

# Hand hygiene reminder system



**ASSIGN  
BUSTER**

Quantitative Article:

Ellison, R. T.,  
Barysaukas, C. M.,  
Rundensteiner, E. A.,  
Wang, D., & Barton, B.  
(2015). A Prospective  
Controlled Trial of an  
Electronic Hand  
Hygiene Reminder  
System . *Open Forum  
Infectious Diseases* ,  
2(4). doi: 10.  
1093/ofid/ofv121

Background This study  
d or was  
Introductio conducted  
n to find ways  
to raise the  
number of  
times hand  
hygiene is  
completed.  
The study  
used three  
phases to

accomplish/  
measure the  
outcomes.  
The study is  
easy to  
follow and  
well  
organized.

Review of Prevention  
the and  
Literature education  
were key  
points made  
by the  
author. We  
already  
have many  
excellent  
sources of  
education  
material  
that has  
been  
completed  
by reputable

sources  
such as the  
CDC, WHO  
and Joint  
commission.  
Unfortunately,  
even  
when health  
care  
facilities  
implement  
infection  
control  
efforts there  
is a large  
discrepancy  
in the actual  
completion  
of hand  
hygiene. In  
completing  
this article  
the writer  
researched  
36 other  
articles. This

gave plenty  
of research  
to complete  
the study.

Discussion This clinical  
of study was  
Methodolo controlled  
gy and used  
two  
different  
ICU's. The  
ICU's were  
comparable  
in census,  
size, and  
admission  
demographi  
cs. They  
ICU's did  
have one  
mentioned  
variable.  
One ICU had  
one less bed  
available.

An  
automatic  
hand  
hygiene  
system was  
installed.

When staff  
entered or  
exited a  
room they  
were both  
monitored  
and  
reminded by  
the machine  
to perform  
hand  
hygiene.

This study  
was a  
quantitative  
study.

Data	The Study
Analysis	lasted 4 months -

one week.

The results

of the study

were set

side by side.

The number

of uses of

the hand

sanitizer

against how

often the

alarm

sounded

when

coming in or

going out of

a room.

Ratios were

figured and

recorded.

Researcher's During the

trial when

Conclusion the chime

reminded

staff to

complete  
hand  
hygiene it  
was noted  
that there  
was a 24%  
increase in  
compliance  
but  
throughout  
the washout  
phase  
health care  
workers  
tended to  
regress  
back to their  
baseline.  
The  
increase in  
hand  
hygiene  
compliance  
shows that a  
reminder di  
have a



positive

impact on

hand

hygiene.

Education

was also

reviewed.

The

negative

impact that

not

complying

with hand

hygiene has

on both

patients,

hospital

staff and the

general

population.

Research

such as this

helps to

show the

importance

of simple

things such  
as hand  
washing in  
general  
overall  
health.

### Researcher's Conclusion

An increase in hand hygiene was noted with supervision and electronic monitoring. Conclusion shows an increase in compliance. This study allowed around the clock examination of the focus group. This proved to be a benefit compared to observational only studies. These are often completed only during business day hours. Using already known material from the Joint Commission, CDC, and WHO assisted with educating educate the researchers giving them reasons why hand hygiene and HAI's continue to remain around the same compliance. The ICU's continued the study for 25 weeks. It showed that reminder systems do have a positive impact.

### Protection of Human Subjects and Cultural Considerations

The author did not use any specific staff for completion of this research study. The study was mostly done by using working hospital staff and was measured by entry and exit alarms and automatic hand hygiene reminders. Since we know that observation alone can cause deviations, an automatic system was used to increase reliability and conclude the study with factual results. Throughout this study it did not matter who the staff person was.

This was not needed in the study so identities were not shared. Consent forms were not an issue in this study as people were never identified.

### Strengths and Limitations

The study took 25 weeks to complete this is a relatively long time and assisted with the ability to obtain more accurate ratios. The sample size used was small. An ICU with 15 available beds and one with 16 available beds. It was also noted that the door alarm counts cannot fully be noted as 100% accurate. For example staff can step in the doorway to converse with patients or family or can leave one room, sanitize, and go into the next room. Evaluating hand hygiene activity was the most influential component of this study. The conclusion shows that answers were obtained about the effectiveness of electric hand hygiene monitors.

### Evidence Application to Nursing Practice

This study can affect a hospice nurse. Our patient population often have weakened immune systems and not remembering to complete hand hygiene can be detrimental to the patient's last days and in having the time to complete the goals and life experiences that they are able to finish. Hand Hygiene also affects hospice nurses as we are often exposed to an increase in body fluids and infections. In order to keep ourselves safe and healthy we must remember hand hygiene. This study shows us that we have a lot of work to do when it comes to hand hygiene. It shows that the electronic monitors do increase use of hand hygiene practices, but also shows that without reminders we do not continue the hand hygiene practice at the high

percentage. The study was also able to keep anonymity among healthcare workers, this may or may not have a positive impact on infection control.

Qualitative Article:

Jain, S., Edgar, D.,

Bothe, J., Newman, H.,

Wilson, A., Bint, B., . . .

Harris, J. (2015).

Reflection on

observation: A

qualitative study using

practice development

methods to explore the

experience of being a

hand hygiene auditor in

Australia. *American*

*Journal of Infection*

*Control* , 43(12), 1310-

1315. doi: 10. 1016/j.

ajic. 2015. 07. 009

Background The article

was written

with the

intention of

trying to

understand

barriers to

hand

hygiene.

The study

witnessed

persons

coming into

and going

out of a

patient's

room. The

study used

a focus

group.

Results of

this study

give

healthcare

workers a

better view

of the

influence

observation

has when it

comes to

hand  
hygiene and  
the  
influence we  
have on  
each other  
in the  
regard of  
hand  
hygiene.

Review of The study is  
the trying to  
Literature lessen the  
spread of  
staphylococ  
cus aureus  
bacteremia.  
It was  
completed  
by hospital  
staff  
washing  
their hand  
or using  
alcohol-

based  
sanitizer  
more often.  
Observation  
was used  
by the  
researchers  
to formulate  
concepts  
and  
establish  
obstacles  
that could  
cause  
hospital  
employees  
not to  
complete  
hand  
hygiene.  
There were  
27  
reference  
articles  
utilized by

the authors.

Discussion The

of researchers

Methodology used an

observation

al approach

when

conducting

this study.

The

outcome

was to

figure out

how to

improve the

health care

staff's

compliance

with hand

hygiene

when going

into or

leaving a

patient's

room. This



study was  
conducted  
by using 25  
hand  
hygiene  
auditors.

The  
auditors  
were  
divided into  
three  
groups.

Assigning  
members to  
different  
focus  
groups plus  
using  
people who  
didn't have  
immediate  
involvement  
with the  
study  
helped to  
give a more

widespread  
idea of  
findings.  
The focus  
groups took  
comprehens  
ive notes of  
what they  
saw. The  
study was  
concluded  
after 2.5  
hours. Ideas  
and  
suggestions  
were then  
talked about  
in a large  
group  
conversatio  
n. This  
discussion  
came up  
with ideas  
about how  
to make

hand  
hygiene  
more  
compliant  
and reasons  
as to why it  
is missed.

Data      The  
Analysis    researchers  
              used focus  
              groups and  
              observation  
              s to gather  
              knowledge..  
              Information  
              was  
              gathered  
              from the  
              focus  
              groups. it  
              was  
              conclusive,  
              because  
              there was  
              only a small

amount of  
differences  
among the  
different  
groups.  
Facts were  
analyzed  
and  
information  
recorded  
then the  
group talked  
about the  
study and  
recorded  
the findings.

Researcher's The goal in  
this study is  
Conclusion to reduce  
focusing a  
study on  
this topic is  
to reduce  
staphylococ  
cus aureus

bacteremia

by use of

frequent

hand

hygiene.

Taking a

group

approach

and

discussing

the barriers

that were

found to not

performing

hand

hygiene

especially

since hand

hygiene is

the main

prevention

tool to

decrease

these

infections.

## Researcher's Conclusion

It is important to utilize infection control staff and monitor hand hygiene in health care facilities. It is in the best interest of both patients and employees to complete hand hygiene. A study like this one helps us figure out why hand hygiene is getting missed and helps figure out how to change practices within a facility so that it will not be missed.

The authors that wrote this article are able to make conclusions because they gathered data that showed that this process improves hand hygiene compliance. It is also known that compliance will not likely stay this high after the study. It will probably drop down closer to baseline after time.

Including clinicians in studies could make them feel they have more input in infection control. It may encourage increased hand hygiene. If staff are satisfied they often participate in hospital policies more.

## Protection of Human Subjects and Cultural Considerations

The auditors part in this study was a volunteer mode. They were able to decline prior to the making of the study groups. Screening and invitations were delivered by e-mail. Focus groups were told that results would be posted and they would not be hidden. Consents were not required.

## Strengths and Limitations

Observation was the primary approach to gather data on the use of hand hygiene. The small size of the auditors could have skewed the results of this study. With such a small group things could have been missed. This problem

could have been improved if more people would have agreed to participate. initially, 150 people were asked to be auditors but only 25 agreed. It would be difficult to monitor if more than one staff member were exiting or entering a room at the same time or friends or relatives would need to be identified from hospital staff. Distractions throughout a busy day can also skew results.

### Evidence Application to Nursing Practice

The results of this study prove that if proper hand hygiene is used, conversations happen and plans are made it is possible to conquer the hurdles of not using hand hygiene. Hand Hygiene does lead to lower rates of staphylococcus aureus bacteremia. In Hospice nursing many patients are immunocompromised. Hand Hygiene is very important in not spreading infection from patient to patient. Many of our clients also have drains, lines and wounds which can easily introduce infection into the body. Hand hygiene is the number one barrier to keep both patients and health care staff healthy.

These kinds of studies are needed. Hospital staff need continued education and reminders as to why hand hygiene is important. Short cuts happen when staff get busy. We often need to be reminded why it is so important to be compliant with hand hygiene.

### References

- Ellison, R. T., Barysaukas, C. M., Rundensteiner, E. A., Wang, D., & Barton, B. (2015). A Prospective Controlled Trial of an Electronic Hand <https://assignbuster.com/hand-hygiene-reminder-system/>

Hygiene Reminder System. *Open Forum Infectious Diseases*, 2 (4). doi: 10. 1093/ofid/ofv121

- Jain, S., Edgar, D., Bothe, J., Newman, H., Wilson, A., Bint, B., . . . Harris, J. (2015). Reflection on observation: A qualitative study using practice development methods to explore the experience of being a hand hygiene auditor in Australia. *American Journal of Infection Control*, 43 (12), 1310-1315. doi: 10. 1016/j. ajic. 2015. 07. 009