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Just how democratic is Britain? I will examine the most important aspects of the British society, from political to cultural. The focus of the essay is to understand whether a democracy actually works in favour over the citizens of the United Kingdom. In order to do this, I will use arguments for and against several elements that contribute to the democracy of a society and produce a plausible conclusion as to whether this is currently exercised in the Great Britain. The essay will be divided into three sections in an effort to make points more simplistic. Those parts are: political, legal, and cultural. Each part will then be broken down into arguments for and against the general question.

Questions to consider:

* What is democracy?
* Can democracy be defined?
* What factors make up a democratic society?
* Is the term ‘ for the people, by the people’ true?
* Does the first past the post system exploit the wishes of the citizens?
* How can the idea of democracy damage a country?
* Should Britain have a written constitution?
* Is there a real separation of power in the United Kingdom?
* Should the government enforce laws that prevent religious beliefs in a democracy?
* Can Britain be described as a democratic society?

Detailed Outline

Paragraph 1 – Introduction

Introduction: Democracy is a word commonly used to describe the British political system. Words such as freedom, equality and terms such as ‘ people rule’ are often reiterated as the principle elements that make a society democratic. From the very inception of the word the term democracy in ancient Greek times, the basis has remained unkempt and precise over thousands of years: majority rule. However, over the past five decades, this one very clear view of democracy has been blurred or somewhat misconceived in Britain, leading to a justified ‘ war’ between the people and the state.

Topic Sentence: Democracy is a word commonly used to describe the British political system.

Thesis statement: Although, it may be perceived that the United Kingdom boasts one of the strongest democracies in the world, there are many factors whether legal, political or cultural that are very undemocratic.

Supporting source(s): Copp, Hampton and Roemer, 1993; Inoguchi, Newman and Keane, 1998

Paragraph 2

Introduction of political aspect

Topic sentence: The political system currently being exercised in the United Kingdom is one that is often praised and immortalized.

Paragraph 3

First argument in favour of political aspects: The general public is responsible for choosing who represents them.

Topic sentence: The main basis of democracy is protected in Britain; citizens partake in free and fair elections which allow them to select those who they want to govern them.

Supporting source(s): Wheeler, 2009

Paragraph 4

Counter-argument against the first argument: The public selects the House of Commons, not the House of Lords who exercise the same amount of power if not more in Britain.

Topic sentence: A concerning factor present in the English political arena is the elitist House of Lords and their selection.

Supporting source(s): Cole, 2006; Wheeler, 2009;

Paragraph 5

Second argument in favour of political aspects: The first past the poll system of voting allows for free and fair voting.

Topic sentence: One of the many democratic aspects the British claim is the first past the post system.

Supporting source(s): Lijphart, 2008

Paragraph 6

Counter-argument against second argument in favour of politically democratic aspects of British society: First past the post system is democratic in theory but not in reality.

Topic sentence: Even though the first past the post system is seen as representative of the society wishes, there are several discrepancies in this system which would cause an uneven representation of the general public.

Supporting source(s): Cole, 2006; Wheeler, 2009

Paragraph 7

Introduction of the legal aspect

Topic sentence: Apart the political implications, there are several legal factors that make many question whether these policies are really in place to benefit society.

Supporting source(s): To be found

Paragraph 8

First argument in favour of legal aspects: Written constitution

Topic sentence: The absence of a written constitution from the English Legal System has always been seen as advantageous to the British society.

Supporting source(s): Bogdanor, Khaitan and Vogenauer, 2007

Paragraph 9

Counter-argument against first argument in favour of legal aspects: Unwritten constitutions give the state to constantly change anything that could affect the well being of the society.

Topic sentence: Even though unwritten constitutions are flexible, it places a tremendous amount of power in the state hands.

Supporting source(s): Bogdanor, Khaitan and Vogenauer, 2007, Wheeler, 2009

Paragraph 10

Second argument in favour of the law: Separation of powers

Topic sentence: The separation of power is a very important part of the English Legal system, which is said to be very democratic.

Supporting source(s): Epstein, 1994

Paragraph 11

Counter-argument against the second argument in favour of the law: Separation of powers is merely a textbook doctrine.

Topic sentence: As with many other doctrines in the English Legal System, the separation of powers may work in theory but not in reality.

Supporting source(s): Epstein, 1994

Paragraph 12

Introduction of cultural aspect

Topic sentence: Perhaps, one of the most important aspects of a democratic society is the cultural aspect which embodies religion to freedom of speech.

Paragraph 13

First argument in favour of cultural aspects: Britain embraces all religions

Topic sentence: Britain is said to be one of the most multicultural nations in modern Europe embracing several types of races and religions.

Supporting source(s): Lijphart, 2005

Paragraph 14

Counter argument against first argument in favour of cultural aspects: There is no separation of the church from the state.

Topic sentence: The British society claims to embrace all religions but there is still no separation of the church from the state.

Supporting source(s): Cole, 2006

Paragraph 15

Second argument in favour of culture: The state enforces any necessary structures to protect the country.

Topic sentence: Another necessity to a democratic society is the protection of its citizens.

Supporting source(s): Crossman, 2007

Paragraph 16

Counter-argument against the second argument in favour of culture: The right to protect is not the right to violate

Topic sentence: It is imminent that the lives of those inhabiting a country should be aptly protected by the state but privacy invasion is not in any form or fashion democratic.

Supporting source(s): Crossman, 2007

Paragraph 17

A discussion examining both sides of whether Britain can be considered a democratic nation.

Topic sentence: There is sufficient evidence to show that there are several issues that signify Britain’s lack of democratic practices. It is also clear that the views and rights of the general public are often ignored. However, due to society’s ignorance or lack of interest concerning this, much cannot be done to alleviate the situation.

Supporting source(s): Cole, 2006; Copp, Hampton and Roemer, 1993; Inoguchi, Newman & Keane, 1998

Paragraph 18 – Conclusion

Restatement of the thesis statement: In conclusion, even though the United Kingdom prides itself as being one of the most democratic nations in the world, the recent actions of the state and the constant infringement of the citizen’s rights could lead one to question how democratic the British society really is.

Summary of main points of the essay: Political: voting system; Legal: written constitution, separation of powers; Cultural: religion, privacy.

Concluding remarks: The United Kingdom may be considered a democracy on paper but in reality several of their policies are undemocratic.
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