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Degree: BACHELOR OF SCIENCE IN HOTEL AND RESTAURANT MANAGEMENT Date Completed: OCTOBER 2011 The researchers conducted this study to determine the Effectiveness of Handling Guest Complaints by Front Office Desk Staff as Observed by the Guest at Selected Hotels. Specifically aims to answer the following questions about the profile of the respondents, how satisfied the respondents regarding their service satisfaction, recommendations that can provide solutions to the said problems and there is no significant relationship between the satisfaction of the respondents and their demographic profile of the respondents.

A descriptive method of research was used together with the questionnaire as the main instrument in gathering the needed data and information. Fifty (50) Guest at Nice Hotel in Cubao Quezon City was considered for the study. In analyzing and interpreting the data gathered, the statistical treatments used were, the percentage which was used to compare the frequencies of responses to the total number of responses, and the weighted mean which was used in measuring the Effectiveness of Handling Guest Complaints by Front Office Desk Staff as Observed by the Guest at Selected Hotels.
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