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## Introduction

In the decentralized sanitation sector, there is a growing body of research focusing on urine-diversion based systems that treat and recycle human urine as crop fertilizer ( [Larsen et al., 2013](#B21) ; [Martin et al., 2020](#B22) ). Several promising technologies at various scales are being developed across the world for the on-site treatment of urine ( [Harder et al., 2019](#B15) ). One among these technologies is alkaline dehydration ( [Simha et al., 2020a](#B33) ; [Simha et al., 2020b](#B34) ; [Simha et al., 2020c](#B35) ), where urine is dried to produce a solid fertilizer with 10–30 times higher concentrations of plant nutrients than what is originally present in freshly excreted urine. The treatment involves alkalizing urine (pH ≥ 10) to inhibit the enzyme-catalyzed hydrolytic degradation of urea ( [Krajewska, 2009](#B20) ). In freshly excreted urine, approximately 85% of the N is present as urea ( [Kirchmann and Pettersson, 1994](#B19) ), whereas in hydrolyzed urine, N is present as ammonia and ammonium instead ( [Chipako and Randall, 2020](#B5) ). Urease (urea amidohydrolase, EC 3. 5. 1. 5), a nickel-containing metalloenzyme catalyses the hydrolysis of urea ( [Blakeley et al., 1982](#B2) ; [Blakeley and Zerner, 1984](#B3) ). Urease-producing bacteria are widespread in the environment ( [Mobley and Hausinger, 1989](#B23) ), and are commonly found in urine-diverting sanitation systems ( [Udert et al., 2003](#B37) ). Therefore, the motivation to alkalize urine is to inhibit enzymatic ureolysis, as this allows evaporating water from urine and recovering N as urea, a widely used crop fertilizer ( [Dampney et al., 2003](#B6) ).

Several compounds (e. g., thiols, acetohydroxamic acid) can competitively inhibit urease activity ( [Krajewska, 2009](#B20) ). In previous studies, we have demonstrated that urease present in source-separated urine can be inhibited simply by increasing the pH of urine; according to [Geinzer (2017)](#B14) , a pH above 10 is necessary for inactivation. To alkalize urine, wood ash ( [Dutta and Vinneras, 2016](#B8) ; [Senecal and Vinneras, 2017](#B30) ; [Senecal et al., 2018](#B29) ; [Simha et al., 2018](#B31) ), Ca(OH) 2 ( [Dutta and Vinneras, 2016](#B8) ; [Randall et al., 2016](#B25) ; [Simha et al., 2020a](#B33) ; [Simha et al., 2020b](#B34) ), KOH ( [Simha et al., 2018](#B31) ) and anion-exchange resin ( [Simha et al., 2018](#B31) ) can be used as alkalizing agents. While all the aforementioned can increase the pH of fresh urine, not all are equally effective as alkaline substrates for dehydrating urine. Here, effectiveness refers to the volume of urine that can be treated by a unit mass of a substrate under a given set of drying conditions. When urine that is added to an alkaline substrate is dried convectively, its pH gradually decreases, primarily due to the absorption of CO 2 which produces HCO 3 − and CO 3 2 − ions ( [Simha et al., 2018](#B31) ). Since there can be reactivation of urease at pH < 10, on reaching this threshold, no further urine addition to the substrate can take place without subsequently losing N as NH 3 .

In this regard, there can be many advantages to using sparingly soluble alkaline earth metal compounds [e. g., Ca (OH) 2 or Mg (OH) 2 ] as substrates for alkaline urine dehydration. First, because of their low solubility, urine can be dosed passively, meaning the compounds would dissolve as needed depending on the volume of urine added ( [Flanagan and Randall, 2018](#B11) ). Secondly, urine can be easily saturated with these compounds to attain saturation pH values that do not significantly differ for different urine compositions ( [Randall et al., 2016](#B25) ). Thirdly, the pH does not vary when urine is dosed in excess of that required for achieving saturation ( [Randall et al., 2016](#B25) ; [Simha et al., 2020b](#B34) ); this is in contrast to alkalizing urine with alkali metal hydroxides (e. g., KOH), where the volume of urine determines dosage and subsequently, the pH.

Past studies have demonstrated that Ca (OH) 2 is an effective substrate for alkalising ( [Randall et al., 2016](#B25) ; [Flanagan and Randall, 2018](#B11) ) and dehydrating ( [Dutta and Vinneras, 2016](#B8) ; [Simha et al., 2020b](#B34) ) urine. In this study, we wanted to investigate whether magnesium oxide (MgO) could be used instead for treating urine by alkaline dehydration. The motivation for investigating this was two-fold. On hydration, MgO produces Mg (OH) 2 according to the following reaction ( [Rocha et al., 2004](#B26) ):

MgO ( s ) + H 2 O ( l ) → Mg ( aq ) 2 + + 2 OH ( aq ) − .

When sufficient MgO is added to urine, it creates a saturated solution and increases the urine pH to 9. 9 ± 0. 2 ( [Simha et al., in preparation](#B32) ). The increase in pH could be sufficient for inhibiting enzymatic urea hydrolysis but has the co-benefit of minimizing the potential loss of urea to chemical hydrolysis, which is strongly pH dependent ( [Blakeley et al., 1982](#B2) ). The solubility of MgO in fresh urine at 25°C is <1. 5 g·L −1 ( [Simha et al., in preparation](#B32) ), much lower than the solubility of Ca (OH) 2 , which according to [Randall et al. (2016)](#B25) varies between 3. 5 and 5 g·L −1 , since it depends on the urine composition.

MgO has been used previously by others for treating urine, especially for recovering P by precipitating struvite from hydrolyzed urine ( [Ganrot et al., 2007](#B13) ; [Wilsenach et al., 2007](#B40) ). However, in this treatment chain, because of poor capture of N and K, only about 20% of the monetary value of urine as fertilizer can be recovered ( [Etter et al., 2011](#B9) ). To the best of our knowledge, MgO has not been used to treat urine by alkaline dehydration. Hence, the overall objective of this study was to investigate the alkaline dehydration of urine when added to MgO. The aim was to treat approximately 20 L urine d −1 m −2 using pure MgO or MgO mixed with the following co-substrates—biochar, wheat bran, or Ca (OH) 2 . The co-substrates biochar and bran were added to break up peptide films that usually form over the surface of urine and limit the evaporative removal of water ( [Tettenborn et al., 2007](#B36) ). Specifically, we investigated the 1) recovery of N; 2) changes in the physical and chemical properties of the drying substrate; and, 3) the elemental composition of the end-products. In addition, by modeling the chemical speciation, we provided insights into the solubility and saturation pH of MgO added to human urine.

## Methodology

### Materials

##### Urine

Around 20 volunteers (male and female, aged 25–65 years) anonymously donated urine in 500 ml sterile high-density polyethylene bottles at different times of the day. The filled bottles were collected at the end of the working day (<12 h) and refrigerated at 3°C (±1°C) for <14 days. When it was needed, urine was removed from the fridge, mixed in a 5 L Florence flask, heated using a water bath to 37 ± 1°C (to simulate its temperature at excretion), and used in the drying experiments.

##### Alkaline substrate

Laboratory grade MgO (Acros Organics, Belgium) was used in powder form ( d 99 < 150 µm). Food grade wheat bran (Kungsörnen, Sweden), technical grade Ca (OH) 2 (Nordkalk Corporation, Sweden) and non-activated biochar (Vindelkol AB, Sweden; particle Ø < 1 mm) were used without any pre-treatment.

Five different alkaline substrates were evaluated through an exploratory approach ( [Table 1](#T1) ). In all substrates, the content of MgO was in excess to that required for saturating approximately 1 L of fresh urine. The co-substrates were pure biochar and a mixture of biochar and wheat bran. Both the co-substrates were tested with and without addition of Ca (OH) 2 . This was done to assess the effect of high pH (> 12) at the start of the treatment on the recovery of N from urine.

TABLE 1

Mass composition (as % of total mass) of the five drying substrates used in this study. The total mass of each substrate was 30 g.

### Experiment

The study was performed in a modified benchtop incubator that was used in [Simha et al. (2020b)](#B34) . Briefly, the setup consisted of four air pumps (Rena 301, Rena Aquatic Supply, United States) which introduced air at the rate of 5 L·min −1 while eight computer fans (Spire Corp, Netherlands) redistributed the air inside the incubator cavity. The incubator had two stainless steel grates over which square polystyrene Petri dishes (Sarstedt, Germany; dimensions 100 mm × 100 mm × 20 mm) were placed. The temperature of the incubator was set to have an average drying temperature of 50°C. There were two reasons for selecting this drying temperature. First, we wanted to minimize the possibility of losing urea-N to chemical hydrolysis, which increases rapidly above 50°C ( [Blakeley et al., 1982](#B2) ). Secondly, we wanted to have high dehydration rates, and a previous experiment demonstrated that up to 20 L of urine could be dried per day per square meter at this temperature ( [Simha et al., 2020b](#B34) ).

At the start of the experiment, the Petri dishes contained 30 g triplicates of the five alkaline substrates. To each Petri dish, pre-heated urine (37 ± 2°C) was added and dried at 50°C for a fixed duration of time. Then, the Petri dishes were withdrawn from the incubator, marking the end of what we called a drying cycle. In the first drying cycle, 90 ml of urine was added to prevent air suspension of the substrate; in the rest of the cycles, 30 ml of urine was added. Based on results obtained in [Simha et al. (2020b)](#B34) , the drying time was fixed at 7 min·ml −1 urine. However, the incubator was operated for an additional hour if it was observed that urine pooled over surface of the substrate; there were five such prolonged drying cycles. In total, there were 35 drying cycles and the total drying time was 5. 6 days, with each Petri dish treating 1. 11 L of urine. We performed the drying treatment in cycles in order to represent real-life implementation, where the surface area available for drying urine inside bathrooms is usually small and drying would have to be performed after every urination event.

### Chemical and Physical Analyses

At the end of every working day, 10 ml aliquots of the urine used in the experiments was sampled and refrigerated at −20°C. At the end of the experiment, the urine was thawed, pooled and analyzed for pH, electrical conductivity (EC), Total Solids (TS), Volatile Solids (VS), total N, total P and NH 4 -N. The pH was measured using a combination electrode coupled to a PHM210 meter (Radiometer Analytical, France). EC was measured using a handheld multimeter (Cond 340i, WTW, Germany) and a TetraCon 325 probe (WTW, Germany). Urine samples were dried at 110°C for 14 h for determining TS and then combusted at 550°C for 6 h for determining VS. The TS content of urine was adjusted to account for the loss of urea due to heat degradation. The urea concentration was calculated by estimating urea-N to be 70% of the total N in urine, since the NH 4 -N concentration was 1. 7 g·L −1 .

Spectroquant® test kits (Merck KGaA, Germany) were used according to manufacturer instructions to measure NH 4 -N (test kit 100683; measurement range 5–150 mg·L −1 ), total N (test kit 109713; measurement range 0. 1–25 mg·L −1 ), and total P (test kit 114848; measurement range 0. 05–5. 0 mg·L −1 ). For this analysis, urine was diluted with deionized water and then digested using either Spectroquant® Crack-Set 10 (for total P) or Crack-Set 20 (for total N). Colorimetric readings were taken with a photometer (NOVA 60 A Spectroquant®, Merck KGaA, Germany).

The alkaline substrate was characterized, before and after urine dehydration for pH, EC, TS, VS and elemental composition (N, P, K, C and S). The pH and EC were measured for 1: 5 (w/v) substrate: urine suspensions at room temperature (21 ± 2°C) after a resting time of 1 h. The N and C content was determined using an elemental analyser (LECO TruMac® CN, United States) while the P, K, and S content was measured by optical emission spectrometry (Optima Avio 200, PerkinElmer, United States).

### Calculations

To evaluate the effectiveness of the substrates to reduce the mass of urine, on wet basis (WB) we calculated the % mass reduction ( mass. red WB ; [Eq. 1](#e1) ), mass concentration factor ( mass. cf WB ; [Eq. 2](#e2) ) and the average dehydration rate ( d r y . r a t e ¯ W B ; [Eq. 3](#e3) ) ( [Simha et al., 2020b](#B34) ). In these equations, m substrate , m urine , and m end-product are the weight of the drying substrate at the start of the experiment, the total urine added in the experiment, and the end-product, respectively; w i and w i + 1 are the weight of the Petri dish measured after fresh urine was added to the substrate, and after the urine had dried in the incubator; t is the dehydration time (h) and A is the surface area (m 2 ).

m a s s . r e d W B = ( m s u b s t r a t e + m u r i n e − m e n d − p r o d u c t m s u b s t r a t e + m u r i n e ) × 100 , ( 1 ) m a s s . c f W B = ( m s u b s t r a t e + m u r i n e m e n d − p r o d u c t ) , ( 2 ) d r y . r a t e ¯ W B = 1 n ∑ i = 1 n ( w i − w i + 1 t × A ) × 100 , ( 3 )

A mass balance was carried out to calculate the experimental recovery of total N from urine. This was then compared against the potential recovery of urea-N when drying urine at the incubator’s average recorded temperature (48. 1 ± 1. 5°C). At this temperature, the half-life of urea was calculated to be 133 days using the equation described in [Simha et al. (2020b)](#B34) . We assumed that N in urine is present only as urea and NH 4 + and approximated the urea-N concentration in urine as 70% of the total N measured by the experiment (5. 7 ± 0. 1 g·L −1 ) since the NH 4 -N concentration was 1. 7 g·L −1 .

### Statistical Analysis

The Shapiro-Wilk test was used to verify data normality and the Brown-Forsythe-Levene test was used to verify equal variance between the data for the different drying substrates. To identify significant differences between the substrates, at 95% confidence interval, analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Tukey’s multiple comparison test (Honest Significant Difference, HSD) was performed. Additionally, unpaired two-sample Student’s t -test was performed to compare the physicochemical properties of the substrates at the start and at the end of the treatment. All the statistical analyses were performed using RStudio version 1. 2. 5042 and R version 4. 0. 0 ( [RStudio Team, 2016](#B28) ). The R-packages car ( [Fox and Weisberg, 2018](#B12) ) and agricolae ( [de Mendiburu, 2020](#B7) ) were used for these analyses.

### Modeling Chemical Speciation

The composition shown in [Table 2](#T2) was used to calculate the chemical speciation at different conditions using OLI Stream Analyzer ( [OLI Systems Inc., 2020](#B24) ) and the Mixed Solvent Electrolyte (MSE) model option of the software. These simulations were run to understand the solubility of MgO in urine, the saturation pH of urine, as well as the effect of temperature on both parameters. We also modeled the urine with a reduced ammonia N concentration (400 mg·L −1 ) as against the composition measured in this study, 1, 700 mg·L −1 , to understand the effect ammonia N has on the solubility of MgO. The results were used to develop speciation curves for ammonia N in urine at different pH values, temperatures, and water content (as the treatment involves dehydration of urine). For this simulation, we used MgO/Ca (OH) 2 to increase the pH of urine and acid (HCl) to decrease its pH so as to have a range from 6 to 13.

TABLE 2

Composition of the urine used in the study for modeling the chemical speciation.

## Results

### Mass Balance

Treating urine by alkaline dehydration reduced its mass by > 90% in all the substrates. Pure MgO was the most efficient in terms of reducing the mass of urine; the mass concentration factor reduced with addition of co-substrates ( [Table 3](#T3) ). The end-product obtained by drying urine in pure MgO weighed the least (14 times less) and was significantly different ( p < 0. 001) than the rest. The average dehydration rate varied between 19. 1 ± 4. 3 (for MgO-Bc) and 19. 7 ± 4. 5 kg·m −2 d −1 (for pure MgO), although there was no significant difference ( p < 0. 05) between the substrates.

TABLE 3

The mass reduction ( mass. red WB ), mass concentration factor ( mass. cf WB ), dehydration rate ( dry. rate WB ), and recovery of N after treating urine with MgO-based drying substrates.

A mass balance was carried out to estimate the recovery of N from urine after the dehydration treatment ( [Supplementary Figure S1](#SM1) ). The least N recovery (only 67%) was observed for urine treated using pure MgO. However, the recovery improved in the presence of co-substrates ( [Table 3](#T3) ). The recovery was highest when urine was dehydrated in MgO (25% w/w) mixed with equal parts biochar and wheat bran. Furthermore, the addition of Ca (OH) 2 to the substrate resulted in lower N recovery although the difference was not always significant.

Treating urine by dehydration at 50°C and pH of approximately 10 resulted in > 20% loss of N. Of the total N present in urine, approximately 70% was present in the form of urea-N ( Methodology section). Following [Warner (1942)](#B39) , under the treatment conditions, the potential loss of urea-N to chemical hydrolysis was only 1. 2%. Therefore, the loss of N was due to other mechanisms.

### End-Product Composition

On a TS basis, drying urine in pure MgO yielded end-products containing 6. 5% N, 0. 5% P, and 3. 3% K. Adding co-substrates to MgO improved its NPK content ( [Table 4](#T4) ). The most concentrated NPK product was obtained when the substrate contained biochar as well as wheat bran; on average, it had 7. 8% N, 0. 7% P and 3. 9% K. The presence of Ca (OH) 2 did not significantly alter this composition ( p < 0. 05). The C content of urine dried in pure MgO was only 6. 8% but was as high as 31% for MgO-Bc. The end-product C content depended on the amount of biochar or wheat bran initially added to the substrate. All the end-products also contained 0. 6% S.

TABLE 4

The elemental composition (as % of TS) of the drying substrate at the start and at the end, after dehydrating urine for 5. 6 days.

### Physicochemical Properties

At the start of the treatment, at room temperature (21 ± 2°C), all the substrates were alkaline (pH ≥ 9. 8). The substrates with only MgO as the alkalizing agent had a pH of about 10, reflecting the pH of urine saturated with MgO/Mg (OH) 2 ( [Simha et al., in preparation](#B32) ). Likewise, the initial pH of the substrates containing Ca (OH) 2 was > 12. 5, which is the saturation pH of urine alkalized with > 5 g Ca (OH) 2 L −1 ( [Randall et al., 2016](#B25) ).

Following treatment, the pH of all the substrates significantly decreased, especially in the substrates that initially contained Ca (OH) 2 . Yet, there was no significant difference ( p > 0. 05) between the different substrates since their average pH was around 10 ( [Table 5](#T5) ). All the substrates apart from pure MgO had similar EC, approximately 25 mS·cm −1 when measured as 1: 5 (substrate: urine) suspensions. Compared to the initial EC, the end-products had higher EC because of accumulation of urine salts [ [Simha et al. (2020a)](#B33) for more information on the salt content of dried urine fertilizer]. The EC of the urine added to the treatment was 11. 7 ± 1. 2 mS·cm −1 .

TABLE 5

pH and EC of the substrates at the start and at the end of the dehydration treatment measured in 1: 5 (w/v) substrate: urine suspensions at room temperature (21 ± 2°C).

## Discussion

### Factors Affecting the Recovery of Nitrogen

The initial ammonia N concentration of the urine used in the experiment was 30% of its total N concentration, and considerably higher than what is expected in fresh urine (<5%). This indicated that urea was partially hydrolyzed during the storage of urine and before it was used in the drying treatment. When the partially hydrolyzed urine was treated by alkaline dehydration, between 20 and 33% of the N was lost, depending on the type of the alkaline substrate. Drying urine at 50°C using pure MgO recovered 67% of the N. At the same drying temperature, [Simha et al. (2020b)](#B34) reported a N recovery of 78% when drying fresh human urine with initial ammonia concentration of 590 mg·L −1 using pure Ca (OH) 2 . The N recovery of MgO improved with the addition of co-substrates; the recovery was maximum when MgO was mixed with both biochar and wheat bran ( [Table 2](#T2) ). Substituting MgO with Ca (OH) 2 resulted in a higher saturation pH but lowered the N recovery, although the differences were insignificant ( p < 0. 05).

The potential loss of urea-N (70% of the total N) due to chemical hydrolysis was calculated to be just 1. 2%. The average pH of the substrates collected at the end of the experiment was 10 ± 0. 2 (measured at 21 ± 2°C), indicating they were still strongly alkaline and thus, should have inhibited enzymatic ureolysis. However, both the solubility and the pH of the alkalizing agents used in this study were influenced by temperature ( [Figures 1A, B](#F1) ). At 50°C, the saturation pH of urine added to MgO was only 8. 8 ( [Figure 1B](#F1) ). It is unclear how the reduced pH in combination with the high EC of the substrates affected the urease enzyme activity. The high ammonia concentration in the urine used in this study ( [Table 2](#T2) ) indicated the presence of urease enzymes. Yet, the end-products recovered > 70% N suggesting that the enzyme activity was inhibited to some extent. Further studies are required to fully understand the enzymatic activity in such treatment conditions.

FIGURE 1

Plots show the simulated(A)pH of urine vs. the solubility of MgO at 25°C;(B)pH of urine saturated with MgO vs. temperature; and(C)dominant solids (struvite and hydroxylapatite) formed in saturated urine at different temperatures. Solid line represents the urine composition of this study ( [Table 1](#T1) ), while dashed line represents the same but with NH 4 + concentration reduced to 400 mg·L −1 .

The most plausible explanation for the high N loss is ammonia stripping ( [Huang and Shang, 2006](#B16) ; [Başakçilardan-Kabakci et al., 2007](#B1) ). In urine, ammonia nitrogen exists as both free ammonia/dissolved gas (NH 3 ) and ammonium ( NH 4 + ) according to an equilibrium that strongly depends on pH and temperature ( [Figure 2](#F2) ). However, the NH 3 -NH 4 speciation is insignificantly affected by increasing ionic strength that occurs when urine is concentrated during dehydration. In fresh urine (pH < 7), prior to treatment, almost all the ammonia N exists as NH 4 + . However, during the treatment, when the pH of urine increased to > 10 and the temperature increased to 50°C, > 80% of the ammonia N was present as NH 3 ( [Figure 2](#F2) ). Since the drying surface area was the same for all the Petri dishes, interfacial NH 3(g) transfer due to ventilation could have resulted in the high N losses.

FIGURE 2

Effect of temperature and pH on the distribution of NH 4 + and NH 3 in human urine.

Yet, our findings indicate that the drying substrates can significantly influence the rate of ammonia gas transfer. First, the material properties of the substrate can affect the molecular diffusion of ammonia. For instance, we often observed urine pooling over the surface of pure MgO because of its low solubility. However, the pooling of urine was either seldom or not observed when MgO was mixed with biochar and/or bran; both co-substrates have high water absorption capacities [Supplementary Figure S2](#SM1) . It is possible that the presence of co-substrates limited the rate of molecular diffusion of NH 3(aq) , and therefore its transfer to the gas phase. Secondly, the drying substrate can prevent N loss by precipitating NH 4 + as struvite or magnesium ammonium phosphate (NH 4 MgPO 4 ·6H 2 O), as this irreversibly shifts the ammonia equilibrium away from gaseous ammonia. A simulation of the speciation of the chemical compounds present in urine at thermodynamic equilibrium revealed that, at 50°C, 1. 74 g MgO L −1 was needed to create a saturated urine solution ( [Figure 1A](#F1) ). At this condition, if there was no drying, it was possible to form around 4. 5 g struvite L −1 . This suggests that at least 15% of the NH 4 -N (or about 4% of the total-N) from this study’s urine could be captured as struvite.

The average ratio of Mg: NH 4 : PO 4 in urine was 1: 0. 5: 2. 8 whereas, in theory, a ratio of 1: 1: 1 is needed to form struvite ( [Ronteltap et al., 2007](#B27) ). In freshly excreted urine, Mg 2+ is present in low concentration ( [Jönsson et al., 1997](#B18) ), which limits the self-precipitation of P as struvite. All the drying substrates used in this study contained MgO, which on hydration provided Mg 2+ ; the Mg: PO 4 ratio varied between 2. 2: 1 (at 50°C) and 2. 5: 1 (at 20°C). Hence, the N capture as struvite was limited by PO 4 3 − and not Mg 2+ . However, in freshly excreted urine, the ammonia N concentration is normally lower [380 ± 100 mg N L −1 according to [Randall et al. (2016)](#B25) ] than what was measured in the present study. We therefore modeled the chemical speciation again assuming that urine contained only 400 mg NH 4 − N L −1 ( [Figure 1B](#F1) ). Lowering the ammonia N concentration reduces the MgO solubility ( [Figure 1A](#F1) ), meaning less MgO is required to saturate urine. Secondly, the pH of saturated urine is higher—at 30°C, the pH is 10. 5 ( [Figure 1B](#F1) ). A higher pH could provide a safety margin and ensure that urease remains inactive during treatment. Thirdly, the amount of struvite formed does not significantly differ ( [Figure 1C](#F1) ; in fact, struvite could capture 60% of the ammonia N in urine).

### Study Implications and Limitations

In this study, we reduced > 90% of the mass of human urine by dehydrating it in alkaline substrates containing different amounts of MgO. Overall, we were able to treat 37 L urine kg −1 substrate. This suggests that <15 kg of drying substrate is needed to treat the urine excreted by an average person in a year [550 kg according to [Vinnerås et al. (2006)](#B38) ] and to yield 40–54 kg end-product cap −1 y −1 . Therefore, drying urine has the potential to improve the logistics surrounding urine recycling since the alternative is to store, transport and apply large volumes of liquid urine as fertilizer ( [Johansson et al., 2001](#B17) ). The dried urine products can find use as crop fertilizer as they contain 7. 8% N, 0. 4% P, and 3. 9% K. It may be possible to improve the fertilizer value of the products by drying more urine within the same substrate, since the pH of the substrate was still ≥10.

Our primary focus in this study was to investigate the use of MgO to alkalize and dehydrate human urine. We found that <2 g MgO L −1 is required to saturate urine and increase its pH to about 10, which is sufficient alkalinity to inactivate urease enzymes, according to [Geinzer (2017)](#B14) . We also observed that the alkalinity of all the substrates was preserved despite dehydrating urine added to them. While our study did not explore the maximum urine volume that can be treated before the substrate pH decreased to <10, we found that at least 150 L of urine can be treated using 1 kg MgO. This translates to an MgO requirement of only 3. 6 kg cap −1 y −1 and an annual cost of US$ 1. 1 cap −1 y −1 . The world’s Mg reserves are virtually unlimited and the bulk price of MgO is very low (US$ 0. 3 kg −1 ) ( [Bray and Ghalayin, 2020](#B4) ). We therefore find MgO to be a very promising substrate for performing alkaline urine dehydration.

There were two limitations in our study. First, we did not expect the urine we used in our experiments to be partially hydrolyzed during the collection. The technology, alkaline urine dehydration, is designed to treat freshly excreted urine. Secondly, on modeling the chemical speciation, we found out that MgO displayed inverse solubility, i. e., its solubility in urine decreased with temperature ( [Figure 1A](#F1) ). Therefore, at 20°C, adding the urine we used in our study to MgO resulted in a saturation pH of 9. 8, but increasing its temperature to 50°C during the dehydration treatment decreased the pH to 8. 8. Even if the urine was not partially hydrolyzed, as was the case in our experiments, increasing its temperature to > 40°C would decrease the saturation pH to <10. This would increase the risk for reactivation of urease, since alkalizing urine with MgO to a pH just above 10 only inactivates the enzyme reversibly. Maintaining a high pH is more important than the potential benefit of having a higher dehydration rate at high temperatures. Therefore, based on results we obtained from our experiment and from the chemical modeling, we tentatively recommend that urine should be dehydrated at temperature below 40°C if it is alkalized using MgO in order to limit the loss of urea to enzymatic hydrolysis.

Due to the presence of active urease enzymes, the urine used in this study had high initial ammonia N concentration, about 30% of the total N. However, under the dehydration treatment conditions (pH 10 and 50°C), > 80% of the ammonia was in the form of NH 3(aq) which could not be recovered. Thus, at least 24% of the N loss was attributed to ammonia stripping. Chemical speciation modeling showed that only 4% of the N could be recovered as precipitated struvite, suggesting that the N loss as NH 3(g) was much higher. For instance, in pure MgO, the N loss was 33%, of which only 1. 2% of the N was lost due to chemical hydrolysis of urea. Therefore, to maximise the N recovery during alkaline dehydration, it is essential to collect and alkalize fresh urine to pH > 10 in short time periods. For practical implementation of the technology, this means that dehydration has to take place next to the toilet as this reduces the likelihood of urea hydrolyzing because of the enzymatic activity of microbial biofilms, which are invariably present in the pipe network. Nonetheless, two options exist if urine has to be transported by pipe. First, urine can be pre-treated to prevent urea hydrolysis, e. g., by ion exchange ( [Simha et al., 2018](#B31) ) or by adding alkalising agents on-site ( [Chipako and Randall, 2020](#B5) ). Second, the drying substrate can be tailored to capture NH 4 + . Here, struvite precipitation can be one option but the extent of N capture depends on the treatment conditions. Our findings indicated that the addition of co-substrates as well as dehydrating urine at temperatures below 40°C could limit NH 3 volatilization.

## Conclusion

This study explored the use of MgO for treating human urine. We found MgO to be an effective alkalizing agent, as <2 g·L −1 was required to saturate urine and increase its pH from <7 to around 10. However, we also demonstrated that MgO displayed inverse solubility in urine, and that at temperatures > 40 °C, its saturation pH decreased <10, which increased the risk for reactivation of urease. We also demonstrated that MgO could be used for treating urine by alkaline dehydration. At a drying temperature of 50°C, we recovered up to 80% of the N from urine by using an alkaline substrate that comprised of MgO mixed with biochar and wheat bran. The products obtained by dehydrating urine had high concentrations of macronutrients (7. 8% N, 0. 7% P, and 3. 9% K) and can find use as crop fertilizers. Our study also demonstrated that in addition to urea hydrolysis, N from urine could be lost during alkaline dehydration due to ammonia stripping, especially if urine is partially hydrolyzed, either during storage or during dehydration and thus, has high ammonia N concentration. Therefore, based on experimental observations and preliminary modeling results, we recommended treatment conditions that can minimise the loss of N as NH 3 .
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