

Selection selection of appropriate mcdm from a

[Business](#), [Decision Making](#)



Selection of materials in engineering design have vital role.

Choice of relevant material for particular product is major task for designers. In this paper we are using Multi Criteria Decision Making (MCDM) TOPSIS tool for selecting the material for the designing of powered hand truck. The suitable five materials (SS 304, AISI 1010, AISI 1020, Al 6061-T6, Al 5052-O) are taken into consideration and with attributes as 5 material properties as well as characteristics (tensile strength, modulus of elasticity, density, affordability, machinability) are considered for analysis purpose. The attribute weights are computed using entropy method. As per the analysis AISI 1020 is more suitable material and hence chosen for designing and fabrication of powered hand truck.

© 2014 xxxxxxxx. Hosting by Elsevier B. V. All rights reserved. 1.

Introduction Powered Hand Truck is a material handling equipment, a solution that will provide enormous leverage for a more efficient and responsive manual material handling. The unique design enables one-person operation to lift and load small equipment or bulky goods weighing up to 60kg (133lbs). To achieve this, powered hand truck has an optional attribute called Electric linear actuator.

Electric battery provides the source of energy. C-sections and square sections need to be designed as well as fabricated for the completion of powered hand truck. Since it is a material handling equipment, a perfect material should be selected on the basis of design considerations. Material selection is one of the most challenging tasks. This material selection will ensure that design will not be conflicted.

There are number of materials in market having its own properties, characteristics, advantages, limitations and applications make the selection process more complex and time consuming. The criteria and objectives for selecting the appropriate material are observed to be often in conflict and it involves judgement amongst the diverse factors such as required mechanical properties, cost, machinability, performance etc. thus the material selection process can be observed as MCDM. Among many multi-criteria techniques, MAXMIN, MAXMAX, SAW, AHP, TOPSIS, SMART, ELECTRE are the most frequently used methods (Chen, Hwang, 1992). Abrishamchi et al. (2005) in his research study state that selection of appropriate MCDM from a long list of available MCDM methods is a multi-criteria problem itself. There is no single MCDM method which can be suitable for all decision-making problems.

Different researchers have different views on this issue. Guitouni and Martel (1998) 2 ARAB ECONOMIC AND BUSINESS JOURNAL 00 (2014) 000-000 argue that different MCDM methods will yield different recommendations while Hajkovicz and Higgins (2008) argue that the ranking of decision alternatives is unlikely to change noticeably by using a different MCDM method provided ordinal and cardinal data are handled correctly. However, Guitouni and Martel (1998) have developed some guidelines which can still be helpful in selecting an appropriate MCDM method. Alijahan et al (2013) discussed about Selection of Engineering Materials in Product Design in their research.

Entropy method is a measure of uncertainty in the information formulated using probability theory.

It indicates that a broad distribution represents more uncertainty than the sharply peaked one (Deng et al. 2000). Entropy method can compute fair relative criteria weights, entropy approaches measuring the source and determining the relative weights of criteria (B_1, B_2, \dots, B_m) in a rather simple and straightforward manner. Entropy approach has been proved as sufficiently reliable in identifying both contrast intensity and conflict of criteria and computing their weights appropriately (Srdjevic et al. 2004). The Entropy method produces more divergent coefficient values for all the criteria. We regard this phenomenon as favorable to the Entropy method as it can better resolve the inherent conflict between the criteria embedded in Multi attributed decision problems (Diakoulaki et al. 1995).

TOPSIS was first represented by Yoon (1980) and Hwang and Yoon (1981), for solving MCDM based problems. According to this technique, the best alternative would be the one that is nearest to the positive ideal solution and farthest from the negative ideal solution (Benitez et al., 2007).

Positive Ideal Solution minimizes the cost criteria and maximizes the benefit criteria, whereas the Negative Ideal Solution maximizes the cost criteria and minimizes the benefit criteria (Wang & Chang, 2007). It assumes that each criterion requires to be maximized or minimized. TOPSIS is a simple and useful method for ranking alternatives according to closeness to the ideal solution.

The TOPSIS procedure is based on a simple idea that the optimal ideal solution, having the maximum benefit, is obtained by selecting the best alternative which is far from the most unsuitable alternative, having less

benefits. The ideal solution should have a rank of 1 and the non-ideal or worst alternative should have a rank 0. As ideal materials are not probable and each alternative would have some in-between ranking between the ideal solution extremes. Regardless of absolute accuracy of rankings, comparing number of different materials under the same set of selection criteria allows accurate weighting of relative materials and hence selecting best material amongst many alternatives.