Determine BMD and SFD from design loads
2. Select section and determine geometry
3. Classify cross-section (Class 1, 2, 3 or 4)
- 4.

Determine effective (buckling) length L_{cr} - depends on boundary conditions and load level

5. Calculate M_{cr} and W_{yfy} Dr. A Aziz Saim 2010 EC3 Unrestrained Beam 34 Design procedure for LTB
6. Non-dimensional slenderness $\lambda_{LT} = \frac{L_{cr}}{W_{yfy} M_{cr}}$
7. Determine imperfection factor η_{LT}
8. Calculate buckling reduction factor χ_{LT}
9. Design buckling resistance
10. Check $M_b, R_d \leq \chi_{LT} W_{yfy} M_{Ed} \leq 1.0 M_b, R_d$ for each unrestrained portion Dr. A Aziz Saim 2010 EC3 Unrestrained Beam 35 LTB Example General arrangement Dr. A Aziz Saim 2010 EC3 Unrestrained Beam 36 LTB Example Design loading is as follows: 425.1 kN A B C 319.6 kN D 2.5 m 3.2 m 5.1 m

Loading Dr. A Aziz Saim 2010 EC3 Unrestrained Beam 37 LTB Example 267. 1 kN A B D 52.5 kN SF C 477.6 kN Shear force diagram B A C D BM 1194 kNm 1362 kNm Bending moment diagram Dr. A Aziz Saim 2010 EC3 Unrestrained Beam 38 LTB Example For the purposes of this example, lateral torsional buckling curves for the general case will be utilised. Lateral torsional buckling checks to be carried out on segments BC and CD. By

inspection, segment AB is not critical. Try 762 × 267 × 173 UB in grade S 275 steel. Dr. A Aziz Saim 2010 EC3 Unrestrained Beam 39 LTB Example $b_z = t_w = h_d = y_r = z_{tf} = h = 762.2 \text{ mm}$, $b = 266.7 \text{ mm}$, $t_w = 14.3 \text{ mm}$, $t_f = 21.6 \text{ mm}$, $r = 16 \text{ mm}$, $A = 22000 \text{ mm}^2$, $W_{y,pl} = 6198 \times 103 \text{ mm}^3$, $I_z = 68.50 \times 106 \text{ mm}^4$, $I_t = 2670 \times 103 \text{ mm}^4$, $I_w = 9390 \times 109 \text{ mm}^6$. Dr. A Aziz Saim 2010 EC3 Unrestrained Beam 40 LTB Example For a nominal material thickness ($t_f = 21.6 \text{ mm}$ and $t_w = 14.3 \text{ mm}$) of between 16 mm and 40 mm the nominal values of yield strength f_y for grade S 275 steel (to EN 10025-2) is 265 N/mm². From clause 3.2.6: $E = 210000 \text{ N/mm}^2$ and $G = 81000$. Dr. A Aziz Saim 2010 EC3 Unrestrained Beam 41 LTB Example Cross-section classification (clause 5.5.2): $e = 235 / f_y = 235 / 265 = 0.94$. Outstand flanges (Table 5.2, sheet 2) $c_f = (b - t_w - 2r) / 2 = 109.7 \text{ mm}$, $c_f / t_f = 109.7 / 21.6 = 5.8$. Limit for Class 1 flange = $9e = 8.48 > 5.08$? Flange is Class 1. Dr. A Aziz Saim 2010 EC3 Unrestrained Beam 42 LTB Example Web - internal part in bending (Table 5.2, sheet 1) $c_w = h - 2t_f - 2r = 686.0 \text{ mm}$, $c_w / t_w = 686.0 / 14.3 = 48.0$. Limit for Class 1 web = $72e = 67.8 > 48.0$? Web is Class 1. Overall cross-section classification is therefore Class 1. Dr. A Aziz Saim 2010 EC3 Unrestrained Beam 43 LTB Example Bending resistance of cross-section (clause 6.2.5): $M_{c,y}, R_d \leq W_{pl,y} f_y$. For Class 1 and 2 sections $6198 \times 103 \times 265 \leq 1642 \times 106 \text{ Nmm}$, $1.0 \leq 1642 \text{ kNm} \leq 1362 \text{ kNm}$. Cross-section resistance in bending is OK.

Dr. A Aziz Saim 2010 EC3 Unrestrained Beam 44 LTB Example Lateral torsional buckling check (clause 6.3.2.2) - Segment BC: $M_{Ed} = 1362 \text{ kNm}$, $M_b, R_d \leq M_{cr}$ where $M_{cr} = W_{pl,y} f_y$ for Class 1 and 2 sections. Determine M_{cr} for segment BC ($L_{cr} = 3200 \text{ mm}$). Dr. A Aziz Saim 2010 EC3 ?

$EI_z M_{cr} = C_1 \frac{2 L_{cr}^2}{\pi^2} \left[I_w L_{cr} + G I_T \right] \frac{2}{\pi^2} \left[\frac{EI_z}{L_{cr}^2} \right]$ Unrestrained Beam 2 0. 5
 45 LTB Example For end moment loading C_1 may be approximated from: $C_1 = 1.88 - 1.40\psi + 0.52\psi^2$ but $C_1 = 2.70 - 1.194\psi$ ψ is the ratio of the end moments $\psi = \frac{0.88 - 1.362\psi}{C_1} = 1.05 - \frac{2}{210000} \frac{68.5 \times 10^6}{M_{cr}} = 1.05 - \frac{32002}{9390} \frac{109}{32002} - \frac{81000}{2670} \frac{103}{68.5 \times 10^6} = 2 \frac{210000}{68.5 \times 10^6} = 0.5 = 5699 \times 10^6 \text{ Nmm} = 5699 \text{ kNm}$ Dr. A Aziz Saim 2010 EC3 Unrestrained Beam 46 LTB Example Non-dimensional lateral torsional slenderness for segment BC: $\lambda_{LT} = \frac{W_y}{f_y} \frac{M_{cr}}{6198 \times 10^3} = \frac{265}{0.54 \times 6 \times 5699} = 10$ Select buckling curve and imperfection factor α_{LT} : From Table 6. 4: $h/b = 762.2/266.7 = 2.85$ For a rolled I-section with $h/b > 2$, use buckling curve b Dr. A Aziz Saim 2010 EC3 Unrestrained Beam 47 LTB Example From Table 6. 3 of EN 1993-1-1: For buckling curve b, $\alpha_{LT} = 0.34$ Calculate reduction factor for lateral torsional buckling, χ_{LT} - Segment BC: $\chi_{LT} = \frac{1}{\lambda_{LT}^2} \left[1 - \alpha_{LT} \left(\lambda_{LT} - 0.2 \right) \right]^2 = \frac{1}{10^2} \left[1 - 0.34(10 - 0.2) + 0.542 \right] = 0.70$ $\chi_{LT} = 1 \times 0.70 = 0.70$ $\frac{0.54}{2} = 0.27$ Lateral torsional buckling resistance M_b, R_d - Segment BC : $M_b, R_d = \chi_{LT} W_y f_y = M_1 \frac{265}{0.87} = 6198 \times 10^3 \times 1.03 = 1425 \times 10^6 \text{ Nmm} = 1425 \text{ kNm}$ Dr. A Aziz Saim 2010 EC3 Unrestrained Beam 49 LTB Example $M_{Ed} = 1362 \times 10^3 \text{ Nmm} = 1.362 \text{ kNm}$ Segment BC is OK $M_b, R_d = 1425 \text{ kNm}$ Lateral torsional buckling check (clause 6. 3. 2. 2) - Segment CD: $M_{Ed} = 1362 \text{ kNm}$ $M_b, R_d = \chi_{LT} W_y f_y = M_1$ where $W_y = W_{pl, y}$ for Class 1 and 2 sections

Determine M_{cr} for segment CD ($L_{cr} = 5100 \text{ mm}$) Dr. A Aziz Saim 2010 EC3 Unrestrained Beam 50 LTB Example $EI_z M_{cr} = C_1 \frac{2 L_{cr}^2}{\pi^2} \left[I_w L_{cr} + G I_T \right] \frac{2}{\pi^2} \left[\frac{EI_z}{L_{cr}^2} \right]$ $\psi = \frac{0.88 - 1.362\psi}{C_1} = 1.05 - \frac{2}{210000} \frac{68.5 \times 10^6}{M_{cr}} = 1.05 - \frac{32002}{9390} \frac{109}{32002} - \frac{81000}{2670} \frac{103}{68.5 \times 10^6} = 2 \frac{210000}{68.5 \times 10^6} = 0.5$ Determine ψ from Table: $\psi = 0$ ψ is the ratio of the end

moments $M_x = 1362 \text{ kNm}$, $M_y = 1.88 \text{ kNm}$, $M_z = 210000 \text{ Nmm}$, $M_{cr} = 1.88 \times 51002 = 9390 \text{ Nmm}$, $M_{cr} = 81000 \text{ Nmm}$, $M_{cr} = 2670 \text{ Nmm}$, $M_{cr} = 103 \text{ Nmm}$, $M_{cr} = 68.5 \text{ kNm}$, $M_{cr} = 106 \text{ kNm}$, $M_{cr} = 210000 \text{ Nmm}$, $M_{cr} = 68.5 \text{ kNm}$, $M_{cr} = 106 \text{ kNm}$, $M_{cr} = 0.5 = 4311 \text{ kNm}$, $M_{cr} = 106 \text{ Nmm} = 4311 \text{ kNm}$. Dr. A Aziz Saim 2010 EC3 Unrestrained Beam 51 LTB Example Non-dimensional lateral torsional slenderness for segment CD: $\lambda_{LT} = \frac{M_x}{M_{cr}} \sqrt{\frac{I_y}{I_z}}$, $\lambda_{LT} = \frac{1.88}{6198} \sqrt{\frac{103}{265}} = 0.62$. The buckling curve and imperfection factor η

LT are as for segment BC. Dr. A Aziz Saim 2010 EC3 Unrestrained Beam 52 LTB Example Calculate reduction factor for lateral torsional buckling, χ_{LT} – Segment CD: $\lambda_{LT} = 1$, $\lambda_{LT} = 2$, $\lambda_{LT} = 2$, $\lambda_{LT} = 2$, $\lambda_{LT} = 1.0$ where $\lambda_{LT} = 0.5 [1 + \eta \sqrt{\lambda_{LT} (1 + \eta \sqrt{\lambda_{LT} - 0.2})}]$, $\chi_{LT} = 0.5 [1 + 0.34(0.62 - 0.2) + 0.622] = 0.76$. Dr. A Aziz Saim 2010 EC3 $\chi_{LT} = 0.76$, $\chi_{LT} = 0.62$, $\chi_{LT} = 2$, $\chi_{LT} = 0.83$. LTB Example Lateral torsional buckling resistance M_b , R_d – Segment CD : M_b , $R_d = \chi_{LT} W_y f_y = M_1 265 = 0.83 \times 6198 = 10 \times 1.03 \times 1360 = 106 \text{ Nmm} = 1360 \text{ kNm}$. $M_{Ed} = 1362 \text{ kNm}$, M_b , $R_d = 1360$. Segment CD is critical and marginally fails LTB check.

Dr. A Aziz Saim 2010 EC3 Unrestrained Beam 54 Blank Page Dr. A Aziz Saim 2010 EC3 Unrestrained Beam 55 Simplified assessment of λ_{LT} For hot-rolled doubly symmetric I and H sections without destabilising loads, λ_{LT} may be conservatively simplified to: $\lambda_{LT} = 1.0$, $\lambda_{LT} = 1.0$, $\lambda_{LT} = 1.0$, $\lambda_{LT} = 1.0$, $\lambda_{LT} = 1.0$, $\lambda_{LT} = 1.0$. As a further simplification, C_1 may also be conservatively taken = 1.0. Simplified assessment of λ_{LT} Substituting in numerical values for simplified expressions result. $\lambda_{LT} = 1$, the following S235 $\lambda_{LT} = 1$, L / i_z , $C_1 = 1.04$, S275 $\lambda_{LT} = 1$, L / i_z , $C_1 = 0.96$, S355 $\lambda_{LT} = 1$, L / i_z , $C_1 = 0.85$. C_1 may be conservatively taken = 1.0, though the level of conservatism increases the more the actual bending moment diagram differs from uniform moment.

Simplified method (Cl. 6. 3. 2. 4) Simplified method for beams with restraints in buildings (Clause 6. 3. 2. 4) This method treats the compression flange of the beam and part of the web as a strut: b_b Compression h Tension Compression flange + $1/3$ of the compressed area of web Strut Dr. A Aziz Saim 2010 EC3 Beam Unrestrained Beam 58 General method (Cl. 6. 3. 4) General method for lateral and lateral torsional buckling of structural components • May be applied to single members, plane frames etc. Requires determination of plastic and elastic (buckling) resistance of structure, which subsequently defines global slenderness • Generally requires FE Dr. A Aziz Saim 2010 EC3 Unrestrained Beam 59 Blank Page Dr. A Aziz Saim 2010 EC3 Unrestrained Beam 60 Important Notes: (End Connections) When full torsional restraint exist: -both the compression and tension flanges are fully restrained against rotation on plan -both flanges are partially restrained against rotation on plan - both flanges are free to rotate on plan Unrestrained Beam 61 Dr. A Aziz Saim 2010 EC3 Connection Detail

Dr. A Aziz Saim 2010 EC3 Unrestrained Beam 62 Important Notes: (End Connections) Dr. A Aziz Saim 2010 EC3 Unrestrained Beam 63 Important Notes: (End Connections) When both flanges are free to rotate on plan and the compression flange is unrestrained: i. torsional restraint is provided solely by connection of the tension flange to the supports, ii. torsional restraint is provided solely by dead bearing of the tension flange on support. Unrestrained Beam 64 Dr. A Aziz Saim 2010 EC3 Dr. A Aziz Saim 2010 EC3 Unrestrained Beam 65 Dr. A Aziz Saim 2010 EC3 Unrestrained Beam 66