Solution of problems - math problem example

Literature, Russian Literature

Solution of Problems

Expectation damages: In this case A would have gained $200, 000 - $160, 000 = 40000 For the first 3 months, A would have earned on average:

3/12 x 60000 = 15000

Consequently;

A would have gained $40000 had the contract not been repudiated. Yes, A would have gained $40000 under the contract, but A would have lost an income of $15000 that it no longer has to lose now. This means that it will take $25000 to bring A from where it now stands to where it would be if the contract hadn't been repudiated.

Reliance damages

No reliance damage in this case since A did not rely materially on upon.

Expectation damages:

A would have gained $80000 ($200, 000 - $120, 000)

In this case, A relied materially on the tune of $80, 000 (half of labor and materials), and his expected profit was actually $80000.

Further, A would have lost an income of $15000 that it no longer has to lose now.

Hence the court would award = $80, 000 + $80000 - $15000 = 145000

Reliance damages:

Amount spent on materially relying – mitigated losses

$80000 - $15000 = $65000

C.

Expectation damages

$40, 000 - $20, 000 = $20000

D.

Had the contract not been breached, A would have gained a monument worth $10, 000

As it stands, now A has to spend an additional $6, 000 for another contractor to take on the work. Consequently, it would now take $4000 to bring A from where he stands to where he would have been had B not abandoned the work.

E.

Expectation damage

Considering B had not breached the contract, A would have gained $1, 000, 000 worth the value of the land. As it stands, A has to pay nothing for drilling the well. But since nothing has happened and no money has been spent by A on making the dream of $1, 000, 000 alive, the court awards nothing to A.