

Christopher columbus

[Parts of the World](#), [Europe](#)



Is there a difference between Christopher Columbus and Lewis and Clark? These three men all searched on for new land. Christopher who claimed to have discovered America found a land that was inhabited by many Native Americans. Lewis and Clark also found new land inhabited by many Native Americans. The difference, the way Christopher Columbus worked was he slaughtered many to clear the land, while Lewis and Clark did no such thing. Christopher Columbus discovered North America and was expecting to find a lot of gold. He promised the King and Queen a land full of gold, so he was expected to bring back a ton of gold. The problem was the land was scarce of gold, so since the Native Americans looked up to Columbus as if he was their God, he took advantage of this and put many of them to work as his slaves looking for gold. When they didn't cooperate he had his men kill them, or cut off arms. Christopher chose to get rid of these Native Americans with a bloody and inhumanely way. Lewis and Clark did not choose a bloody and violent way to take the land from the Native Americans. They tried to cooperate with the Natives. Only until later did the Native Americans get treated poorly, when they were removed for their land, after they were ordered to live like the Europeans. When you hear about Lewis and Clark, you find little evidence of violence done by this expedition. Unlike the Christopher Columbus expedition. The comparison between Christopher Columbus and Lewis and Clark, is that these were explores sent out to find new land for their country. They both had good intentions as they set off. They went where no man had gone before (no European man). But the big difference is that Christopher took advantage of these strange people and killed thousands, just to save his self when he promised a land of gold. In the

beginning, Christopher Columbus was a great explorer. He brought us North America and gave us a new start. The problem is that he did it the wrong way; the people that were originally living there were nearly wiped out from the killings by Columbus, or diseases that he brought with from Europe. In the end Columbus became crazy with power. In the beginning Lewis and Clark were seeking new land to inhabit; in the end they found the land without all the killings. This is the reason why they cannot be the same as Christopher Columbus.

Dominic Varela Pr. 2 In what ways did the European invasion alter the lives of the Indians of eastern North America? The European invasion altered the lives of the Indians of eastern North America because they took their food, made them change their customs, made them follow European ways, gave them diseases, and ultimately nearly killed them. The Indians had their lives changed when the Europeans showed up, and they were treated inhumanely by the Europeans. When the Europeans showed up in Indian Territory, they began taking part of their land. At first it wasn't much, they only relocated or just lived near them. Then it started getting worse when they started taking the land and giving them small pieces of land that were unable to have people living in them. The Indians starting losing their lives once they started losing their sacred grounds. The food was becoming scarce when the Europeans showed up and started taking the food that they had. With little food, meant more lives lost. Another thing is that they were putting the Native Americans into war and slavery. The Natives had no choice, for they helped the Europeans to survive, since most of them were in slavery and could do nothing about it. In the End, the Europeans almost made the Native Americans extinct. They came to push

them away from their land, then they took their food, and then they made them leave all together. If the Europeans never came, the Indians would have still been strong and dominant.