

Criminology

Law



**ASSIGN
BUSTER**

Debate about the Death penalty The right of death penalty for existence is one of the most controversial issues. There are only two opinions: “ death penalty should exist” and “ death penalty should not exist”. It is important to note that this debate is one of the controversies, which may last forever and the compromise may not be found. Such upsetting conclusion can be made due to the character of the debate, because in this controversy the both sides are right and wrong at the same time.

If to listen to the proponents of the death penalty, it is not possible to consider them wrong. How is it possible, for example, to justify the actions of a criminal, who killed a little child? It is absolutely impossible to let this person exist further, moreover to give him a chance to appear among other members of the society again one day. Such people should be eliminated, they should not exist, because their behavior can't be corrected anyhow. So, death penalty is very helpful here and should be used.

On the other hand modern world is trying to follow democratic principles. Notwithstanding that the majority of European countries and many states of the US cancelled death penalty, in some countries death penalty is still widely used. For example, in China it is applied every day to any kinds of crimes (Evans, 2012). Is it right? No, it is absolutely wrong because it contradicts humanistic and democratic principles.

The best way is to use death penalty only sometimes for the most severe crimes. However, cases are rarely considered separately, the law is the same for everybody, and many innocent people are still in risk. Thus, the issue remains controversial.

References

Evans, B. (2012). " The Death Penalty In 2011: Three Things You Should
<https://assignbuster.com/criminology-essay-samples-3/>

Know", Amnesty International, 26 March 2012, in particular the map, "Executions and Death Sentences in 2011"