Commentary: detailed visual cortical responses generated by retinal sheet transpl...

Health & Medicine



A Commentary on

<u>Detailed Visual Cortical Responses Generated by Retinal Sheet Transplants in Rats with Severe Retinal Degeneration</u>

by Foik, A. T., Lean, G. A., Scholl, L. R., McLelland, B. T., Mathur, A., Aramant, R. B., et al. (2018). J. Neurosci. 38, 10709-10724. doi: 10. 1523/JNEUROSCI. 1279-18. 2018

1. Background

Retinal degenerative diseases such as retinitis pigmentosa (RP) and agerelated macular degeneration (ARMD) are among the leading causes of blindness in the world. These diseases are characterized by a progressive loss of photoreceptors and/or retinal pigment epithelium (RPE), leading to severe remodeling of the retinal circuitry (Marc et al., 2003) and a gradual loss of vision. However, cells in the inner retina that connect to the brain may remain functional throughout the disease (Santos et al., 1997). Therefore, if the diseased cells could be bypassed or replaced with new cells that connect to the functional part of the retina, it might be possible to restore vision in affected individuals.

Several treatment options are currently in development, such as micronutrient supplementation (Lavail, 2005) and gene therapy (Liu et al., 2011), including more controversial interventions, such as hyperbaric oxygen therapy (Vingolo et al., 1998 , 2008). However, these interventions have to happen in early stages of the disease, before photoreceptors are irreversibly degenerated. In severe cases of RP and ARMD, the only FDA-approved treatment option are retinal prostheses (also known as " bionic https://assignbuster.com/commentary-detailed-visual-cortical-responses-generated-by-retinal-sheet-transplants-in-rats-with-severe-retinal-degeneration/

eye" or "artificial retina"; see <u>Weiland et al., 2016</u> for a recent review), which aim to evoke neuronal responses in surviving cells through electrical microstimulation—but their success has been limited to date.

Another approach is to replace diseased cells with healthy cells through transplantation. A reliable option for transplantation in several animal models is human fetal tissue, which is best transplanted in sheet form that contains the RPE (Seiler and Aramant, 2012). Although retinal sheet transplants (RSTs) can restore responses to flashes of light in the superior colliculus, neuronal responses at the level of visual cortex remain poorly understood.

2. Retinal Sheet Transplants Restore Vision in Rats

To address this issue, Foik et al. (2018) investigated the capability of RSTs to restore vision in pigmented transgenic line-3 rats. Following successful transplantation in the subretinal space between host degenerated retina and RPE (their Figure 1), the authors measured visually evoked responses in primary visual cortex (V1). Cells were first tested for visual responsiveness using flashes of light; then receptive fields were located using drifting gratings. Neuronal tuning curves were then compared to those of control degenerated animals that did not receive transplants, as well as to non-degenerated NIH and Long-Evans rats.

<u>Foik et al. (2018)</u> found that the number of visually responsive cells in V1 improved from 9% in control degenerated rats to 56% in transplanted rats, as compared to 87% in non-degenerated rats (their Figure 4). Moreover,

https://assignbuster.com/commentary-detailed-visual-cortical-responses-generated-by-retinal-sheet-transplants-in-rats-with-severe-retinal-degeneration/

visual sensitivity in transplanted rats had improved to the point where orientation, size, and spatial frequency tuning (but not temporal frequency tuning and contrast sensitivity) were statistically indifferent from V1 cells in sighted animals (their Figure 5). Feedforward input from the lateral geniculate nucleus, connectivity within V1, and feedback from higher visual areas were shown to be present in degenerated rats, but overall to a lesser extent than in transplanted and normal rats. Interestingly, long-range connectivity within V1 (beyond 300 μm) was reduced the most, whereas local connections remained largely unaffected. Furthermore, transplantation was able to restore the circuitry back to a level comparable to normal rats.

These findings suggest the presence of an activity-dependent plasticity mechanism that may lead to a reduction of cortical connections in the absence of visual input, but can be recruited to restore connectivity even months after vision loss. However, without further analysis it is unclear whether this plasticity could lead to functional cortical reorganization, or whether it mainly resembles the sort of corruptive retinal remodeling that occurs in later stages of photoreceptor disease (for a recent discussion on the subject, see Beyeler et al., 2017). Improved cortical responses could have also been due to neuroprotection of the remaining host photoreceptors, as the reactive change of Müller glial cells is a well-known obstacle for transplant integration (Hippert et al., 2016).

3. Retinal Sheet Transplants in Humans

An important open question is whether these findings will apply to transplantation in humans. Several clinical trials with RSTs have been

https://assignbuster.com/commentary-detailed-visual-cortical-responses-generated-by-retinal-sheet-transplants-in-rats-with-severe-retinal-degeneration/

underway since the late 1990s, but results have been mixed. Early clinical trials reported no adverse effects, but also no lasting vision improvement (Humayun et al., 2000). The best results were achieved in a Phase II clinical trial conducted in a group of ten patients (six RP and four ARMD) where the transplanted sheet included the RPE (Radtke et al., 2008): seven patients showed visual improvements after 1 year, with vision remaining the same in one RP patient, and vision decreasing in two others. In one subject, vision improved from 20/800 pre-operative to 20/160 at the 1-year mark, and remained stable at 20/200 over 5 years. Future research will have to show if these results can be repeated in a larger study sample.

The use of a transplant that includes the RPE is an attractive strategy, as healthy RPE cells should theoretically be able to restore all functions of the degenerated host RPE. However, the success of this strategy strongly depends on proper cell delivery and maintenance. Dissection of donor tissue has to be done very carefully, with minimal touching of the retina (Seiler and Aramant, 2012). Another drawback is the limited availability of fetal donor tissue. In the future, it may be possible to differentiate retinal and photoreceptor progenitors directly from embryonic stem cells—without the need for fetal tissue. To this end, a Phase I trial involving a stem cell-derived RPE patch just recently showed significant vision improvement in two ARMD patients (da Cruz et al., 2018).

In conclusion, this study represents an essential step toward determining the suitability of RSTs as a treatment option for severe retinal degeneration.

However, much work remains to be done until this is a viable treatment https://assignbuster.com/commentary-detailed-visual-cortical-responses-generated-by-retinal-sheet-transplants-in-rats-with-severe-retinal-degeneration/

option in humans. Although availability of fetal tissue will likely remain limited, much could be learned about the cellular mechanisms of sight restoration through this line of research, thus giving hope that the blinding effects of incurable eye disease could one day be reversed.

Author Contributions

The author confirms being the sole contributor of this work and has approved it for publication.

Funding

This study was supported by the Washington Research Foundation Funds for Innovation in Neuroengineering and Data-Intensive Discovery and the National Institutes of Health (NIH K99 EY-029329).

Conflict of Interest Statement

The author declares that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

References

Beyeler, M., Rokem, A., Boynton, G. M., and Fine, I. (2017). Learning to see again: biological constraints on cortical plasticity and the implications for sight restoration technologies. *J. Neural Eng.* 14: 051003. doi: 10. 1088/1741-2552/aa795e

PubMed Abstract | CrossRef Full Text | Google Scholar

da Cruz, L., Fynes, K., Georgiadis, O., Kerby, J., Luo, Y. H., Ahmado, A., et al. (2018). Phase 1 clinical study of an embryonic stem cell-derived retinal pigment epithelium patch in age-related macular degeneration. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 36, 328–337. doi: 10. 1038/nbt. 4114

<u>PubMed Abstract</u> | <u>CrossRef Full Text</u> | <u>Google Scholar</u>

Foik, A. T., Lean, G. A., Scholl, L. R., McLelland, B. T., Mathur, A., Aramant, R. B., et al. (2018). Detailed visual cortical responses generated by retinal sheet transplants in rats with severe retinal degeneration. *J. Neurosci.* 38, 10709–10724. doi: 10. 1523/JNEUROSCI. 1279-18. 2018

<u>PubMed Abstract</u> | <u>CrossRef Full Text</u> | <u>Google Scholar</u>

Hippert, C., Graca, A. B., and Pearson, R. A. (2016). "Gliosis can impede integration following photoreceptor transplantation into the diseased retina," in *Retinal Degenerative Diseases*, Advances in Experimental Medicine and Biology, eds C. Bowes Rickman, M. M. LaVail, R. E. Anderson, C. Grimm, J. Hollyfield, and J. Ash (Cham: Springer International Publishing), 579–585.

Google Scholar

Humayun, M. S., Juan, E. D., Cerro, M. D., Dagnelie, G., Radner, W., Sadda, S. R., et al. (2000). *Human neural retinal transplantation. Invest. Ophthalmol.*Vis. Sci. 41 3100–3106. Available online at: https://iovs.arvojournals.

org/article. aspx? articleid= 2123369

Lavail, M. M. (2005). Survival factors for treatment of retinal degenerative disorders: preclinical gains and issues for translation into clinical studies.

Retina 25(8 Suppl.): S25–S26. Available online at: https://journals.lww.

com/retinajournal/Citation/2005/12001/Survival_Factors_for_Treatment_of_Retinal. 9. aspx

Liu, M. M., Tuo, J., and Chan, C. C. (2011). Gene therapy for ocular diseases. Br. J. Ophthalmol. 95, 604–612. doi: 10. 1136/bjo. 2009. 174912

PubMed Abstract | CrossRef Full Text | Google Scholar

Marc, R. E., Jones, B. W., Watt, C. B., and Strettoi, E. (2003). Neural remodeling in retinal degeneration. *Prog. Retin. Eye Res.* 22, 607–655. doi: 10. 1016/S1350-9462(03)00039-9

PubMed Abstract | CrossRef Full Text | Google Scholar

Radtke, N. D., Aramant, R. B., Petry, H. M., Green, P. T., Pidwell, D. J., and Seiler, M. J. (2008). Vision improvement in retinal degeneration patients by implantation of retina together with retinal pigment epithelium. *Am. J. Ophthalmol.* 146, 172–182. doi: 10. 1016/j. ajo. 2008. 04. 009

<u>PubMed Abstract</u> | <u>CrossRef Full Text</u> | <u>Google Scholar</u>

Santos, A., Humayun, M. S., Juan, E. D., Greenburg, R. J., Marsh, M. J., Klock, I. B., et al. (1997). Preservation of the inner retina in retinitis pigmentosa: a morphometric analysis. *Arch. Ophthalmol.* 115, 511–515.

PubMed Abstract | Google Scholar

https://assignbuster.com/commentary-detailed-visual-cortical-responses-generated-by-retinal-sheet-transplants-in-rats-with-severe-retinal-degeneration/

Seiler, M. J., and Aramant, R. B. (2012). Cell replacement and visual restoration by retinal sheet transplants. *Prog. Retin. Eye Res.* 31, 661–687. doi: 10. 1016/j. preteyeres. 2012. 06. 003

PubMed Abstract | CrossRef Full Text | Google Scholar

Vingolo, E. M., Pelaia, P., Forte, R., Rocco, M., Giusti, C., and Rispoli, E. (1998). Does hyperbaric oxygen (HBO) delivery rescue retinal photoreceptors in retinitis pigmentosa? *Doc. Ophthalmol.* 97, 33–39.

PubMed Abstract | Google Scholar

Vingolo, E. M., Rocco, M., Grenga, P., Salvatore, S., and Pelaia, P. (2008). Slowing the degenerative process, long lasting effect of hyperbaric oxygen therapy in retinitis pigmentosa. *Graefes Arch. Clin. Exp. Ophthalmol.* 246, 93–98. doi: 10. 1007/s00417-007-0652-z

PubMed Abstract | CrossRef Full Text | Google Scholar

Weiland, J. D., Walston, S. T., and Humayun, M. S. (2016). Electrical stimulation of the retina to produce artificial vision. *Annu. Rev. Vision Sci.* 2, 273–294. doi: 10. 1146/annurev-vision-111815-114425

PubMed Abstract | CrossRef Full Text | Google Scholar