

An the company is a separate legal entity

Business, Management



An advantage of a limited company is that the company is a separate legal entity distinct from the four members, which means that the members' liability is limited by shares or guarantee (Marshall and Oliver, 1998). If the company is wound up in the future or is in debt, the maximum the shareholders will lose is the value of their shares, and they are not liable for the cost of winding up. Shareholders face less risk as compared to traditional partnerships when the company makes business ventures, and are safe from being personally liable for losses. Furthermore, only the company will be liable on its contracts, and the shareholders will not be bound and personally liable for these contracts, unlike a traditional partnership, where partners are personally liable for contracts.

Next a limited company has more opportunities in raising capital. The company can raise finance capital by creating a floating charge, which is a security with an underlying group of assets. This allows them to obtain capital secured with dynamic or circulating assets that the business can use as normal. The business can have a stable financial position and a good gearing ratio without having to make bank loans which involve complicated procedures and interest payments. In contrast, the business is likely to face difficulty in raising finance as traditional partnership because the partners own the assets jointly and thus cannot create floating charges.

A limited company enjoys perpetual succession, which means that the continuation of an incorporated firm's existence is unaffected by the death of any of its owners or the transfer of its shares to a new entity (BusinessDictionary. com, 2017). This action ensures that the business runs for the long term, and gives the directors the chance to evolve their

business and make long term plans and goals. On the other hand, a traditional partnership has to dissolve the partnership if the death, retirement or bankruptcy of a partner happens. This implies uncertainty in the long-term existence of the partnership. Transforming the company to a limited company will allow its members' shares to be freely transferable.

Members can sell their shares and leave the company as a shareholder if they wish to do so. Potential investors can easily become shareholders without much hindrances. If any of the four decide to leave the business, they can privately sell their shares to another friend without much difficulty. However, in a partnership, a partner may only assign their interest, and cannot transfer their investment in the business to someone else. Leaving the partnership would also mean dissolution of the partnership. One disadvantage of incorporation is that the company must be registered and has to submit documents and accounts to the Registrar, meaning the resources have to be spent complying with standards and legal requirements. This is not necessary in a partnership.

An incorporated company also faces the cost of an annual audit to give assurance stakeholders like suppliers and investors, while a partnership does not need to do so. As a limited company, privacy is forsaken as certain company accounts and documents will be made available to the public to inspect. On the other hand, in a partnership, only members have access to these documents. A limited company is thus subject to a higher level of scrutiny on its business operations. Another disadvantage is that company members cannot be involved in managing the company unless they

are directors, which means potential shareholders cannot control the day to day operations of the business unless they are made director, unlike a partnership where every partner has the right to participate in management.

It is also harder to withdraw capital in a company, unlike a partnership where this can be done more freely. 2. As a private limited company, the name of the company must be registered when it is being set up, and must end with either ' limited' or 'Ltd'. The Registrar will not consider the name if it is offensive or constitutes a criminal offence. If a name of company includes sensitive words, the approval of the Secretary of the State is required (Companies Act 2006). This pertains to implying a certain status or function, using words that may indicate connection to the government or authority like the words " British" or " National". The name of the company cannot be the " same as" another registered name, which is when the only differences to an existing name are certain punctuations, special characters, or similar or frequently used words and characters (GOV. UK, n/a).

Misleading words that the company is of another type or legal form are also forbidden. For example, choosing the name " Electric Wimbledon". In this case, they may not be allowed name the company Wimbledon Limited as the name has already been listed on the Company House Index.

Interestingly, said company has since gone into liquidation in 2016.

However, this may still mislead the public, if they are unaware of the liquidation. Thus, the Registrar may not consider the name until a certain time period has passed.

The exceptions of the 'same as' rule do not apply here as the 4 partners did not intend to be part of the same group as the liquidated Wimbledon Ltd. They should consider using names like "Wimbledon Tennis Equipment Ltd" or even "Wimbledon Tennis Supplies Ltd". This may still be considered sensitive as it may indicate connection to the actual Wimbledon Tennis Championships, which is held by The All England Lawn Tennis & Croquet Club Limited. 3. Andy will owe directors' duties to the company.

Firstly, Andy has the duty to "declare interest in proposed transaction or arrangement". He should inform the other directors of his position as a partner in the other firm, and make known their interest in supplying Wimbledon Limited with equipment. He can do this by notice in writing or at a board meeting. When the other directors are aware of this, they can make more informed decisions about choosing suppliers, or perhaps reduce Andy's authority in related decisions. Andy also owes the duty to "avoid conflict of interest" and to "promote the success of the company". This means that Andy should not use his influence as a director and recommend the equipment supplier firm to be Wimbledon's supplier if it is not the ideal choice for Wimbledon. For example, perhaps the prices of the firm are higher than those of other suppliers, or their goods lack quality. Wimbledon will lose out in the long run if they choose this company and it may hinder their overseas expansion.

If Andy pushes for this supplier despite knowing that other suppliers more suited for Wimbledon's strategy and goals, he has knowingly failed to promote the success of the company and has breached his duties. Andy also

owes duty not to accept benefit from third parties. If he accepts for example, a higher bonus from the equipment supplier for Wimbledon to use them, he has breached his duty. If Andy is found to have breached his duty, the company i.

e. the other directors may bring a claim against him and will bring the proceedings in the name of the company. Since the directors are members, they may also bring a claim against him in the name of the company. Andy will be responsible for the damages to the company, and he may be personally liable for these losses.

The contract between Wimbledon and the equipment may be deemed void. Andy may be removed from office if all the other shareholders vote in favour and pass a special resolution. Andy may face an injunction if the breach was discovered early. It is thus advisable for Andy to keep his duties as a director, and disclose any necessary information. 4.

One of the roles of a director is concerned with management which means that the director is charged with instituting systems that facilitate the daily operations of the organization. This means that the director makes the operational and strategic decisions that are used to drive the organization forward. The director also superintends the activities of the other leaders in the organization to ensure quality and consistency. The director is also tasked with the duty of ensuring that the company meets the different statutory obligations required (Brefigroup. co. uk, 2017). Another role of the directors is that they hold the organization's board meetings to facilitate the decision-making processes, make future plans and report to shareholders on

performance. In this respect, the director of the company acts as the agent of the company on behalf of the stakeholders and make binding decisions.

They delegate tasks to management and ensure that proper books of account are kept. Lastly, the directors of the company are also responsible for ensuring that the organization meets the needs of other stakeholders like employees, the community, and perhaps even national interest (Venturechoice. com, 2017). It is through these different duties that the success of the director regarding how they run the company is achieved. When it comes to the shareholders, the principal role is to appoint the directors of the organization who in turn are charged with the running of the organization of affairs. Another important role is that of financial investment and with this role, they are entitled with the voting rights to determine the appointed directors (Venturechoice.

com, 2017). The shareholders also arrange for meetings (annual general meetings) with the directors, and in such meetings, the directors brief them on the progress that is made in the company. Shareholders can review the company accounts and raise any doubts about directors' decisions (InBrief. co. uk, 2017).

Major business decisions must be approved by shareholders through special resolutions. The shareholders use the meetings as a way of gauging the performances that organization is realizing vis a vis the established goals that are pursued. They have to ensure that director's do not go beyond their powers. Where the shareholders are not content with the performance

generated by the directors, they can remove them or in other circumstances, they can refuse to re-elect them.

The shareholders speak in the management of the company through their votes and they do this to ensure that their investment goals in the company are achieved.