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## Introduction

Retinal dystrophies (RD), a group of heterogeneous hereditary diseases, are caused by perturbed photoreceptor function. It presents significant genetic heterogeneity and contribute notably to the etiology of blindness around the world ( [den Hollander et al., 2010](#B4) ). To date, more than 300 disease associated genes have been implicated in the pathogenesis of the disease, most of which are involved in the development and normal function of photoreceptor and cells from the retinal pigment epithelium (RPE) ( [den Hollander et al., 2008](#B5) , [2010](#B4) ). Clinical symptoms vary widely among different RD subtypes and disease genes ( [Ellingford et al., 2016](#B6) ). RD are generally classified based on the type of photoreceptor cells affected, i. e., rods or cones, and thus on the location, macula or peripheral retina ( [Nash et al., 2015](#B16) ).

The described modes of inheritance in RD are recessive (ar) or dominant (ad) and autosomal or X-linked. A few rare cases of RD exist, in which mitochondrial mutations, digenic inheritance, and pseudodominant transmission have been documented ( [Lewis et al., 1999](#B12) ; [Liu et al., 2019](#B13) ).

In this study, we used whole exome sequencing (WES) and Sanger sequencing to investigate four consanguineous families with several members affected by RD, inherited in an apparent ad pattern. We aimed to identify potential gene variants underlining these cases and described the genotypic and phenotypic findings in these complicated RD pedigrees. Distinct inheritance patterns and disease-causing variants were identified in all families.

## Materials and Methods

### Ethical Compliance

This study was approved by the Local Ethics Committee of the Hedi Rais Institute in Tunisia. Informed consent was obtained from all participants in the study. Analyses were done in accordance with local guidelines.

### Clinical Investigations

Our study contains four Tunisian families with non-syndromic RD ( [Table 1](#T1) ). All patients underwent detailed clinical examinations and their family history was collected. A comprehensive ophthalmological examination was performed at the Department B of Hedi Rais Institute of Ophthalmology, Tunis (Tunisia), including best-corrected visual acuity (BCVA), slit lamp, dilated fundus examination, and full-field electroretinography according to the International Society for Clinical Electrophysiology of Vision (ISCEV) standards (Métrovision, France), swept source optical coherence tomography (SS-OCT, Topcon, Swept Source DRI-OCT Triton, Japan), and fundus autofluorescence (FAF) imaging (Heidelberg, HRA 2 Spectralis, Germany).
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Clinical data of four families with gene-associated RD.

### Genetic Analysis

Peripheral blood samples were obtained from 4 index patients and 13-related individuals, including parents and affected siblings. DNA was extracted from leukocytes according to the salting-out method ( [Miller et al., 1988](#B15) ).

We sequenced the exome in the index patient, then variants were confirmed by Sanger sequencing and segregation was established in all families.

#### Whole Exome Sequencing (WES)

Next-generation sequencing was done at Sophia Genetics (SOPHiA GENETICS SA, Saint-Sulpice, Switzerland) using the clinical exome solution v. 2 (CES2). The complete list of genes analyzed in CES2 can be obtained at www. sophiagenetics. com . ~98. 95% of target regions were covered at least 25×.

#### Variant Assessment

All variants were first filtered against several public databases for the minor allele frequency (MAF) of <1%. dbSNP database served as a reference to exclude any known frequent variants occurring in coding (missense) and regulatory regions including splice site and promoter regions. [Table 2](#T2) lists the criteria to classify the variants described here.
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Classification of the identified variants.

A variant was considered novel if it has not been described in the medical literature or was not present in the Human Mutation Database ( www. hgmd. cf. ac. uk/ac ). The variant frequency in the control population was evaluated using gnomAD ( http://gnomad. broadinstitute. org/ ).

#### Sanger Sequencing

All variants were confirmed by Sanger sequencing. PCR reactions and amplification conditions were performed using the following primers in [Table 3](#T3) .
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Primers.

## Results

### Clinical Diagnosis

#### Family 1

The index patient is a 19-year-old girl from consanguineous marriage with the diagnosis of enhanced S-cone syndrome. She had nyctalopia since the age of 6 years along with visual impairment. Her BCVA was limited to 1/20 in both eyes (OU). On fundus examination, she had mid-peripheral nummular pigment clumping, atrophy and cystoid macular edema better visualized on SS-OCT. FAF showed hyper FAF dots in the posterior pole ( [Figures 1A–C](#F1) ). In full-field ERG, reduced cone and rod responses with similar waveforms under photopic and scotopic conditions were detected ( [Figure 2](#F2) ). Affected mother had severe visual impairment with cataract and diffuse retinal atrophy ( [Figures 1D, E](#F1) ).
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Clinical and imaging features of patients with *NR2E3* mutations. F1(A–E). (A)FP of the index patient with peripheral nummular spicule deposits and atrophy.(B)FAF with peripheral hypo-autofluorescence spots and heterogeneous autofluorescence of the posterior pole.(C)SS-OCT. Bilateral cystoid macular edema.(D, E)Diffuse retinal atrophy. F2(F–H). (F, H)FP of the index patient (II. 1)(F)and his father (I. 1)(H)with circumferential fibrosis and nummular pigment clumping and peripheral spicule deposits.(G)SS-OCT. intraretinal cysts (II. 1). FP, Fundus photography.
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Full-field ERG findings in enhanced-S cone syndrome patients from families 1 and 2 showing similar waveforms in both scotopic and photopic conditions (red arrow) with markedly reduced flicker (blue arrow).

#### Family 2

The index patient was a 13-year-old boy from consanguineous marriage presenting with retinal dystrophy. His BCVA was limited to 3/10 OU. On fundus examination, he had circumferential fibrosis, nummular pigment clumping on the posterior pole and peripheral spicule deposits with preserved macular region ( [Figures 1F, G](#F1) ). In full-field ERG, there were similar cone and rod responses under photopic and scotopic conditions with markedly reduced flicker, which allowed us to retain the diagnosis of enhanced S-cone syndrome ( [Figure 2](#F2) ). Affected father had similar retinal phenotype with bilateral cataract ( [Figure 1H](#F1) ).

#### Family 3

The index patient is a 13-year-old girl with impaired visual acuity (BCVA: 1/10 OU) and nyctalopia since her first decade of life. She had cone-rod dystrophy with macular atrophy and spicule deposits in the mid peripheral retina ( [Figure 3A](#F3) ). In full-field ERG, there were reduced cone and rod responses. Her mother had similar phenotype with BCVA limited to light perception and diffuse spicule deposits in fundus examination ( [Figure 3B](#F3) ).

FIGURE 3 

Clinical and imaging features of affected patients from F3 and F4, F3(A, B). FP of the index patient (II. 1) and her mother (I. 2) showed cone-rod dystrophy with macular atrophy and spicule deposits in the mid peripheral retina. F4(C–H). (C)FP of the index patient (II. 2) with peripheral spicule migrations and preserved posterior pole.(D)Foveolar hyperautofluorescence, peripheral hypo-autofluorescence spots.(E)SS-OCT. Preserved retinal macular layers.(F)FP of the mother (I. 2) showing macular atrophy and peripheral spicule deposits.(G)FAF with lack of autofluorescence in the macula.(H)SS-OCT. Severe and diffuse macular atrophy.

#### Family 4

The index patient is a 38-year-old male diagnosed with familial retinitis pigmentosa (RP). BCVA was 5/10 s. On fundus examination, there were peripheral spicule migrations and preserved posterior pole ( [Figures 3C–E](#F3) ). The same phenotype was present in three-affected sisters. The mother, had BCVA limited to 1/20 OU with macular atrophy and peripheral spicule deposits ( [Figures 3F–H](#F3) ).

### Molecular Diagnosis

#### Identified Pathogenic (P) and Likely Pathogenic (LP) Variants

Six potentially pathogenic variants in four genes were identified in four families ( [Figure 4](#F4) ).
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Segregation of the pathogenic variants in four genes identified in four families.

In the index patient with enhanced S-cone syndrome in F1, we identified, a new digenetic combination: a heterozygous likely benign variant p.[G51A];[=] in *RHO* and homozygous LP variant p.[R311Q];[R311Q] in *NR2E3* . Helicoid subretinal fibrosis associated with a recessive *NR2E3* variant p.[R311Q];[R311Q] was identified in F2. New frameshift P variant c.[105delG];[105delG] in *RDH12* was found in the index patient of F3 with cone-rod dystrophy (CRD). Compound heterozygous LP variants p.[R964 \* ];[W758 \* ] in *IMPG2* were observed in the index patient with RP in F4. We have shown that both, the affected parents and the offspring, are homozygous for the same variant in the four families. These variants are classified as pathogenic according to the American College of Medical Genetics (ACMG) guidelines.

## Discussion

In this report, molecular testing revealed the coexistence of pathogenic variants affecting distinct RD causing genes in four RD families. Among the five pathogenic variants identified in these families, one was novel ( *RDH12* c. 105delG, p. Q36Sfs \* 6) and four were recurrent ( *RHO* p. G51A, *NR2E3* p. R311Q and *IMPG2* p. W758 \* , p. R964 \* ) ( [Macke et al., 1993](#B14) ; [Haider et al., 2000](#B8) ; [Bandah-Rozenfeld et al., 2010](#B1) ; [Patel et al., 2016](#B17) ).

Two families, F1 and F2, carried the most frequent variant p. R311Q in *NR2E3* . Gerber et al. have previously identified this in patients who may have Enhanced S-cone syndrome (ESCS) ( [Gerber et al., 2000](#B7) ). Later, Haider et al. reported the same p. R311Q variant with 44. 8% frequency in patients with ESCS ( [Haider et al., 2000](#B8) ) and it was also reported in a patient with Goldmann-Favre syndrome (GFS) ( [Bernal et al., 2008](#B2) ). The particularity in F1 is the presence of a second heterozygous variant p. G51A in *RHO* . Two diseases are associated with *RHO* variants, RP and congenital stationary night blindness (CSNB). However, in F1 the index patient presented with ESCS, which is more related to *NR2E3* . Interesting, and although *RHO* : p. G51A has been involved in retinitis pigmentosa ( [Macke et al., 1993](#B14) ), GnomAD mentions a frequency of 0. 1% in the general population and ClinVar classifies this variant as benign/likely benign. Family F1 would favor the latter classification.

New pathogenic homozygous variant c.[105delG];[105delG], p.[Q36Sfs \* 6;[Q36Sfs \* 6] in *RDH12* was detected in F3. Variants in *RDH12* have been previously linked to Leber congenital amaurosis (LCA) and ad-RP. Retinal pathologies resulting from variants in *RDH12* gene can be inherited in either ad or ar mode ( [Kumaran et al., 2017](#B11) ; [Sarkar et al., 2020](#B18) ). Ar biallelic variants in *RDH12* were first identified in three consanguineous Austrian families with severe retinal dystrophy ( [Janecke et al., 2004](#B9) ).

F4 harbored compound heterozygous variants p.[W758 \* ];[R964 \* ] in *IMPG2* . This is the first time the association of these two variants is described. Biallelic variants in *IMPG2* have been shown to underlie recessive childhood-onset rod-cone dystrophy with early macular involvement in several families ( [Khan and Al Teneiji, 2019](#B10) ). At the heterozygous state, *IMPG2* variants have been associated with dominant vitelliform macular dystrophy ( [Brandl et al., 2017](#B3) ). In F4, the affected mother carried compound likely pathogenic variants, the unaffected father carried a p. W758 \* heterozygous variant and the affected children a combination of these variants. Pseudodominant inheritance occurs when an individual with a known recessive disorder has a clinically unaffected partner, but then unexpectedly gives birth to children who are affected with the same recessive disorder as the affected parent ( [Thompson and Thompson, 1986](#B19) ). Although all the parents in the described families were first cousins, each disease followed a dominant pattern of inheritance, with one affected parent and one or several affected children. Molecular analyses allowed us to correctly classify the mode of inheritance as pseudo-dominant, a mode usually associated with a high mutant frequency, like in hemochromatosis.
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