Essay on pharmacology

Sociology, Population



Systemic Clearance Extended Calculation

Data: Pharmacokinetic parameters: Fraction unbound (fu), 0. 5; Clearance by active secretion (CLsec), 2L/h; Fraction reabsorbed (FR), 0. 25; Hepatic Extraction Ratio (EH), 0. 25.

Q: Total Systemic Clearance (CLtotal) =?

Formula: CLtotal = (F * D) / AUC (RMI Pharmacokinetics, 2014)

Where, F = fraction of dose absorbed (mg), (1 - FR) * (1 - EH) (RMI Pharmacokinetics, 2014)

AUC = area under the curve (mg/L/h), (Δt /2) * Cf (Summit PK, 2014)

D = single dose (mg), Q * AUC * F (RMI Pharmacokinetics, 2014)

Computation:

Step 1: Computation of F

$$F = (1 - FR) * (1 - EH)$$

$$F = (1 - .25mg) * (1 - .25) = .75 * .75$$

F = 0.5625 mg

Step 2: Computation of AUC

 $AUC = (\Delta t /2) * Cf$

$$AUC = (1h/2) * (1 + (1 - EH)) = .5 * (1 + (1 - .25)) = .5 * 1.75$$

AUC = 0.875 mg/L/h

Step 3: Computation of D

D = Q * AUC * F

D = 74.375 mg

Step 4: Computation of CLtotal

CLtotal = (F * D) / AUC

CLtotal = (.5625 * 74.375) / .875

CLtotal = 47.8125 mg/L/h

Therapeutic Monitoring Essay

Q1: Identify the circumstances and populations where variability may be most important.

Pharmacokinetic variability may be most important in situations when patients respond optimally to medications in the lower level of the therapeutic range. This condition may be critical in the prophylactic treatment of epilepsy because of the difficulty in closely monitoring outpatient patients, and the medication must exert therapeutic effects without adverse effects (Johannessen & Tomson, 2012: 1061-1062). In prophylactic interventions, dosage adjustments on clinical grounds alone may be difficult due to lack of justifiable signs and symptoms to base the decision to change dosage. Moreover, older antiepileptic drugs, for instance, had been known for their narrow therapeutic range and a pronounced interindividual pharmacokinetic variability, which can increase adverse effect risks due to unpredictability of patient response. Drug interactions also are another circumstance wherein differences in metabolism may result to variability in pharmacokinetics. The enzyme CYP3A, for instance, can get inhibited by drug interactions resulting to dosage optimization, for instance, in the dosage of immunosuppressive cyclosporine in patients using ketoconazole (Wilkinson, 2005: 2211-2212).

Q2: Describe the approaches that may be used to control for this variability.

Shaw et al (2000: 14) observed preferential option towards individualized doze medication guided by plasma studies. In transplant patients, for instance, who receive mycophenolate mofetil (MMF), transplant centers prefer to monitor the presence of mycophenolic acid (MPA) in the plasma as guide in the adjustment of dosages in specific patients.

Intra-Individual Variability Essay

Q: Select ONE specific factor (from the categories environmental, genetic OR physiological) that may contribute to intra-individual variability in the activation of CYP2C19, and describe the potential consequences of this variability in terms of patient response and tolerability.

The enzyme CYP2C19 consists of 26 variant alleles (Klaassen, et al., 2008: 387-388). While allele *1 became known for its involvement in the metabolism of frequently prescribed drugs (e. g. omeprazole, diazepam), alleles *2 and *5 are almost exclusively involved with CYP2C19 proteins that have no functional activity. Their presence in certain populations varies significantly. Allele *2 are present in 30 percent of Asians, a17 percent of African-Americans, and 15 percent of Caucasians. Allele *3 had been less active in these populations, merely at 5 percent, 0. 4 percent, and 0. 04 percent, respectively. Some alleles are even rarely encountered. These allelic variations describe significant wide variability in their impact to the pharmacokinetics of certain drugs. Omeprazole, for instance, may need higher doses in the treatment of hyperacidity and gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD) when the strong activity of CYP2C19 results to its shorter

half-life. Moreover, such outcome may require an increase in dosage and frequency of administration in order to obtain the necessary therapeutic effect.

Reference List

"Common Equations." RMI Pharmacokinetics 2014. Available (15 October 2014) at: http://www.rmi-pharmacokinetics.com/Common_equations. asp "PK Equations." Pharmacokinetic Software for Research and Education 2007, SummitPK. com. Available (15 October 2014) at: http://www.summitpk.com/equations/equations. htm#S6

Johannessen, Svein I & Tomson, Torbjorn. "Pharmacokinetic Variability of Newer Antiepileptic Drugs." Clinical Pharmacokinetics 30 September 2012, 45 (11): 1061-1075. Available at: http://link. springer. com/article/10. 2165/00003088-200645110-00002

Klaassen, Tobias, et al. "Assessment of Urinary Mephenytoin Metrics to Phenotype for CYP2C19 and CYP2B6 Activity." European Journal of Clinical Pharmacology 2008, 64: 387-398. Available at: http://link. springer. com/article/10. 1007/s00228-007-0416-z#page-2

Opanasopit, Praneet, et al. "In Vivo Recognition of Mannosylated Proteins by Hepatic Mannose Receptors and Mannan-Binding Protein." American Journal of Physiology - Gastrointestinal and Liver Physiology 1 May 2001, 280(5): G879-889. Available at: http://ajpgi. physiology. org/content/280/5/G879. full-text. pdf+html

Shaw, Leslie, et al. "Pharmacokinetics and Concentration-Control Investigations of Mycophenolic Acid in Adults after Transplantation." Therapeutic Drug Monitoring February 2000, 22 (1): 14-19 (Abstract).

Available at: http://journals. lww.

com/drug-monitoring/Abstract/2000/02000/Pharmacokinetics_and_Concentra tion Control. 3. aspx

Wilkinson, Grant R. " Drug Metabolism and Variability among Patients in Drug Response." New England Journal of Medicine 26 May 2005, 352: 2211-2221. DOI: 10. 1056/NEJMra032424.