

Evolution period and the third phase on post-1990

[Business](#), [Entrepreneurship](#)



EVOLUTION OF INDIAN FINANCIAL SYSTEM: For many years the presidency banks act as quasi-central banks and they did their successor. The three banks merged in 1921 to form the imperial bank of India and after India's independence the bank became the state bank of India.

Between the period of 1906 and 1911 there was the establishment of banks inspired by the swadeshi movement. And this swadeshi movement attracted local businessmen and political to found banks for the Indians. After that number of banks was established then have fully running at present also such as bank of India, corporation bank, Indian bank, bank of Baroda, Canara bank and central bank of India. Evolution of Indian financial system was characterised by: Absence of organised capital market. Dependence of industries and other users on internal sources. Rare cases of public issues of capital for expansion and modernisation. Very few financial institutions and players in the market.

Very strict condition for loan assistance to companies. The Indian financial system has faced several fluctuations from the barter system in pre-industrial economies to universal banking. Evolution Indian financial system development is divided into three phases. The first phase on pre-1951 period. The second phase is from 1951 to 1990 period and The third phase on Post-1990 period. FIRST PHASE ON PRE-1951 PERIOD: Indian financial system before 1951 had with the theoretical model of a financial organisation in a traditional economy, as formulated by R.

L. Bennett. The principals of pre-1951 financial system were described by L. C. Gupta. The principal of pre-independence industrial financing organization

have certain characters of industrial entrepreneurship a semi organised and narrow industrial securities markets and devoid of issuing institutions and the virtual absence of participation by intermediary financial institutions in industry. As a result from this, the industry had restricted access to the outside saving.

Such a financial system was clearly incapable to sustaining a high rate of industrial growth, particularly growth of new and innovating enterprises. Control of money lenders No regulatory bodies No laws - total private sectors Hardly any industrialization