

# Julius caesar editorial

[People](#)



**ASSIGN  
BUSTER**

On the day of March 15th, Rome lost an important civilian whose name was Julius Caesar. A group of conspirators led by Cassius and Brutus planned Caesar's killing for the good of Rome. They led him into the Capitol while Caesar was completely oblivious to what was going on. The men were discussing if Caesar would bring back Publius Cimber when Caesar became king. Caesar refused since his decisions weren't easy to sway. This and all the hate they had built before drew the men to stab Caesar to death. The first being Casca and lastly Brutus while Caesar said the famous word "Et tu, Brute?" before dying.

The conspirators then bathed their arms in Caesar's blood and ran through the streets of Rome celebrating their victory. But was this act victorious? Some people would disagree with the conspirators; they believe Caesar was a good and loyal man to Rome and that they failed him by killing him. They believe someone who was that great could not be forgotten easily or could have become the person the conspirators said he would. Which is why after his death there was a scandal in the streets. We can see this when plebeian said after Antony spoke greatly of Caesar "Marked ye his words?

He would not take the crown. Therefore 'tis certain he was not ambitious." Others believed that this ambition was dangerous; it would drive Caesar into a bad ruler, which was not in the interest of Rome. Therefore Brutus was better for the crown since he saved them from Caesar. Brutus said "not that I loved Caesar less, but that I loved Rome more." Which people truly believed and hail Brutus for doing such honorable thing "Caesar's better parts shall be crowned in Brutus!" What do I think? I believe Caesar's death was unjust! No man should be penalized for something he will do.

No one really this if these assumptions would have come true. So why pay the price when you have done something wrong? Caesar possessed more good things about himself than bad, and Rome should focus on the facts of the good things he has done instead of the possibility of "too much ambition". I believe Brutus and the conspirators say that what they did was honorable and for the good of everyone when really it was to satisfy themselves. Caesar never offended one of them personally; they kept feeding themselves on negatives ideas of Caesar that drove them to his assassination.

Caesar was a good man and even if he had many flaws he is human, and all humans have a right to live! Especially, all humans have a chance to live if they have not done anything wrong. Antony shared these ideas when he said "Did this in Caesar seem ambitious? When that the poor have cried, Caesar hath wept. Ambition should be made of sterner stuff. Yet Brutus says he was ambitious, and Brutus is an honorable man...I thrice presented him a kingly crown, which he did thrice refuse. Was this ambition? Caesar should not have died like that and should have had a chance to prove them wrong.