

# Respond what you agree with and disagree with and why

[History](#)



I completely agree with the ment made by Kenneth Auchincloss in his article “ Americans Go To War” that we should not go into war unless this is the last resort and totally necessary to do so. People often can’t make sense of war conflicts and even though no war was conducted on US soil since the Civil War, Americans have suffered the consequences of war far and beyond. The wars in Vietnam and Korea have created such a public outbreak. Society can no longer stand still and just watch. The anti-war movement during this period took by surprise US political world. The wars in Vietnam and Korea produced a whole generation of veteran warriors who suffers from all possible psychological and behavioural disorders. This generated some sort of butterfly effect to their families and communities, who were also involved in dealing with the post-traumatic stress disorder caused by the war.

I disagree with Auchincloss statement that after the World War II, the US felt obligated to intervene in the world affairs. I believe that the US wanted to prove that it is greater political and economic power after the World War II, when the rivalry between the Soviet Union and USA started. I am of the opinion that US intervened in all worldwide political matters only to show its growing military power. The only reason why US intervened was to get a head starts before the Soviets. Everything was a competition - who will send the first astronaut in space, who will have the nuclear weapons, who will land on the moon.