Postcolonialism



On Grafting the Vernacular: The Consequences of

PostcolonialSpectrologyGhosh, Bishnupriya. boundary 2, Volume 31, Number 2, Summer 2004, pp. 197-218 (Article)Published by Duke University PressFor additional information about this articleAccess Provided by Madurai Kamraj University at 05/10/11 8: 15AM GMThttp://muse. jhu. edu/journals/b2/summary/v031/31.

2ghosh. htmlOn Grafting the Vernacular: The Consequences of Postcolonial SpectrologyBishnupriya GhoshThe literary icon Amitav Ghosh has lately acquired the status of elderstatesman among South Asian writers, a political designation bestowed onlim following his withdrawal of The Glass Palace from the CommonwealthWriters Prize ?????? Best Book??™??™ nomination in 2001. In a modulated letter, Ghoshwrites, ?????? As a literary or cultural grouping however, it seems to me that ??? theCommonwealth??™ can only be a misnomer so long as it excludes the manylanguages that sustain the cultural and literary lives of these countries.??™??™ 1 Hisabrogation of the cultural currency of English in the postcolonial world, andhis consequent focus on the vernaculars of that world, hones my perception of a certain quality in his oeuvre: the stalking of the novel in English by vernacularIndian fiction. This ?????? other??™??™ archive??" a phrase I use deliberately tocapture Ghosh??™s ongoing historiographic projects??" that shadows his novelsgenerates what can only be called a hauntological literary oeuvre. Here I speak to the contours of Ghosh??™s literary haunting throughthe pursuit of a particular spectacular example, The Calcutta Chromosome1. See ?????? Letter to the Administrators of the Commonwealth Prize,??™??™ posted on AmitavGhosh??™s Web site, www.

amitavghosh. com; my emphasis. boundary 2 31: 2, 2004. Copyright ?© 2004 by Duke University Press. 198 boundary 2 / Summer 2004(1996).

2 It is in this novel that Ghosh most elaborately deploys the tropologyof the specter through his ethical spectrology (ghosting) and epistemologicalexcavation (grafting), twin processes that can be considered, I shallargue, key postcolonial imperatives. Haunting is central to the text??

™s interrogationof a colonial truth: Ronald Ross??™s discovery of the cure for malaria. Versed in medical journalism, Ghosh embarks on an arduous explanationof chromosomes and their functions.

At full speed in this breakneck rompthrough medical discoveries, folk rituals, murders, hallucinations, transmigratingsouls, and scary panoptical computers owned by futuristic megacorporations, we encounter a syphilitic homeless woman, Mangala, an untrainedgenius who, in pursuit of the littleknown scientific discovery thatthe malaria bug could be used to regenerate decaying brain tissue in thelast stages of syphilis, stumbles upon a DNA conglomerate that she cannotname: the ?????? calcutta chromosome.??™?? [™] A chromosome only by analogy, thisgenetic bundle, we are told with grave objectivity, would amount to a ?????? biologicalcorrelate??™??™ to the ?????? human soul??™??™ (206). Residing only in nonregenerativehuman tissue (the brain), the ?????? chromosome??™??™ survives only through incessant mutations, recombining the traits designating the uniqueness of eachindividual. But the ability to cut and splice DNA is precisely one of the pernicious features of the malaria bug, as Mangala accidentally discovers; andin the process of cutting and splicing human DNA, the bug can actually digest (and thus retain) this otherwise untransmissible

genetic blueprint. Aninfected person??™s brain can thus be rewired to fit an original mold. Materialsouls, in this novel, migrate not through but by the transmission of disease.

Hence the scientific ?????? discovery??™??™ in this novel is the truth about transmigratorysouls (Mangala??™s practice of corporeal immortality), a ghost storyfoisted upon the reader of a medical thriller. The Calcutta Chromosome is a medical thriller that won the prestigiousArthur C. Clarke Science Fiction Award in 1997, and the project wassoon under a film contract with Gabriele Salvatores.

Yet the novel??™ s uncovering of the ?????? facts??™ ??™ leads us to a series of ghost stories that supposedly explain the puzzle set up in the opening pages of the mystery; these fragmentarypieces are the ?????? Lakhaan stories??™ ??™ published in an obscure Bengaliliterary rag by a local writer, Phulboni. For many, the detective story endsrather abruptly, unsatisfactorily: the novel fizzles out as a medical mystery. Said one irritated reviewer for Under the Covers Book Reviews, ?????? He [Ghosh]2. Amitav Ghosh, The Calcutta Chromosome: A Novel of Fevers, Delirium, and Discovery(Delhi: Ravi Dayal, 1996). Subsequent references to this text are cited parenthetically bypage number only. Ghosh / On Grafting the Vernacular 199 veers sharply from the detective mystery format some thirty pages from the end of the book in that he fails to deliver the promised solution.

. . . In theend he serves to only denigrate the resourcefulness of the human mind.?? $^{\text{TM}}$? $^{\text{TM}}$ 3But if we take seriously Ghosh?? $^{\text{TM}}$ s postcolonial unraveling of an establishedcolonial truth, then the very genre of truth-tellingmust suffer.

Indeed, a greatdeal of ?????? resourcefulness??™??™ is required to graft onto the body of a mysteryanother manner of telling more capable of visionary praxis; hence the trafficin ghosts. The Calcutta Chromosome presents us with a template for understandingthe complex textual mode operative in Ghosh??™s work, one whichexplains his literature of haunting. The DNA analogy of grafting allegorizesthe archival search that constitutes detection in the novel: the detectiveMurugan??™s suspicion that Ross??™s analyses of the Anopheles mosquito wasrigged leads him to the real architects of the discovery??" a group of folkmedicine practitioners with immortality on their minds and no interest inthe cure for malaria. But this new knowledge comes about through continuousfragmenting and grafting of hypotheses and speculations: each narrativeabout Ross??™s discovery is haunted by the probability of another truththat confounds its credibility.

Then there is the further allegory of cutting, splicing, and recombining literary genres and traditions: this is a medicalthriller, a ghost story, a murder mystery, a philosophical rumination, and ahistoriographic project. And finally, at the metatextual level, Ghosh graftsa larger vernacular tradition of ghost fiction onto this novel in English inthe fragmented ?????? Lakhaan stories,??™??™ vernacular fiction written in the standardizedIndian languages since the inception of colonial-modern cultural forms(the short story, the novel, the essay) in the mid-nineteenth century. The?????? Lakhaan stories??™??™ appear three times in The Calcutta Chromosome, variouslyas events experienced by Grigson (a linguist who suspects Lakhaan), Farley (a missionary who is killed by the boy ghost), and Phulboni (the writerwho narrowly escapes the ghost); the details of these fragments

denselyencrypt specific vernacular ghost stories. Tarrying with the VernacularMy critical practice speaks of this ghosted tradition that hauntsGhosh while writing The Calcutta Chromosome.

In a recent conversation, the author confessed to being in the thralls of Rabindranath Tagore??™s ?????? Kshu-3. S. M. Acton, review of The Calcutta Chromosome, by Amitav Ghosh, Under the CoversBook Reviews, September 24, 1998, 1.

200 boundary 2 / Summer 2004dhita Pashaan??™??™ (?????? The Hungry Stones??™??™), a short story he had translated forthe journal Civil Lines in 1995 before embarking on The Calcutta Chromosome. 4 This claim finds longer exposition in Ghosh??™s correspondencewith historian Dipesh Chakrabarty, the year after Chakrabarty??™s ProvincializingEurope: Postcolonial Thought and Historical Difference appeared inprint. 5 Disagreeing with Chakrabarty on the valence of Tagore??™s colonialinscription, Ghosh reads Tagore as a man in ??????? crisis??™??™??™ the Bengali cosmopolitancognizant of his Enlightenment legacies yet also ?????? anguished??™??™ overhis imbrication as a colonial subject. For Ghosh, Tagore??™s angst evisceratesthe smoothness of his prose on occasion, with particular instantiationin ?????? Kshudhita Pashaan,??™??™ when the protagonist repeatedly cries out, ?????? Its alla lie.

??™??™ In Ghosh??™s reading of the tale, these recursions comprise the colonialsubject??™s glimpse into his own alienation. Tagore tropes the divided colonialsubject, argues Ghosh, in the protagonist??™s obsessive changing of clothesand switching of identities. And it is a trope that, in my

view, Ghosh encryptsin The Calcutta Chromosome, a novel replete with switched bodies, clothes, names, and identities. Speaking to the diverse transformative effects of colonialism, Ghoshcriticizes Chakrabarty??™ s emphasis on the ?????? discursive and persuasive??™ ??™ aspectsof colonialism. He insists that the postcolonial intellectual give equalweight to the record of ?????? coercion??™??™??" of racial violence, of population transfers, and of massive upheavals in the rural. 6 Hence, in his own writing of The4. In February 2002, Ghosh presented a lecture on mourning and ethical mapping at theUniversity of California, Riverside. I had the singular pleasure of spending an afternoonwith the writer chatting about politics, his travels, and recent work; I took the opportunityto voice my uncanny feeling about The Calcutta Chromosome, the sense that the noveldrew substantially on Bengali ghost fiction.

It was at this point that Ghosh mentioned thetwo inspirational fragments integrated in the novel??" the ghost fiction of Tagore and Renu. And he confessed to be in the thralls of ?????? Kshudhita Pashaan??™??™ while he was working onThe Calcutta Chromosome. 5. This correspondence between Ghosh and Dipesh Chakrabarty (simply titled ?????? A Correspondenceon Provincializing Europe??™??™), available on Ghosh??™s Web site, was published infull in Radical History Review 83 (Spring 2002): 146??" 72, just as I was revising this essay. I was immediately struck by the two scholars??™ interest in the vernacular idiom as a centralresource for postcolonial historiographies, an insistence that has earned both the label of?????? nativist.??™??™6.

One of Ghosh??™s major criticisms of Provincializing Europe is of Chakrabarty??™s inattention to the question of ?????? race,??™??™ that invisible category in South Asian postcolonial explorations. Ruminating on the shame and anguish of the postcolonial subject (with personal evocationof his father??™s experience of the colonial military regime), Ghosh returns to Tagore as the exemplar of the divided colonial subject, Tagore??™s selfreflexivity notwithstanding. Nota surprising preoccupation, given that race, especially in terms of military experience, hadGhosh / On Grafting the Vernacular 201Calcutta Chromosome, Ghosh represents very different subjects of colonialism: Murugan is the cosmopolitan from the metropole who develops anethics of representation, while the homeless Mangala and the rural migrantLakhaan are the colonial/postcolonial subaltern subjects. In such a formulation, the inclusion of Tagore (the ?????? father??™??™ of the literate Bengali postcolonialmiddle-class subject with aspirations to continuing cultural hegemony) is obviously not enough. So Ghosh encrypts another ghost story, ?????? Smellsof a Primeval Night??™??™ (1967), this time from an interlocutor of the postcolonialnation-state, the Hindi writer Phaniswarnath Renu.

Known for his literarydepictions of some of the most economically decimated landscapes of postcolonial India, Renu gave up the Padmashree (a high national awardfor cultural achievement) in 1975 to protest what he saw as the dictatorship of the postcolonial state; and we know that Tagore used his literary stature a similar fashion, renouncing his knighthood in 1919 to protest the violence of the colonial state in the infamous Jallianwalla Bagh massacre. Both Tagore and Renu saw their literary projects as crucial to the

formation of anational ethics beyond the narrow concerns of territorial governance and sovereignty; but Renu focused primarily on rural subjects, while Tagore??™sprotagonists often inhabit a colonial metropolitan milieu. No wonder Ghoshis attracted to these two literary stalwarts in his writing of the postcolonialdiasporic subject??™s struggle to represent the subaltern ?????? other??™??™ (note, in TheCalcutta Chromosome, both Antar, the protagonist of the novel, and Muruganwork for the International Water Council [earlier, LifeWatch], a megacorporation). As we shall see, both the Bengali and Hindi ghost stories aretales of betrayal, and both writers use ghosts as literary devices for raisingthose ethical questions of exclusion and coercion that trouble the (native)colonial and postcolonial bureaucrat. By grafting these stories into The Calcutta Chromosome, Ghosh implies that Tagore??™s and Renu??™s ethical concerns continue into our contemporarypostcolonial time, only now the progressive intellectual must guardagainst a ?????? forgetting??™??™ facilitated by the current global hierarchies of knowledge. For Ghosh, recalling his father??™s shame at a racial slur encounteredwhile in military service under the British, ?????? forgetting??™??™ brings an ?????? epistemologicalperplexity??™??™ engendering a series of fraught misrecognitions.

BothGhosh and Chakrabarty agree that this ?????? forgetting??™??™ is in part due to the postcolonialscholar/writer??™s failure to keep alive other ?????? critical traditions??™ thatreceived extended treatment in Ghosh??™s The Glass Palace, a historical novel publishedthe same year as Provincializing Europe: Postcolonial Thought and Historical Difference(Princeton, N. J.: Princeton University Press, 2000). 202 boundary 2

/ Summer 2004can be important resources for living: Chakrabarty cites philosophical and commentarial treatises, Ghosh evokes literary and religious sources. 7In their ensuing exchange, it becomes evident that the linguistic hierarchiesset in motion from the colonial-modern period are largely responsible for the relative obscurity of these critical traditions (whose texts, moreoften than not, are written in Indian vernaculars).

Of course, we understandvernacular not to signal authenticity; the vernacular, in the Indian context, isalways a historical category for the Indian languages and literatures placedin binary opposition to colonial English since 1813 (the Education Act). 8 Norare vernacular texts more ?????? indigenous??™??™ to the colony; certainly contemporaryBengali and Hindi literature??" Ghosh??™s two choices for The CalcuttaChromosome??" are, after all, modern traditions that wrest anew colonial culturalforms such as the novel or the essay. These vernacular texts acquirethe status of an ?????? other??™??™ archive??"????? supplemental??™??™ in the Derridean sense??" because of their current global invisibility, especially in view of the enormouscultural capital of the post-1980s??™ South Asian novel in English. HenceGhosh??™s caution against ?????? forgetting??™??™: bereft of this vernacular writing, welose entire ?????? epistemologies??™??™ that raise crucial ethical questions about thepast.

He dramatizes the dangers of forgetting, willful or not, in The
CalcuttaChromosome, where we encounter multiple levels of lost
epistemologies(counterscientific discourse, folk medicine practices,
Spiritualism, andHindu popular religion, such as tantra) owned by the
phantom presencesin the novel (Mangala, Lakhaan, European Spiritualists,

and the maverickscientists ?????? wronged??™??™ by Ronald Ross). At a metatextual level, the writerPhulboni??™s struggle over representation, and his own ?????? silences??™??™ (the ?????? lost??™??™Lakhaan stories), provides a mise en abyme for Ghosh??™s literary project. In Ghosh??™s letter to Sandra Vince of the Commonwealth Writers PrizeBoard, he implies that, in consolidating postcolonial epistemologies, someenergy should be devoted to popularizing these vernacular literatures. Hemakes visible to a global market for non-Western literatures that ghostlybody of vernacular writing eclipsed by the glamorous literary stars of his ilk??" South Asian writers in English. His invocation hails postcolonial literary critics??" cultural translators for a cosmopolitan audience??" to follow that lead, to7. Ghosh and Chakrabarty, ?????? A Correspondence,??™??™ 160.

8. I will not pursue this point any further here, for it has received extensive treatment inGauri Viswanathan??™s Masks of Conquest (New York: Columbia University Press, 1989). See also Priya Joshi??™s recent work on the vernacular fortunes of the novel in In AnotherCountry: Colonialism, Culture, and the English Novel in India (New York: Columbia UniversityPress, 2002). Ghosh / On Grafting the Vernacular 203spend our (often North-based) resources on excavating those ?????? vernacular??™??™ and ?????? supplemental??™ ??™ knowledges that do not endlessly refer back to Europeancolonial texts.

9 I take on that invocation in my critical acts in this essay, a first stab at a larger project on colonial and postcolonial spectrology. Practicing SpectrologyThe Calcutta Chromosome offers a part-fictive, alternative history ofmedicine, one that is traditionally eclipsed in the official narrative

of RonaldRoss??™s romance with the Anopheles mosquito. Mangala stumbles on a processthat won the medical visionary JuliusWagner-Jauregg the Nobel Prizein 1927??" the process of curing syphilis with the malaria bug.

Not only isGhosh interested in unearthing these parallel histories, but he sees thecolonial narrative of discovery as an exercise of power. Thus, a mediocreEnglishman enters the annals of history, even though the last and arguablythe most crucial stages of his research on the Anopheles were orchestratedby Mangala and her associates. But why the need for the puppet discoverer, Ross Murugan hypothesizes that these folk medicine practitioners neededspecific mutations of the malaria bug to stabilize the transfer of the ?????? biologicalsoul,??™??™ but they lacked the resources to produce those mutations ina controlled (laboratory) setting. Indeed, by giving us a list of (European)scientists whose research Ross basically filches, Ghosh exposes the ?????? discoverer??™??™as a charlatan and the act of scientific discovery as a collaborative and cumulative enterprise often indebted to those on the radical edge ofscience. The postcolonial version of this scientific underground, the spectralcorpus, is even more radical in touching upon a matter troubling to ourcontemporary moment??" biological cloning (207). Murugan simulates the task of an archivist in reconstructing this alternativehistory, in part garnered from seemingly unrelated medical discoveries, in part interpreted, and in part hypothesized. Indeed, such imaginativehistoriography has been the mark of Ghosh??™s fiction and nonfiction. For one, archival reconstruction was the motor for the travelogue that shot Ghosh to9.

Vijay Mishra and Bob Hodge exemplify an earlier moment of debate in postcolonialstudies that addressed the bad marriage of the postcolonial to the postmodern. Thesedebates interrogated the market stipulations that condition the production of postcolonialknowledge, with progressives crying foul. Mishra and Hodge called for the situatedstudy of postcolonial contexts and the subsequent consolidation of vernacularand local knowledges to supplement analyses of postcolonial literatures. See ?????? What IsPost(-)colonialism??™ ??™ Textual Practice 5, no. 3 (1991): 399??" 414. 204 boundary 2 / Summer 2004fame.

In an Antique Land, published in 1993, features the forgotten histories of Jews, Muslims, and Hindus traversing Egypt and India in the early modernperiod. A thinly veiled autobiographical narrator??™s obsessive search for aslave??™s story, one that would have otherwise remained a footnote in history, leads him to excavate these histories from equally forgotten archival chambers(the Geniza). 10 The narrator first comes across a passing allusion to theslave, Bomma, in a letter written by Madmum, a merchant in Aden, to hisfriend, Abraham Ben Yiju, from Mangalore; the narrator is further intriguedby the second appearance of the slave in a letter from S.

D. Gottein??™s collectionof letters in the Bodelian Library. Curious about this recurrent trace, and convinced of the erasure of the ?????? small voice of history??™??™??™??????? the wazirs and the sultans, the chroniclers and the priests had the power to physically inscribe themselves upon time??™??™ 11??" Ghosh plots looping and fragmented journeysto Lataifa, Nashawy, and Mangalore, seeking Bomma.

The narrator??™s geographicdispersal replicates the scattering of certain ways of knowing theworld, while Ghosh powerfully brings the forces of modern knowledge??" history, anthropology, philology, sociology, and religion, complete with thirtysevenpages of documentation??" to bear on his reconstructive project. On other occasions, Ghosh has been an active participant in anIndian national spectrology: the obsession with ?????? forgotten??™??™ national icons, acorollary to the ongoing national historical revisions of the 1980s and 1990s. In the New Yorker issue commemorating India??™s golden jubilee of independence, Ghosh chose to write an essay on the forgotten history of the IndianNational Army (INA), titled ?????? India?? ™s UntoldWar of Independence.

??™??™ 12 A militantmobilization against the British led by Subhas Chandra Bose and repressedwithin statist historical narrations of the nonviolent freedom struggle, theINA story is a fiercely remembered regional (eastern Indian) struggle reconstructedin Ghosh??™s commemorative essay. His recounting of those glorydays becomes a political intervention of sorts into the national struggle overhistory, the ghost of Netaji (the freedom fighter, Bose) looming over India??™scelebrated Gandhian nonviolent revolution. Netaji??™s recursive figure in the10.

Amitav Ghosh, In an Antique Land (Delhi: Ravi Dayal, 1993). 11. Ghosh, In an Antique Land, 17. 12. Amitav Ghosh, ?????? India??™s UntoldWar of Independence,??™??™ New Yorker, June 23, 1997, 105??" 21. Ghosh starts his essay by evoking popular memory??" the contradictory stories aboutthis untold war that he received from his parents motivated his historical digging.

Populargossip in Bengal has it that Bose??™s disappearance was actually a murder covered up bythe British.

In politically troubled periods, there were repeated Netaji sightings in Bengalthat record a Bengali desire for lost political national hegemony. Ghosh / On Grafting the Vernacular 205Bengali imagination marks a desire for the Bengalis??™ lost centrality in nationalistpolitics, on the one hand; but, on the other, this ghost demands ethicalredress from contemporary Indian citizen-subjects for the traumas ofthe INA soldiers, tried for treason by the colonial state and represented asbetrayers of the nonviolent revolution in ?????? official??™ ??™ nationalist accounts. 13This abiding interest in historically grounded ghosts stimulatesGhosh??™s literary endeavor in The Calcutta Chromosome.

And it is a projectthat he shares with Dipesh Chakrabarty, who argues, in ProvincializingEurope, for the sentience of literature in postcolonial ethnography. Chakrabartydemonstrates the difficulties of translating subaltern lifeworlds (andthe entire belief systems that these narratives carry with them) into the timeof rational history: how does one capture subaltern significations of supernaturaland cyclical time that compel understandings of work and translatethem into the rational abstraction ?????? labor??™??™

The problem, argues Chakrabarty, is perhaps best dealt with in a kind of translation that registers theshock of the uncanny, keeping faithful to the scandal of incommensurabilities??" for historians do not have the license available to literary practitionersto jump the parameters of rational narration. Chakrabarty turns to songs, idioms, poems, festival rituals, and so on, to provide evidence of ?????? othertimes??™??™ in subaltern lifeworlds,

becoming an ethnographer of sorts; Ghoshtakes on the same role somewhat differently, treating literary practice as anethnographic and historical endeavor.

But in their actual tasks of consolidating this ?????? other??™??™ archive, the twoscholars diverge. As their exchange in Provincializing Europe indicates, Chakrabarty is clearly preoccupied with the ?????? uncanny??™?? [™] as an effect of incommensurability; the condition of disjunctive historical discourses and the implications of loss concern him greatly. Ghosh sees in the same loss theimperative for recovery??" an imaginative project that mobilizes literary resources for its purposes. Hence ?????? ghosts,??™??™ for Ghosh, are devices that undocertain discursive (and generic, in The Calcutta Chromosome) limits; ghoststherefore occupy a more redemptive place in his oeuvre, in moving us tothose questions of political justice and hope that Jacques Derrida asks in hiselaboration of ?????? hauntology and its attendant ethics??™??™ in Specters of Marx. 1413. More recently, Netaji has found literary evocation in Ruchir Joshi??™s The Last Jet-EngineLaugh (New Delhi: Harper Collins, 2001), as a man whose famous disappearance (whileflying to Japan in 1942) continues to plague the regional imagination. 14. Jacques Derrida, Specters of Marx: The State of Debt, the Work of Mourning, and theNew International, trans.

Peggy Kamuf (New York: Routledge, 1994), xix. Hereafter, thiswork is cited parenthetically as SM. 206 boundary 2 / Summer 2004The Calcutta Chromosome is Ghosh??™s most sustained engagement withhauntology, in both its incitement to imagine a radical postfoundationalistfuture (Derrida) and its overt staging of incommensurable epistemologies(Chakrabarty). Of

all of Ghosh??™s novels, it is this novel that takes seriouslythe undoing of oppositions that is Derrida??™s figuration of the specter.

Suchunraveling of literary seams makes for the unprecedented uneven narration, textual fragmentation, and generic dysfunction that, I suspect, might wellaccount for this novel??™s unpopularity in Ghosh??™s canon. More importantly, The Calcutta Chromosome is a literary theater that extends the ethical implications of the Derridean specter to break newground. Since Gayatri Spivak??™s In Other Worlds (1988), and despite hercontinuing critiques of Derrida, Derrida??™s rigorous questioning of Westernfoundationalism has been critical to the poststructuralist strain of the postcolonial critique. The ethical turn we witness in The Calcutta Chromosomeshares Derrida??™s perception of ?????? haunting??™??™ as inimical to the histories oftrauma and loss, precisely what is occluded in the politico-juridical discoursesof wrong, repayment, and debt. In her remarks on Walter Benjamin??™ sangel of history and Derrida??™s specters as ?????? poignant signifiers??™??™ of thepostfoundationalist predicament, Wendy Brown asks, ?????? What kind of historical consciousness is possible and appropriate for contemporary political critiqueand analysis, and how can agency be derived to make a more just, emancipatory, or felicitous future order??™??™ 15 Specters present new possibilitiesin undoing the opposition between life and death, presence and absence; by implication, they collapse the boundedness of present, past, andfuture. Specters are, Brown argues, redemptive: they are intangible sitesfor imagining a future beyond discredited modernist narratives of progressand a violent exclusionary metaphysics of presence. Spectrology, in thissense, is postprogressive

history relocating historical meaning to an ?????? otherspace and idiom??

™ ??™ 16; it imagines political justice in a world that is ?????? contingent,??

™ ??™ ????? unpredictable,??™ ??™ and ?????? not fully knowable.

??™??™ 17 Such Derridean and multivalentuse of specters opens up our reading of Ghosh??™s deployment of hauntologybeyond the staging of the ?????? uncanny??™??™ as a figure for the incommensurable. 15. Wendy Brown, ????? Futures,??™??™ in Politics Out of History (Princeton, N.

J.: Princeton UniversityPress, 2000), 139??" 40. 16. Brown, ?????? Futures,??

™ ??™ 144. 17.

Brown, ?????? Futures,??™??™ 145. Ghosh / On Grafting the Vernacular 207The GhostThat ghosts bear witness to erasures in the ?????? living present??™??™ is, ofcourse, commonplace in the enormous literature on mourning and memorywork of the last decades. 18 Derrida pushes us further, pitching ghosts asspecters of futurity: If I am getting ready to speak of ghosts, which is to say about certainothers who are not present, nor presently living, either to us, in us, oroutside of us, it is in the name of justice.

Of justice where it is not yet, not yet there, where it is no longer, let us understand where it is nolonger present, and where it will never be, no more than a law, reducibleto laws or rights. It is necessary to speak of the ghost, indeedto the ghost, and with it, from the moment that no ethics, no politics, whether revolutionary or not, seems possible and thinkable and justithat does not recognize in principle the respect for others who are nolonger or for those who are not yet there, presently living, whetherthey are already dead

or not yet born. (SM, 10)He reminds us of Marx??™s well-known sighting of Communism as a ?????? specterthat haunts Europe,??™??™ a ?????? phantomic??™??™ possibility of a future socius.

Focusingon Marx??™s injunction, Derrida insists that the specter here is not just in thedomain of ideas (Spirit) but is already materially there in the ?????? living present.??™All forces of the law??" the church, the family, the state in nineteenth-centuryEurope??" girded themselves against this specter in a willful denial of thosecertain others whose promise preoccupies Marx; for him, the counterrevolutionariesin 1848 thus erase that strangely familiar body but continue to behaunted by its recursions. In fact, it is this disappeared body of the ghost??" this someone who looks at you??" that gives these forces of the law theirstrength and organization. Marx is therefore critical of spectralization, itsevacuation of the concrete; the ethical task at hand is to bring back the bodyproper of the ghost. We find a precursor to The Calcutta Chromosome??™s spectral ethics inGhosh??™s essay on the Delhi riots following the assassination of Mrs. Gandhi,?????? The Ghosts of Mrs.

Gandhi.??™??™ Published in 1995, the year following Der-18. A majority of scholars recognizes literature as the domain where these spectersof embodied loss roam: for instance, in Ghostly Matters: Haunting and the SociologicalImagination (Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press, 1997), Avery Gordon reads thatnow-famous ghost in Toni Morrison??™s Beloved as the ?????? seething presence of the absent??™??™(23). For Gordon, ghosts are entirely necessary to grasp the complexities of our socialworld, for they

speak eloquently of ?????? invisibilities??™??™ and ?????? exclusions??™??

™ (23).

208 boundary 2 / Summer 2004rida??™s Specters of Marx, Ghosh directly addresses the ethical significanceof remembering violence. As the essay progresses, he jettisons chronologicalnarration in favor of many interrupted returns to the past, a temporalidiom he explicitly describes as a haunting. 19 Ghosh??™s memory of the 1984riots while he was working for the daily Indian Express impels him to consider1947 again. He starts writing The Shadow Lines soon after the Delhiriots, explaining that this was ?????? a book that led me backward in time, to earliermemories of riots, ones witnessed in childhood.

It became a book not aboutany one event but about the meaning of such events and their effects onindividuals who live through them.??™??™20

Further along in the essay, Ghosh??™saccount of the pamphlet ?????? Who Are the Guilty??™??™??™ a tract in which the citizensof Delhi question possible state participation in violence??" propels himto consider another violent scene, the Bombay riots of 1992??" 93. Momentsof violence, ruptures in the unitary national polity, foreground those ?????? certainothers??™??™ (SM, 10) who remain invisible and excluded from national consciousness: each riot (1947, 1984, 1992??" 93) jolts the memory of another specter, another sighting of unrestful spirits. Ghosh??™s looping narrative in this essaysuggests that the cost of a unified nation has always accrued to those whodo not count in our ?????? living present.??™??™ The Calcutta

Chromosome continuesthis project of speaking to ghosts that is vibrant in his essays on the Delhi riotand the INA (some of his material on the INA has

been fictionalized recentlyin The Glass Palace [2001]). Not surprisingly, almost every character in The Calcutta Chromosomehas commerce with ghosts. A virtual ghost, flashing on Antar??™s screenand demanding his investigation of Murugan, propels the mystery forward. Antar is the twenty-first-century protagonist who embarks on finding Murugan, who had disappeared from LifeWatch.

LifeWatch, a dystopian Kafkaesquepanoptical North-based megacorporation with headquarters in NewYork but ?????? no office in Calcutta,??™??™ initially employs both men. In pursuit of hisKurtz, Antar learns that Murugan??™ s advocacy of an epistemological challengeled to his ?????? ostracism??™??™ from the ?????? scholarly community??™??™ and ?????? estrangementfrom several of his friends and associates??™??™ (31). Much later in the narrative, we realize that it is not just Antar??™s official assignment or curiosityabout the Ross story that keeps him engaged in the wild chase for Mangalaand her associates but his fascination with his alter ego, Murugan??™s renegadestatus in LifeWatch. A glimpse into Antar??™s pre-LifeWatch existence19. Amitav Ghosh, ?????? The Ghosts of Mrs.

Gandhi,??™??™ New Yorker, July 17, 1995, 35??" 43. 20. Ghosh, ?????? The Ghosts of Mrs.

Gandhi,??™??™ 40. Ghosh / On Grafting the Vernacular 209in a small village by the banks of the Nile (shades of the narrator in In anAntique Land) clues us in to Antar??™s sense of loss and his consequent nostalgiafor a simpler life beyond the tentacles of a megacorporation. The virtualghost undoes Antar??™s compartmentalized present, instigating personalanguish and

luring him to rebellion??" this time against his omniscient computer, Ava.

Nor is Antar??™s haunting an anomaly. Each major character in thenovel is haunted by a secret that links him or her to the vital calcutta chromosomemystery: Mangala and Murugan are syphilitics, the glamorous Sonaliis in search of her natural father, Phulboni searches for immortality as awriter, and so on. The familiar other beckons the detective, the journalist, the writer, and the missionary to a larger ethical quest.

The CorpseWhile the ghost inhabits the seam between the real and the fictive, the present and the past/future, the ?????? corpse??™??™ is its visible presence in rationallyordered space and time. But Mangala??™s practice of corporeal immortalitytroubles the empirical status of the corpse by insisting on a biologicalhaunting. D. D. Cunningham, Countess Pongracz, and Murugan, amongother characters, are??" medically speaking??" dead or literally have disappearedinto obscurity. Yet they continue to trouble our pseudomedical rationalityby constantly reappearing (being reincarnated) as other characters, and the novel insists that the philosophical premise of transmigration beaccorded the same empirical credibility that we willingly give (even the mostesoteric) medical discourse on bodies. Such epistemological leaps are necessaryto speak to ghosts in our living present.

In fact, Ghosh restores thecorporeal materiality of these ghosts by explaining transmigration of souls inbiological terms??" the lingo of chromosomes, DNA, retroviruses, and mutationswell known to contemporary global cosmopolitan readers. For in Mangala??™ spopular religious medical practice, the transfer of the human soul isaccomplished by the transmission of malaria-infected blood (routed throughthe bodies of pigeons, which are used as agar-plates).

This drama of corporealrestoration in the story is homologous to Ghosh??™s (hypothetical) restoration of a corpus/corpse of indigenous knowledge troubling to the colonialmedical gaze. Scientists, administrators, doctors, missionaries, computeranalysts fall prey to the spectral knowledge that makes their discourse onhealth and cures possible but that remains inadmissible in rational discourse. There are some converts to the doctrine of corporeal immortality, as we see in D.

D. Cunnigham, Ross??™s predecessor, who stonewalls Ross??™s210 boundary 2 / Summer 2004search for the malaria bug for almost a year before disappearing into thesteamy underground of soul switchers. In developing the process of spectralization, Derrida provides noteson the ghostly body proper that resonate with The Calcutta Chromosome, anovel about bodies in the present, past, and future. Marx, in Derrida??™s view, posits the process of ghosting as the de-materialization of the body??" the redspecter that ?????? was conjured (away) by the counter-revolutionaries (in fact, byall of Europe: the Manifesto was yesterday)??™??™ (SM, 117).

This violent exclusion??" dis-incarnation??" of the ghost does not mean that the material conditionsof the specter have disappeared; it is the work of demystification to restore the body proper to the ghost. Derrida insists on the strange corporeality of the ghost shuttling between the nonhuman (a thing) and the human(someone) in the world. In the recurrence of disappeared bodies in The CalcuttaChromosome, we inhabit this uncanny place, where only by partially abandoning the proportions of scientific rationalism can we recognize certain others. Ghosh deconstructs the rational premises of knowledge production in focusing our attention on the problem of

disappeared bodies: the corpusof folk and popular religious and medical knowledge becomes invisible, silent, ephemeral, only when commodified and circulated in colonial modernpractices. These ?????? subaltern??™??™ practices??" if we understand ?????? subaltern??™ tobe a differential relation??" are put to instrumental and quantifiable use in thecolonial regime: the knowledge of possible corporeal immortality is transformedinto the cure for malaria. Looking anew at Marx??™s conception of thecommodity fetish, Derrida notes that it is in the translation of things??" theircoding in systems of exchange??" that they acquire a ghost-effect.

The table, he argues??" continuing with Marx??™s famous example??" is, after all, an ?????? ordinary, sensuous thing??™??™ that has use value, it is ?????? human at the bottom??™??™ (SM, 151). In the Derridean landscape of specters, this sensuous thing acquiresthe mystical properties of commodity when it enters a chain of exchange:????? The ghostly scheme nowappears indispensable. The commodity is a ??? thing??™ without phenomenon, a thing in flight that surpasses the senses (it is invisible, intangible, inaudible, and odorless); but this transcendence is notaltogether spiritual, it retains the bodiless body that we have recognizedas making the difference between specter and spirit. What surpasses thesenses still passes before us in the silhouette of the sensuous body that itnevertheless lacks or that remains inaccessible to us??™??™ (SM, 151). Knowledgefetishized as ?????? medical discovery,??™??™ the conquest of the corporeal, is uncannycommodity in The Calcutta Chromosome.

Mangala??™s insights lose their senGhosh/ On Grafting the Vernacular 211suousness in the transfer to Ronald Ross??™s lab and its incumbent https://assignbuster.com/postcolonialism/

colonialmystique. The use value of finding the malaria bug??™s ability to cut and pasteDNA remains mundane in Mangala??™s routine soul transfers, but the malariabug is Ross??™s ticket to fame. Hence the discovery acquires mystical value, his ?????? cure??™??™ a treasured commodity in the colonial rationale for government. Despite his critique of colonial appropriation, Ghosh can, in his fictionalmedium, imagine an ?????? outside??™??™ to these systems of exchange and commodityformation: in the secrecy of Mangala??™s rituals, the use value of thecalcutta chromosome retains its materiality, its sensuousness, its silence. SilencesThe silence of Mangala??
™s occult practices poses a problem for modernpostcolonial historiography. How to speak of this counterscientific knowledgefrom the proportions of rational discourse How, indeed, to speak of, to, and with ghosts This is the classic question of communicability thathaunts all postcolonial discourse, and it is foregrounded as the key problemamong subaltern studies scholars.

Chakrabarty poses disjunctive discourse??" uncanny speech??" as one utopian solution; he asks us to imaginea subaltern history away from ?????? the dream of the whole called a state,??™??™ ina ?????? fragmentary and episodic??™??™ structure of democratic dialogue. 21 The historianenters a dialogue punctured by the other, respectful of the ?????? radicalpolysemy of languages and practices??™??™ that testifies to the incommensurabilityof the worlds that ?????? we??™??™ (presumably, Chakrabarty, speaking of thesecular modern subject) inhabit. 22 This is precisely the disjunctive discourse21.

See Chakrabarty, ?????? Radical Histories and the Question of Enlightened Rationalism: Some Recent Critiques of Subaltern Studies,??™??™ Economic

https://assignbuster.com/postcolonialism/

and Political Weekly of India, April 8, 1995, 751??" 59. Chakrabarty takes on formidable critiques of radical left historiesfrom more traditional Marxist critics, such as Sumit Sarkar. Sarkar has most famously criticizedsubaltern studies scholars for their undermining of the legacies of Enlightenmentrationalism, an underscoring of rationality that celebrates all manner of the affective, theunsaid, the lived. This includes religious understandings of community, which, in the ageof chauvinistic Hindutva, seem particularly dangerous to the goals of secularism. Chakrabartydefends his critical engagement with Enlightenment rationalism, which in no wayentails a ?????? wholesale rejection of the tradition of rational argumentation??™ ??™ (752). Rather, his work rejects the ?????? hyperrationalism of the colonial modern??™ ??™ that would deny anythingaffective??"?????? pleasures, desires, emotions??™ ??™ ??™ ?? ™ as being important to the tasks of historicalinvestigation.

22. Chakrabarty, ?????? Radical Histories,??™??™ 751. 212 boundary 2 / Summer 2004we encounter in The Calcutta Chromosome, where the ?????? facts??™??™ of science, requiring communication (the narrative of discovery), are indeed ?????? punctured??™??™ by the counterscientific will to secrecy, improbability, and inadmissibility. In the novel, medical discourse and its attendant literary genres are contaminated with meditations on religio-philosophical truths. The realityof transmigration assumes centrality as the protagonist Antar becomesthe John Malkovichian body for the grafting of other characters in thenovel; transmigration is further posited as logically commensurable with ourpresent-day experiences of living multiple and virtual cyberlives. We can account, in part, for the secrecy in the practice of

corporealimmortality if we take a closer look at the kinds of religiophilosophicalshenanigans dramatized in the novel. We enter an underground
of ritualsand cults, from meetings of spooky syphilitics in forest clearings to
Spiritualist(the European take on accessing multiple souls, equally scorned
byscientists) seances.

For we are in the domain not only of religion but popularreligion. The nature of the performances described in the novel??" ritualswith a punchy charge??" refers us to tantra, that Other of Brahmanical Hinduism. Always seen as a counterreligion, tantra works against the Brahmanicalimperative to control and prohibit desire in order to attain moksha (freedomfrom the cycle of death and rebirth); tantric cults deploy desire, andtherefore the body, as a means to freeing the soul. The ecstatic antics ofMangala??™s followers, their ease with violence and the worship of sexualizedfemale deities, echo the tantric rites exalting the Kali (a malignant manifestation of the mother goddess venerated in Bengal).

23In turn, any philosophy of transmigration is posed as the ?????? other??

™??™ ofWestern scientific rationalism, as many of the European characters in thenovel inform us; seen from within epistemological hierarchies, the spiritualreaching toward the eternal is of secondary importance to the scientific23. Tantra was most widely practiced by forest dwellers, ?????? outlaws??™ ??™ who worshipped Kaliand were often characterized as dacoits (bandits) in common Bengali lore. The Britishabolition of ?????? Thugee??

™??™ in 1837 attempted to clean up these populations, driving them undergroundwith their tantric practices. No surprise, given that tantra was always perceived aspopular religion by Brahmanical Hindus. Even more

interesting, for our purposes, is thefact that these bands of thugs acquire heroic proportion as freedom fighters in the fiction of nineteenth-century novelist Bankimchandra Chattopadhyay (especially enshrined in hiswidely circulated Devi Chaudurani). Ghosh is interested in Chattopadhyay because thelatter wrote the first Indian novel in English, Rajmohun??™s Wife, a novel Ghosh reads as adress rehearsal for Chattopadhyay??™s more celebrated Bengali fiction. See Amitav Ghosh,?????? The March of the Novel through History: The Testimony of My Grandfather??™s Bookcase,??™??™ reprinted in The Imam and the Indian (Delhi: Permanent Black, 2002), 287??" 304.

Ghosh / On Grafting the Vernacular 213hubris of rejuvenating mortal bodies. And from within rational discourse, spiritual will acquires the shadiness of a ?????? counterscience??™??™: the other side ofmortality is inadmissible; it assumes proportion only as occult, as excess. These alternative medical and philosophical practices, therefore, rely onsecrecy??" the very opposite of communication and/or discovery??" for their continued transmission: ?????? Silence is their religion,??™??™ Murugan explains to abewildered Antar (88). Silence is thick discourse in this novel, and it transformsthose who answer to it.

Congruent with the precepts of corporealimmortality, Phulboni imagines silence to be a material thing, a ?????? creature??™??™that haunts the bowels of the city: ?????? But here our city, where all law, naturaland human, is held in capricious suspension, that which is hidden hasno need of words to give it life; like a creature that lives in a perverse element, it mutates to discover sustenance precisely where it appears to bemost starkly withheld??" in this case, silence??™??™ (121). Literary TongueAs modern

subjects, if indeed we must break the silence, the literaryprovides a place for phantomic figurations. Phulboni, the literary visionary inthe tale, is the one most tuned to stealth of the silent underground; his languageeddies around its possibilities. His capable expressivity in the novelconvinces us that Mangala??™s steamy underground cannot be spoken of indenotative descriptive language; its only tongue is the figurative, the domainof imaginative work.

The argument advances through Murugan??™s progressionfrom a LifeWatch employee, to detective, to postcolonial archivist. Asthe novel proceeds, we are faced with a crisis in narration. The narratives ofseveral scientists, administrators, linguists, missionaries, doctors, and Spiritualistsare constantly displaced, replaced, cut and pasted. In fact, all majoracts of detection in the novel involve deconstructing existing and discordantaccounts from the colonial era (journal entries, diaries, logs of scientificresearch, partially damaged audiocassette narrations, oral memories, letters).

The main pursuers of truth in The Calcutta Chromosome figure out thepuzzle of the counterscientific through filling in gaps, finishing log entries, or writing in the indecipherable. Soon our main fact finder Murugan??™s epistemologicalfrustrations give way to an oppositional subjectivity. He rejectsthe institutional parameters of discovery, and he begins to supplement thefissures of the colonial story with fragments of other unconventional knowledges. For new evidence, he draws on unfinished fragments of records fromother men who come in contact with Ross and his strange crew, Elijah Farley214 boundary 2 / Summer 2004and D. D.

Cunningham, both of whom traffic in ghosts. So Murugan hasto turn to ghost stories published in an obscure and out-of-print vernacularmagazine to finally understand what ghostly presences are doing in thenarrative of Ross??™s discovery. 24There are three sightings of Lakhaan??™s ghost in the novel??™s denouement, just as in Phulboni??™s oeuvre the Lakhaan stories circle around differentmutations of the same character, Lakhaan.

Murugan??™s love interest and fellowinvestigator, Urmila, reads these mutations as a ?????? kind of allegory??™??™ (93). As a journalist/literary critic, Urmila has a quest of her own, one that allegorizesGhosh??™s ?????? recovery??™??™ (and embedding) of vernacular antecedents tohis novel in English. Urmila seeks the story behind the famous writer Phulboni?? ™sspiraling personal decline and his delirious wanderings, which sheascribes to the ?????? mystery??™??™ of the Lakhaan stories. In its ability to figurativelygesture toward another story that remains silent in the text, literary practiceedges closer to the truth of counterscience than scientific explanation. By the end of the novel, the vernacular literary tale is the only authoritativemeans through which the characters can decode the muddled and untruthfulrecords of scientific discovery. Vernacular SuturesIn terms of postcolonial literary practice, vernacular ghost fiction presentsa certain ?????? native??™??™ record of the colonial presence, a register of its violenceupon the colonized world. Scattered encryptions of vernacular ghoststories in The Calcutta Chromosome exert an uncanny pressure on anyreader familiar with traditions of vernacular ghost fiction, a tradition thatGhosh clearly claims as central to understanding the contemporary postcolonialscholar/writer??™s ?????? epistemological perplexity.

??™??™ Even on first read, one is struck by the specific resonances in thethree Lakhaan fragments in the novel. They seem to beckon a corpus of24. In fact, Ghosh grants equal credence to written facts as he does to lore and hearsay. His renegade detective, Murugan, wonders if Phulboni wrote the Lakhaan story first andlater ?????? heard??™??™ its folk version or vice versa. This confusion of folk versions, literary manifestations, and hearsay as ?????? scientific??™??™ evidence constitutes the new work of the archivist, Ghosh suggests, an insistence on subaltern knowledges??" myths, folk narratives, and fiction??" as the ground of rational understanding given the fallen state of knowledge in thecolonial-modern world. The final ?????? truth??™??™ must be experienced or performed by the investigator.

Thus, throughout the novel, written and oral traces lead us to performances: of illicithealing, of unsavory seances, of sexual fusion between bodies. Ghosh / On Grafting the Vernacular 215writing best described by Parama Roy as the Indian ?????? bureaucratic gothic,??™??™25a genre that figurally records the trauma of modernity in the postcolonial liberalstate. In the case of Bengali literature, I could identify (albeit inexpertly)antecedents to this genre that date back to literature written under colonialrule, but here I focus on two memorable examples, Tagore??™s ?????? KshudhitoPashaan??™??™ and Renu??™s ?????? Smells of a Primeval Night,??™??™ which were cited byGhosh as inspirational sources for The Calcutta Chromosome. 26Numerous references to these tales find their way into The CalcuttaChromosome, creating a literary puzzle of sorts: the haunted station is explicitlynamed Renupur, and we are told it lies somewhere between Barichand Darbhanga (Barich is the setting for the Tagore story); ?????? Kshudhito Pashaan??™??

™commences with a ghost story told by a tax collector to his fellowtravelers on a train, and in The Calcutta Chromosome, Phulboni hears thestory of Lakhaan??™s ghost also on a train (a classic Indian setting for storytelling, with the railways symbolizing the reach of the state/empire); the Tagorestory is suffused with the changing and exchanging of clothes, much likethe obsessive changing of bodies in The Calcutta Chromosome; Phulboniworks for a British company when he encounters the boy ghost, and thusqualifies as a protagonist for the bureaucratic gothic; further, Phulboni chosehis pseudonym??" no doubt playing with the pen name of another famousBengali writer, Bonophul??" from the wild Phulboni region in the eastern stateof Orissa, the place of Santhals, who are Karma??™s (Renu??™s servant-boy protagonist)people. One could go on.

But my point here is this: in Ghosh??™ shands, this vernacular ghost genre becomes the genetic blueprint for thenovel in English. The Lakhaan ghost fragments to which all trails returnare mutations of original vernacular literary fare, perhaps Ghosh??™ s elaborateallegory for the primal scene of postcolonial writing in English. I would further explain this personal haunting by a quick look at the25. Parama Roy, author of Indian Traffic, spoke from her new work at the Cultural AnalysisColloquium at the University of California, Santa Barbara, March 6, 2002. Her talk, titled?????? Figures of Famine,??™??™ presented Mahasweta Devi??™ s literary and journalistic ?????? accounting??™??™ offamine, a phenomenon that troubles the postcolonial liberal state and its agents (bureaucrats).

Fiction that captures the shock of this traumatic excess within the bureaucraticimaginary is what Roy pithily transcribes as ?????? the

https://assignbuster.com/postcolonialism/

bureaucratic gothic.??™??™26. Rabindranath Tagore??™s ?????? Kshudhita Pashaan??™??™ (?????? The Hungry Stones??™??™) was published inThe Hungry Stones and Other Stories (New York: Macmillan, 1916), 1??" 15; PhaniswarnathRenu??™s tale is set in the postcolonial era, and a translation may be found in The ThirdVow and Other Stories, trans.

Katherine G. Hansen (New Delhi: Chanakya Press, 1986), 133??" 51. 216 boundary 2 / Summer 2004subject of these two stories (metonymically the subject of the colonial andpostcolonial bureaucratic gothic). The Lakhaan story in The Calcutta Chromosomeis a tale of vengeance, where the boy??™s ghost takes revenge on thestation master who attempts to kill him. The boy is a poor rural migrant whois violently handled by the agent of empire, the station master, who treatshim as an outcast drifter of suspect parentage. Living in a railway station, he is caught in the transition between the village and the modern city. Hebecomes the recursive ghost of the postcolonial state??" the specters fromthe hinterland vibrant in Mahasweta Devi??™s ghost fiction??" who reminds theliberal urban postcolonial bureaucrat of an ethical failure; the rural or tribalother is that figure of excess for whom ?????? free??™??™ India cannot account and forwhom there are no rights and no redress.

27Almost all these features of the Lakhaan character are mutations ofKarma, Renu??™s protagonist. In a postcolonial fable set in the most underdevelopedregion of postindependent India, the migrant foundling knowsthat he ?????? belongs??™??™ to a list of ?????? babus??™??™ (white-collar workers under the Raj, often agents of empire) as he shuttles between railway hubs. He is traumatizedby how he is perceived as a casteless and

homeless thief, and constantlydreams of being lured by a red light to the train tracks, where hedies. Karma is haunted by his own bodily disintegration when his feet andhead are sundered from his torso by the carnal engine; in his delirium, andmuch to his amazement, Karma sees himself wearing Anthony sahib??™s boots(Renu equates the colonial sahib to the postcolonial babu/bureaucrat)??" obviously, he has been murdered for his sin of stealing the boots. Karma??™ snightmare finds literal encryption in Farley??™s and Grigson??™s encounters withLakhaan28 in The Calcutta Chromosome, where a ghostly red lantern leads27. Parama Roy eloquently made this point about ghosts as a record of the excess inconceivableto the liberal postcolonial subject, an excess that activists such as MahaswetaDevi catalog in their fiction. Phaniswarnath Renu presents an interesting parallel to MahaswetaDevi, since he is best known for his intellectual commitment to nonmetropolitanmilieus and his critique of the postcolonial liberal state, especially in his celebrated novelMaila Anchal (Delhi: Soiled Border, 1954). Like Mahasweta Devi, then, he is both a chroniclerof and an active interventionist in the modern violences that the nation inflicts on itsown people.

28. Lakhaan is a common name with an interesting mythological referent for our particularghost. Lakshman (the Sanskritized version of the eastern Indian variant, Lakhaan) isRam??™s brother, who follows the former, the epic hero of the Ramayan, into his fourteenyearexile; Lakshman??™s motives are simply loyalty and love, and it is a common Indian joketo cast aspersions on Lakhaan??™s motives. The name Lakhaan, therefore, conjures one whoGhosh / On Grafting the Vernacular 217the men to their doom on the

train tracks. Yet the tables have turned. For inGhosh??™s hands the poor migrant avenges himself by turning the babu (Phulboni)and the Englishmen (Farley and Grigson) into the victims. Tagore??™s protagonist, a Hindu man and a theosophist kinsman, hearsthe ghost story from a Muslim collector of cotton duties for the British government, with whom he travels. The cosmopolitan urban Muslim fascinatesthe theosophist, who becomes enamored by this someone who is besiegedby ghosts, a Muslim other, no less.

In turn, the tax collector recognizeshis ?????? malady??™??™ (manifested in hallucinations) through someone else who ishaunted??" the old man, Meher Ali, the custodian of local lore. Tagore??™s investmentin a unified (Hindu and Muslim) national socius??" pervasive in hisfiction following the partition (1905) and reunification (1911) of Bengal??" projectsthe fantasy of a Muslim past in the imaginary of a collaborator (colonialagent), a fantasy that captivates his Hindu audience. The tax collector, given to facts and figures, cannot account for his temporary madness whileon assignment in Barich.

Drawn to an abandoned palace, he succumbs toits hungry stones: he plays and replays the role of a lustful Muslim pashadriven mad by his harem, and by one beauty in particular. The drama offust, revenge, and yearning has seeped into the very walls of the mansionthat now consumes any who cross its threshold. In this colonial bureaucraticgothic, Tagore??™s metaphoric hungry stones are the excess that drives Britishrulers and their Indian agents to delirium and hallucination; it is a specterthat cannot be accounted for or put to rest. Both the vernacular stories register a trauma of betrayal. Ghosts are the literary devices that return us to those ethical questions of

historicalcultural and economic violence that trouble Tagore and Renu??" a violencereplayed only a little differently at our current phase of ?????? empire.??™ Lakhaanand Mangala are reminders of erasure: they demand redress from rationalhistoriography; their ghostly stories split the seam of the English-versusvernacularmodernist opposition that has hounded Indian writing in Englishfrom its inception in the 1930s. The protagonists of The Calcutta Chromosome, Antar and Murugan, are also ?????? guilty??™??

™ of self-betrayal, of working forthe ?????? babus??™ of globalization.

No small wonder that these stories exert uncannypressure on Ghosh, a writer intent on salvaging future epistemologies from the debris of the past. comes second, who follows, faithfully, but who remains partially eclipsed in the narrative??" a resoundingly good choice for the recursive Lakhaan/Lutchman (Mangala??™s assistant) inThe Calcutta Chromosome. 218 boundary 2 / Summer 2004Such a grafting of vernacular paradigms onto a literary tradition that characteristically, and often problematically, references only its Anglo antecedents 29 is a polemical refiguring of postcolonial literary practice. Now anypostcolonial criticism of the novel in English must recuperate a vernaculararchive to make good its promise. Quite predictably, Derrida concludes hisrumination on ghosts with the injunction not only to speak to and of ghostsbut to let them speak to us: If he loves justice at least, the ?????? scholar??™??™ of the future, the intellectualof tomorrow should learn it and from the ghost. He should learn tolive by learning not how to make conversation with the ghost but totalk with him, with her, how to let them speak or how to give themback speech, even it is in oneself: they are always there, even if theydo not exist, even if they are no longer, even if

they are not yet. Theygive us time to rethink ?????? there $??^{\text{TM}}??^{\text{TM}}$ as soon as we open our mouths, evenat a colloquium and especially when one speaks there in a foreigntongue. (SM, 176)29.

Consider, for example, the review of The Calcutta Chromosome in Science FictionWeekly, where John Clute pitches