

The of eia within the boundaries of



**ASSIGN
BUSTER**

The EIA process can be untangled and split into the generic component stages of: scoping, assessment, decision, implementation and stakeholder engagement.

Each of these stages should be reviewed upon completion, as a result of the fact that, each process is a separate entity in completing the entire whole process (IEM, 2004: 4). Best Practices in EIA According to Sadler B., et al 1999,

the following best practice principles can be used for EIA quality

review.

- **Resolute:** the process should alert decisionmaking and lead to a suitable degree of environmental protection and community

- **Painstaking:** the process should apply “ best practicable”

- **Science,** using methodologies and techniques suitable to redress the issue

- **Pragmatic:** the process should result to the

- **acquisition** of information which helps to provide solution to problems,

- **satisfactory** and able to be undertaken by project initiators.

- **Pertinent:** It

- **should** be enriched with abundant, trustworthy and applicable information

- **for** development, planning and in decision making.

- **Cost-effective:** the

- **review** process should attain the objectives of EIA within the boundaries

- **of** handy information, time, resources and methodology.

- **Efficient:** It should inflict the minimum cost load with regards to time

- **and** finance on advocates and participants steadily with attaining the

- **requirements** and objectives of EIA.

- **Committed:** the process should

- **focus** on outstanding environmental impacts and vital issues.

- **Flexible:** It

- **should** be regulated in accordance with the realities, issues and

- **circumstances** of the proposals under review without jeopardizing the

- **rectitude** of the process, constant, accommodative of lessons

learned throughout the life cycle of the proposal. · Participative: the process should furnish with relevant chance to alert and incorporate the various stakeholders, and their contributions and viewpoints should be addressed clearly in the documentation and decision making.