## Behaviorism and social learning theories



Behaviorism: Personality-doesn't include traits, unconscious conflicts, psychodynamic processes, conscious experiences or anything else that cannot be directly observed

- -generally based on b databehaviorists-value objectivity and tighter theoretical reasoning
- -theoritically opposed to humanists
- -focus on how a person's behavior is a direct result of the environment ONBEHAVIORISM AND SOCIAL LEARNING THEORIES SPECIFICALLY FOR YOUFOR ONLY\$13. 90/PAGEOrder Nowphilosophical roots: empiricism-idea that all knowledge comes from experience
- -opposing view: rationalism
- -assumes tabula rasa at birthphilosophical roots: associationism-any two things become mentally associated as one if they are repeatedly experienced close together in time
- -often occurs as cause-and-effect relationship
- ex) thunder and lightening

how babies learn-reaching out and getting what you wantphilosophical roots: hedonism-motivation= seek pleasure, avoid pain

- -leads to social philosophy of utilitarianismtypes of learning: habituationsimplest way behavior changes as a result of experience
- -happens even in single-celled animals and neurons
- -variation can prevent habituation
- -consequences possibly dangerous
- > can occur after violent video games= more aggressive, less empathetic
- > or can occur after positive changes= habituated to winning \$ and become used to having lots of \$types of learning: classical conditioning-affects

https://assignbuster.com/behaviorism-and-social-learning-theories/

emotions, feelings and physiological responses

- -Pavlov
- -learned helplessnesstypes of learning: operant conditioning-The Law of Effect: Edward Thorndike
- -animal learns to operate in its world in a way as to change it to their advantage; learning stems from experience
- -reinforcement: good outcome/result that occurs when animal or person performs a certain behavior makes behavior more likely
- -punishment: makes behavior less likelyPunishment-aversive consequence that follows an act in order to stop it and prevent its repetition

  5impt imprinciples
- 1)availability of alternatives
- 2) behavioral and situational specificity
- 3) timing and cosistency
- 4) conditioning secondary punishing stimuli
- 5) avoiding mixed messagesSocial Learning Theory-three theories in response to behaviorism's shortcomings
- > Dollard and Miller
- > Rotter
- > Bandura
- -acknowledged role of motivation, thought and cognition
- -more aware of aspects important to humans
- -sensitive to social dimension of learning
- -does not treat organism as passiveSLT: Dollard and Miller-habit hierarchy (behavior most likely to perform at top, least at bottom)
- -effect of rewards, punishments, and learning is to rearrange habit hierarchy

https://assignbuster.com/behaviorism-and-social-learning-theories/

- -deviated from classic behaviorism
- -personality= individual's history of learning
- -motivations-led by drives (primary and secondary)
- > no behavioral change w/o reducing a driveD&M's Drive Reduction

  Theorydrive-> need-> behavior-> satisfaction-> reinforcementD&M's

  Frustration-Aggression Hypothesis-anger or frustration is natural or biological when person is blocked from a goal
- -more important the goal-> greater the frustration-> greater the aggressive impulse
- -preferred target is source of frustration but not always a good idea (shades of Freud's displacement idea)D&M's Approach-Avoidance ConflictFive key assumptions:
- Increase in drive strength will increase tendency to approach or avoid a goal
- Where there are two competing responses, strong one will win out
- 3. Tendency to approach a positive goal increases the closer one gets to the goal
- 4. Tendency to avoid a negative goal increases the closer one gets to the goal
- 5. Tendency 4 stronger than tendency 3SLT: Rotter-expectancy (for a behavior)-individual's belief (or subjective probability) about how likely a behavior will attain a goal

https://assignbuster.com/behaviorism-and-social-learning-theories/

- > specific expectancy
- > generalized expectancy (locus of control)
- -expectancy value theory-behavioral decisions determined not just by presence or size of reinforcements but also by BELIEFS about likely results of behaviorSLT: Bandura-efficacy expectations: beliefs + interpretation of reality matters more than reality itself
- -perceived probability that you can do something is key
- -focus on self-efficacy (as opposed to Rotter's belief about reinforcement)
- > belief abou tth eself
- > belief in capability of doing
- > likely to affect our motivation and performance
- -research evidence: study regarding leg strength (told they were against an injured person resulted in higher self-efficacy and better performance than others who were told they were against an athlete)Bandura's Observational Learning-Bobo Doll Study (kids imitating actions of adult beating bobo doll) -implications: starts earlyBandura's Reciprocal Determinismanalysis of how people shape environments:
- -humans aren't passive-you can choose the environments that influence you -social situations because you are there
- -self-system develops that has its own effects on behavior, independent of environmentcontributions and limitations of SLT-objective research
- -draws attention to influence of environment on behavior
- -created useful talk and tech for behavioral change

BUT underestimates individual differences