

Ethics statement

[Sociology](#), [Ethics](#)



To become a strong individual and succeed in society and organizations, we must follow or develop a set of ethics and adhere to them. As I completed the Baird Decision Model the result was that I have no preferred lens. This may be a positive or negative. The positive aspect into having no preferred lens is you have the maturity and tools to use a combination of all four lenses to resolve ethical issues. On the negative side if one has no preferred lens it could be due to undeveloped ethical skills or compensation to accommodate everyone. This reflection paper is aimed towards describing my core and classical values, the conflicting issues with my preferred lens and finally my personal ethical statement. The core values suggested for myself from the Baird Decision Model are: Autonomy, Equality, Rationality and Sensibility (Ethics Game, 2012). In my opinion these set of values stem primarily from my family. It is the old saying of "treating others how you want to be treated" that was ingrained into me by my family and shows my equality and sensibility. The other two core values autonomy and rationality show that I have a leaning towards the deontological believe, which was developed from Kant. I agree with the core values the Baird Decision Model has generated for me as during my daily activities at work or private conflicts I am always assessing situations individually and attempt to provide the result with the most level-headed outcome. To accompany my core values I also have a set of classical value which are: Temperance, Prudence, Justice and Fortitude (Ethics Game, 2012). I also agree with these choices and can relate as in various situations I am able to demonstrate astuteness towards conflicts and use the self-interest of all evolved to resolve the issue. When issues cannot be resolved in a acceptable manner, this is where my

skills of restraint from temperance and steadiness from prudence thrive and enable me to access the situation thoroughly before coming to a rash conclusion. By knowing what core and classical values I hold true I will be able to advance forward in efforts to maintain my fluidity and knowledge of all four lenses. The preference of having no ethical lens leaves me with no blind spot. This means that I can see both the strengths and weaknesses of each lens, and use my core values to harmonize this attribute (Ethics Game, 2012). I need to be self aware or can run the risk of seeing the whole picture but never making any action. This is seen as my inaction as I want to see all points of view to which leads to a delay in action (Ethics Game, 2012). I notice this throughout my work as in certain situations which there are a clear concise solution I become more concerned with analyzing every angle rather than asserting the solution. The crisis I am faced with in my ethical lens preference is simply confusion. I become too concerned with addressing everyone else's perspective and inevitably lose track of my own. References Ethics Game, (2012) Ethical Lens Inventory Results for Cory Plummer. Retrieved from <http://www.ethicsgame.com/Exec/Eli/EthicalLensResults.aspx?R=1>