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These franchises achieved huge success and the owners decided to expand. They organized a joint venture with Carnaubas, leading them into Joining the lucrative Italian dining segment of the restaurant industry. The company soon received numerous accolades by which time the restaurant chain had established 1 64 directly owned restaurants, 6 restaurants that operated through Joint ventures and 44 franchised restaurants. At the rate the company was growing, Outback Steakhouse would near the U. S. Market’s saturation point within the next 4-5 years.

In late 1994, the company acknowledged its ability to expand abroad by appointing Hugh Connecter as president of Outback International. As the company moved toward international expansion, they knew that a strategic plan was essential in order to sure Outback’s continuing success as it took on the diverse and new markets abroad. The company’s commitment to continue Its fast paced growth would require them to develop a strategy for expansion and operations In different global regions throughout the world.

In developing a business strategy, Outback Steakhouse would have to create guidelines to ensure success in these diverse and new markets abroad. Basic Problem: Outback Steakhouse’s basic problem lies In determining whether the company should utilize international expansion as a major corporate/marketing strategy for long term growth. Alternatives: \* (1) – Apply Domestic Strategies abroad: Outback International can avoid modifications of their existing strategies that we already know are successful, and instead, apply their existing domestic strategies abroad.

After this you should mention entering markets thru value creation because it is the opposite of this first strategy. From the idea of international expansion and explore other means of investment to allow for long term profit minimization. For example, at present, Outback’s main source of revenue is generated through its sale of steak. As an alternative, Outback Steakhouse could pursue Joint ventures with restaurants with different themes that are successful in the United States.

For example, Outback Steakhouse could form a joint venture with Indian restaurants that are extremely popular in the United States (or any other cultural theme that is successful for that matter). Alternatively, Outback Steakhouse could also widen its selection of beverages and operate its own side bar from which the company could generate a greater amount of revenue than at present. \*(3) – Enter International Markets immediately via Direct Ownership (Organic Growth):

Considering that competitors have already entered foreign markets, Outback International may consider gaining entry and keeping up with competitors by entering international markets immediately by setting up their own local restaurants abroad and growing organically in international markets. \*(4) – Joint Venture: Outback International can also decide to expand into foreign, international markets through Joint ventures. Venture partnerships with chains in international markets with different themes catering to the local needs of their customers may be a profitable venture opportunity for Outback International.

Joint ventures have been relatively successful in the United States (as in the case of a Joint venture with an Italian restaurant: Carnaubas Italian Grill). \*(5) – Entering International Markets through Value Creation: Outback International can also lower their costs and differentiate their products to achieve a competitive advantage. Outback’s distinctive competencies and its unique strengths will allow the company to succeed internationally. Value creation and a sustainable competitive advantage should allow the company to proceed towards differentiation and premium pricing.

In turn, this should allow Outback International to gain a competitive advantage in international markets. By pursuing this strategy, Outback International is building on its existing resources and capabilities. \*(6) – Entering International Markets Through Value Creation and Franchising: Outback International can use the above value creation strategy, but by franchising international operations with company-owned stores to allow Outback Steakhouse to act as a support function. O Analysis of Alternatives (l): Prior to analyzing each of the alternatives above, I believe that it is crucial for Thoroughly conduct a S. W. O. T. Analysis. \*Determine their industry structure according to Porter’s Five Forces Model to determine their present position and if there is a genuine need for expansion into the international markets, and if so, what strategy would most effectively cater to the five forces put forth by Porter’s five forces model in the most effective manner. Outback International should also assess their present strategy on the dimension of strategic scope (market penetration) and strategic strength (sustainable competitive advantage) according to Michael Porter’s Generic Strategies. The above analysis is crucial because it is pertinent that Outback International adopt a strategy that is in line with their overall corporate objectives and corporate culture. S. W. O. T. Analysts: Strengths: \*Outback Steakhouse’s main competitive advantage lies in their entrepreneurial spirit that allows new ideas to flow. Outback Steakhouse has been able to translate this competitive advantage into a unique selling point as a niche player in offering quality steaks at an affordable price through casual dining. \*Outback Steakhouse’s strong principle and belief (P) driven culture allows the many to place a strong emphasis on quality and people. This has permeated throughout the organization from top level management to employees and this in turn has had numerous, other positive, domino effects.

Outback Steakhouse’s emphasis on people allow the company to acknowledge several important internal and external stakeholders with whom the company was able to form healthy and strong relationships that in turn allowed the company to achieve its goals and objectives. For example, Outback places a strong emphasis on suppliers, partners, customers, employees and the community. By ensuring all these parties are satisfied, Outback inevitably achieves the satisfaction of their customers as well.

For example, with respect to their employees, the company’s ability to draw the best and retain the best has been a contributing factor to the company’s low employee turnover and management turnover of 5. 4% compared to an industry average of 30% to 40%. With the company’s strong focus on supplier management, the company is able to obtain a preferential treatment with respect to the quality of their supplies that in turn, allows them to produce the highest quality food products for their consumers.

Outback Steakhouse possesses a value driven strategy (quality steak at an Steakhouse’s emphasis on quality draws customers. \*Outback Steakhouse has a highly successful theme – outdoors theme appeals to everyone. \*Outback Steakhouse has a strong sales to investment ratio of 1 . 2-to-1 , and therefore, revenue generated per dollar invested is powerful evidence of the company’s success. \*Outback Steakhouse is a relatively cost conscious dining chain.

For example, by only operating during the evenings, the company is also able to take advantage of capacity utilization since it is during the evenings that customers are most likely to come to at steak for dinner, and by keeping closed during the afternoon, the company is able to operate efficiently (for example, it would have been more costly to have two shifts of employees operate during the afternoons, in addition to the nights, in which case the firm would have to pay for their salaries without guaranteeing a fixed stream of customers since people are less likely to consume steak as a meal during the middle of a workday.

By having employees work 80 hour shifts the company would also, perhaps, have lower employee morale and productivity). Outback Steakhouse is financially sound and this should allow the company to expand in several directions. Weaknesses: \*Cost & Resource Utilization Inefficiency: On one hand Outback is able to cut down on costs of production in many areas like rent by locating in suburban regions, but in other aspects, Outback incurs high costs of production that in turn means the restaurant chain operates on a tight gross profit margin.

For example, at about 40% of total costs, Outback has one of highest food costs in the industry. Furthermore in 1994 labor and other related expenses accounted for approximately 25% of the entire sots and expenses. In fact, Outback store managers typically earn an annual salary and bonus of $100, 000 which is $40, 000 higher than the industry average. Moreover, the company’s restaurant operating expenses accounted for approximately 25% of the company total costs in 1994. The above approximations also hold true for 1993. Low barriers of entry to the market: Competitors can relatively easily observe and imitate Outback’s strengths. For example, competitors can relatively easily set up in similar locations. Considering Outback spent the knowledge and time of its founders ND managers to develop ideas and operations that allow the company to operate efficiently, other competitors in the region can easily imitate these operations. Competitors can have a fully staffed kitchen and wait staff as well as similar restaurant hours and similar themes and readily imitate such ideas and themes. Ability to expand further from its current 214 stores (to between stores in the U. S. \*Entry into international markets. \*Introduction of Crabber’s Italian Grill is not only expansion but diversification into other segments. They can use this success to explore other cuisines and themes, such as, Indian and Mexican. Threats: \*Availability of suitable real estate (“ B” location in “ A” demographics). \*Adverse government regulation. \*Lack of international experience and therefore failure of international operations. Major competitive backlash through price cutting or aggressive advertising by rival companies. \*Future health concerns over red meat consumption. \*Changing demographics and tastes may shift consumer tastes. According to Porter’s five forces model, the restaurant industry is highly competitive. With respect to the intensity of competitive rivalry, the rivalry among established impasses is very high as Outback has to compete directly with a few big chains, even though it operates in a highly fragmented restaurant industry as a niche player.

The demand conditions depend to a high degree on the general economic situation, and within the next 4-5 years, the U. S. Markets can only accommodate a limited number of restaurants (550-600 at most). The risk of entry of potential competitors is high as the barriers to entry are relatively low and, therefore, the threat of new entrants exists. The bargaining power of buyers is moderate, each customer accounts for only a original part of the revenues; however, overall, the consumers are an extremely crucial and important stakeholder of Outback’s food products.

If, for example, at any time consumers are not satisfied with the type of food and steak offered by Outback or decide to switch to alternative restaurant chains for alternative food products (change in taste and eating habits), Outback will be at great loss. Therefore, it is absolutely pertinent that Outback caters to their consumers by offering quality steak at an affordable price and monitors the eating habits and tastes of their consumers considering the threat of substitute products exists. Penn on one single supplier, but places a strong emphasis on high quality produce and has a wide supplier base. Based upon the above S. W. O. T. Analysis and using Porter’s 5 forces to analyze the attractiveness of Outback’s present markets and industry, it is clear that Outback has many competitive advantages. However, based on the above analysis, it is also evident that Outback’s competitive advantages may not be sustainable in the long run in their domestic U. S. Markets, considering the industry has low barriers to entry, immutability by rivals is possible and potential threats exist.

Therefore, this gives leeway and sound reasoning for Outback to consider expansion opportunities in international markets. Also, based on the reading, it is mentioned that Outback Steakhouse will reach its saturation point within the next 4-5 years. Analysis of Alternatives (II): \*Apply Domestic Strategies Abroad: Applying domestic strategies abroad would allow Outback to save money by avoiding costs associated with developing and implementing new strategies. Moreover, Outback would avoid the risks of developing new strategies that may fall short of their expectations in international markets.

By applying domestic strategies abroad, Outback will be able to apply a consistent set of strategies that adhere to the company’s overall mission of offering quality food and strategies that are in line with the company’s overall objectives. Furthermore, applying domestic strategies abroad would allow Outback to avoid changes in the organizational structure and control system, that in turn is likely to lower additional or unforeseen costs associated with expansion. This will allow the company to pay higher dividends to its shareholders (or reinvest the earnings into he company) and continue enjoying its increasing earnings per share.

However, the extent to which Outback International is successful in applying domestic strategies abroad also depends on Outback’s ability to analyze the limitations of applying domestic strategies abroad. Most importantly, domestic strategies may not be transferable to the markets abroad, as consumers have different eating habits and tastes (preferences), in which case, Outback would have to place a greater focus on globalization and adhere to the local tastes and eating habits of their international, target market.

Furthermore, the company’s inability to adapt to hanging conditions and the company’s inability to formulate strategies that build additional resources and capabilities abroad will also hinder the growth and success of Outback International in the long run. \*Redirect Investment Plans Abroad: Moving away from international expansion and alcoholic and non-alcoholic beverages would be in line with company’s initial success in recognizing and allowing for successful entrepreneurship.

Moreover, while there will naturally be costs associated with any other investment plan, such as the investment plan mentioned above (cost of setting up bar, cost of search and development, cost of recruiting trained bartenders), such a plan may potentially be the most cost effective strategy considering that the company is not expanding, and will therefore, be able to save on costs associated with expansion that are likely to be much higher than setting up an alternative investment plan.

This is provided that Outback pursues other investments that are less complex, costly and risky, and this, in itself acts as another advantage to expansion. However, the limitations of alternative investment plans also have to be considered. For example, other investments may not be financially rewarding. Consumers may prefer to “ drink” at bars and pubs that they are presently familiar with. Moreover, while Outback considers the high costs of expansion, Outback should also consider the lost earnings due to potential profit loss from international opportunities that the company risks losing. Entering Foreign Markets Immediately via Direct Ownership: Entering foreign markets immediately via direct ownership would allow Outback to concentrate on the most important aspects of international expansion and allow the company to focus on Just (cut) getting their foot in the door as soon as possible. The company’s immediate concerns will be addressed, and based on past results; direct ownership may be the most appropriate and successful expansion strategy for the future (considering in 1994 164 of the company’s 214 stores were operated via direct ownership).

However, entering foreign markets via direct ownership does not address long term problems of achieving a sustainable competitive advantage and growth. Direct ownership is also likely to pose the same level of challenges as in the case option 1 (not modifying strategies for international markets) and may overlook certain critical aspects, such as, the importance of learning thorough market research first to have a better sense of direction and understanding of consumer demand and eating habits. Joint Ventures: While the advantages of Joint ventures were discussed, the disadvantages and limitations of Joint ventures also have to be weighed. First, Joint ventures are costly and an expensive method of entering foreign, international markets. For example, in the case of Cascaras restaurants, Outback steakhouse only controlled 50% of the company, but was responsible for 100% of the costs of the new Cascaras Italian Grills.

This may prove expensive in international markets, particularly, if the international Joint ventures and “ different themes” do not appeal to local customers. Furthermore, on carefully analyzing the consolidated statements of income and the balance sheet, it can be seen that Joint ventures may be profitable; however, they may not be necessarily a cost effective means of expansion. For instance, it is mentioned that from 1993 to 1994 Outback International established 10 Joint ventures with Cascaras and that restaurant managers and Joint ventures amounted to $1 1. Million of the company’s $72. 2 million 1994 income (16% of revenues). This is higher than the 0. 8% of revenues generated by franchises in the industry, which equates to $3. 615 million. However, this is fugue is not the net result from the Joint ventures alone but is derived from the combined amount from the joint ventures as well as restaurant managers, who have direct ownership in the company owned restaurants.

Furthermore, between 1993 and 1994 cash declined by approximately 35%, and investment in property, plant and equipment rose by 61% while revenues only rose by 46% (less than the increase in investment in property, plant and equipment). As a matter of fact, as aforementioned, only $1 1. Million accounted for the $72. 2 million (16%) (to which both restaurant managers and Joint ventures contributed). It should also be noted that $1 1. 3 is not only generated from joint ventures, but from restaurant managers of the 164 directly owned stores.

In conclusion, I feel that based on the above calculations franchising is a more cost effective means of expansion because franchising does not require investment in property, plant and equipment as Joint ventures do and also is not as expensive as joint ventures (in the case of Joint ventures Outback is responsible for 50% of costs and 100% in Cascaras case). The above financial analysis is based on the assumption that between the years 1993 to 1994 the company invested its cash in property, plant and equipment that were all used in Outback’s Joint eventualities with Cascaras.

Outback International should perform a Performa analysis with respect to its balance sheet and income statement to “ add in” or “ weigh in” the costs of expansion through joint ventures and forecast the impact of Joint ventures on the company’s bottom line. If Outback International anticipates revenue and net income to rise at a faster and higher rate than at present ($1 1. 3 million per year), then Outback International would consider expanding into international markets through Joint ventures. Value Creation: Value creation will ultimately allow Outback to increase their profit margins and maximize profits in the long run by building on competitive advantages in international markets and allowing for a sustainable competitive advantage through differentiated products and services. Moreover, value creation will create an improved company image for the shareholders and the investing public. In the long run, this may allow the company to continue as future earnings are increased in the future from foreign operations.

However, the extent to which value creation is successful in international markets depends not only the extent to which Outback’s managers consider the limitations of value creation, but also depends on the extent to which value creation is coherent and consistent with Outback’s overall company objectives and existing operational strategies. Value creation may be the highest cost solution as the company spends a significant portion of its research and development costs on devising competitive strategies specifically for international markets that are sustainable in the long run.

Furthermore, concentrating solely on value creation may lead the company to neglect other important factors that can affect expansion. \*Value Creation and Franchising: This alternative utilizes franchising as a means of expansion into international markets while using the concept of value creation as a building block for market penetration and sustainable competitive advantage in the future. Franchising restaurants generally perform better than free standing units and in 1991 , for example, such restaurants experienced per store sales growth of 6. % versus an overall restaurant industry growth rate of 3. 0%. If Outback International an achieve this level of success in international markets as well, it will be more successful in achieving its goals for expansion. As in the point mentioned on Joint ventures, Outback International should perform a pro formal analysis with respect to its balance sheet and income statement to “ add in” or “ weigh in” the costs of expansion via franchising and forecast the impact of franchising on the company’s bottom line.

If Outback International anticipates revenue and net income to rise at a faster and higher rate than at present (0. 8% in the case of the latter with respect to the percentage franchises are a percentage of et income), then Outback International should consider expanding into international markets via franchising. Recommendation: I would recommend Outback International to pursue alternative six of value creation and franchising in foreign markets even though it’s the most difficult and time- consuming. It is very important because the source of a competitive advantage is superior value creation.

The shareholders will also be pleased to know that there is a potential for a rise in their earnings and this in turn will increase the level of confidence shareholders have on the company’s financial positions and future operations. Seasons: Alternative 1 : Domestic strategies may not be applicable to the requirements needed in international markets. Value creation will only be successful provided Outback International identifies and implements a set of competitive strategies unique in nature that meet the demands of their local consumers in international markets and are also sustainable in the long run.

Domestic strategies would not allow for that and Outback would also lose out on the possibility of formulating strategies that build additional capabilities and resources. Alternative 2: Reinvesting in an alternative investment plan may fail. By expanding internationally, Outback can build on their existing strengths and core products (steak meals). Moreover, reinvesting in an alternative investment plan also shows the company is unwilling to take risk.

Alternative 3: Expansion via direct ownership is only a short term solution and does not account for the importance of allowing local businesses and chains to establish Outback’s presence in their respective markets. Direct ownership can prove costly in the long run if Outback International fails. Franchises are more likely to attain success in international markets whereby local dining chains are allowed to exercise greater deal of sovereignty over their respective markets and managers of local dining chains are more familiar with their local markets as well as the eating habits and taste preferences of their target market.

Furthermore, franchises will allow Outback to serve as a support function in international markets that is one of the objectives of Connecter. Alternative 4: Joint ventures are risky and the failure of any new themes would affect Outback Steakhouse’s net income adversely and negatively. This in turn has the potential of damaging Outback’s reputation. Alternative 5: Value creation, by large, remains ineffective unless the company considers ways of selecting a growth strategy or vehicle for entering international markets.