

Techniques techniques. some of the power production technologies

[Business](#), [Industries](#)



Techniques to Reduce the Environmental Impacts In order to reduce the environmental impacts there should be a moratorium for coal-fired power plants that do not capture their CO₂ emissions and sequester CO₂. The zero-emission (emissionless) is achieved by carbon capture and sequestration. An example of this type of plant is the Elsam power station at Esbjerg, Denmark (European Communities, 2006).

One recommendation is that the coal used for power plants should be clean coal. "Clean coal" is a term used by the coal industry to describe a type of coal from which minerals and impurities are chemically washed and processed (gasified, steam treated). In order to run coal-fired power plants effectively, a cost-effective method is to run the plant on a diverse type of fuel, such as conversions to biomass or municipal waste based power plants.

The emission level from this type of plants is estimated to be 20% less CO₂ than a coal-fired unit operating at the same capacity. Combined heat and power Combined Heat and Power (CHP) is a process to generate electricity and process heat. Instead of discharging heat at a higher than ambient temperature, it is used to heat the buildings. This expertise is commonly practiced in some countries, for example Denmark and other Scandinavian countries and parts of Germany. Hansen (18) has shown that CHP is the low-cost method of reduction in carbon emissions. Options for fossil fuel power plants The choices other than coal-fired power plants include hydroelectric power, nuclear power, solar power, wind power, geothermal power, tidal and new renewable energy techniques. Some of the power production technologies are proven on large industrial scale (i. e.,

hydroelectric, nuclear, wind, and tidal power) while others are in prototype stage.

Cost by power generation source The costs for a fossil fuel based power plant with a life of 30 years to 50 years is charming for investor due to the low initial investment i. e., around \$1000 to \$1300 per kilowatt electricity as compared to \$2000 per kilowatt from an onshore wind farm. This cost calculation is only true when it strictly includes the cost of electricity production and does not consider the indirect costs supplementary to the pollutants generated due to fossil fuels burning (e. g., increased respiratory diseases). Particulate matter control Particulate matter (PM) is often classified as PM 2.5 and PM 10.

PM 2.5 is particulate matter of size 2.5 μm and less.

PM 10 is particulate matter 10 μm and less and it includes PM 2.5. PM 2.5 is considered to have more harmful health effects than the relatively coarser particles. A particulate matter (PM) control device (equipment) remove the PM from the exhaust gas stream, stop the PM from re-entering the exhaust gases, and remove the collected PM. The main PM control equipment in use are Electrostatic Precipitators (ESP), Fabric Filters (FF), Mechanical Collectors (MC) and Venturi Scrubbers (VS). Each type of PM control equipment is based on a different PM collection technique.

The FF contains baghouse which collects the particulate matter by using finely netted filters, electrostatic precipitators creates an electromagnetic field to catch particles, and centrifugal force is used by cyclone collectors to

separate particles ESP and FF are good to meet stringent EPA requirements of high efficiency and reliability. A FF consists of a number of joint enclosures. Each enclosure contains up to over a thousand fabric bags made of small diameters and are attached with vertical supports. The flue gas passes through the fabric bags and PM from the flue gas is accumulated on the bag surface. The cake formed can contribute significantly to remove other constituents of flue gas, such as SO₂ and mercury. NO_x control The original coal burners are replaced with new Low NO_x burners. The Low NO_x burner apply advanced fluid dynamics and flame thermodynamics techniques to reduce flame temperature, hence, less NO_x.

NO_x is controlled by using Selective Catalytic Reduction (SCR) systems and/or Non-Catalytic Reduction (SNCR) system. In these technical treatment systems through a series of reactions with a chemical reagent injected into the flue gas, NO_x is reduced to N₂ and H₂O. The most commonly used chemical agents are NH₃ and urea ((NH₂)₂CO) for SNCR. SNCR system introduces urea into temperature range of 760°C to 1100°C (1400°F to 2012 °F). Within this range, urea may react with available oxygen to form NO_x and in this way the NO_x removed ranges from 15% to 35%. SO₂ control The emissions of SO₂ can be controlled by three approaches: 1) blending of fuel, 2) switching fuel, with a fuel having lower sulfur contents, or 3) removing the SO₂ from the flue gases.

SO_x emission limits set by various countries are given in Table 7. A variety of technologies are available to remove SO₂. Among these technologies the prominent are: wet flue gas desulfurization (FGD), dry flue

gasdesulphurization. The dry FDG use a spray dryer absorber (SDA) or circulatingdry scrubber (CDS), or dry sorbent injection (DSI).

Conventionally used wet FGDsystems include a wet limestone process which forced oxidized S to remove asSO₂ and gypsum is obtained as a byproduct. SO₂ removal efficiency achieved byLimestone process is 98%. Wet FGD systems are designed for various typesof chemicals including magnesium-enriched lime, seawater, and soda ash (sodiumcarbonate, Na₂ CO₃). Some limestone-based systems use an organic acid toenhance SO₂ removal. Wet FGDs was successfully used for coals such as lignite, anthracite, bituminous, and sub-bituminous types. Figure 6 shows the locationsof the flue gas desulfurization (FGD) option in plant.

It may be of interestthat in China, the installed capacity of FGD systems is increasing from 379 GWeat end 2008 to 723 GWe in 2020 which represents 75% of all the new FGD to beinstalled worldwide each year 20. A Spray Dry Flue Gas DesulfurizationSystems (SDA) is an example of dry FGD system. In SDA, lime slurry is atomizedand applied over the exhaust gases to absorb the SO₂ and other gases. Thesubsequent dry material with absorbed gases is collected in a downstream PMcontrol equipment, such as a FF or ESP. A small quantity of the dry materialcan be recycled to minimize the usage of lime.

The SDA cools the flue gas from340 K to 350 K before the flue gas passes through the FF. Extremely low PMemissions are possible, including PM_{2.5}. Approximately 96% of SO₂ can beremoved with the use of this technology which make it suitable to forcompliance of new emission limits.

Advantages of dry FGD as compare to wet FGD include: 1) Low construction cost, 2) Simple unit operations, 3) Less water consumption, 4) Less power consumption, 5) Use of alkalinity to control the flyash for SO₂ absorption as well, and 6) Dry solid byproduct (easy to manage)