Harrington collection

Business, Company



Harrington Collection

Outlook for overall women's apparel industry. Since the downturn that began in the early 2000s significantly impacted the women's apparel industry, the increasing rate of overall sales had presented a slight decrease from 2004. Although it came up from 3. 5% to 5. 7% in 2004, it began to come down from that year as well with a relatively stable dropping rate. However, it led to a significant fluctuation among units that sold in different price ranges. Let's take a look at Chart-1 below which is transformed from Exhibit-2 in the case to the form of increasing rate and proportion respectively. According to (a), units sold whose price is more than \$200, and in the range of \$100 and \$200 increase well below the average increasing rate. While the units sold whose price ranged from \$50-\$100 and under \$50 increased higher than the average increasing rate. What's more, the growth rate of units sold under \$50 had jumped to 11. 50% in 2007 by 4. 28% which is in great contrast with that of only 1. 5% whose price ranged from \$100-\$200.

Chart-1: (a)

Price Point	2006 (growth rate)	2007 (growth rate)	difference
\$200+	0. 6508%	2. 8736%	2. 2228%
\$100-\$200	-0. 0457%	1. 5075%	1. 5532%
\$50-\$100	7. 0548%	9. 0851%	2. 0303%
Under \$50	7. 2188%	11. 5010%	4. 2822%

https://assignbuster.com/harrington-collection/

Total	5. 1272%	8. 1631%	3. 0359%		
According to (b), the units sold proportions presented little difference from					
2005 to 2006. However, in 2007, we found that 2 points less of units sold					
pricing up from \$100 and 2 points more of that were priced under \$50					

compared with the previous year.

Chart-1: (b)

2005 (proportion)	2006 (proportion)	2007 (proportio
10. 7601%	10. 3020%	9. 7982%
17. 0388%	16. 2004%	15. 2036%
34. 0776%	34. 7025%	34. 9983%
38. 0456%	38. 8025%	40. 0000%
100%	100%	100%
	10. 7601% 17. 0388% 34. 0776% 38. 0456%	10. 7601% 10. 3020% 17. 0388% 16. 2004% 34. 0776% 34. 7025% 38. 0456% 38. 8025%

Although the statistics suggest a slight increase in the total demand in the industry of women's apparel, the shift in the structure of units sold in different price ranges indicates a downturn in the industry which led to a downward consumer purchasing behavior.

Indeed the economic sluggish hit the segment targeted on upscale-class apparel pricing higher than \$100, however, it provides a great opportunity for manufactures who engaged in the segments targeted toward " budget" and " moderate" classifications. As a result, consumers undergoing an economic downturn would become more and more price-sensitive, especially for those who were always purchasing "moderate" or "budget" apparel even before the economic sluggish began. Competition Since the industry was moderately concentrated, it should belong to a monopolistic competition market, under which sellers could differentiate their offers to buyers. Products can be varied in quality, features, or style, or the accompanying services can be varied. Sellers try to develop differentiated offers for different customer segments and, in addition to price, freely use branding, advertising, and personal selling to set their offers apart. Retailing Competition for the Apparel Market In current years, department stores have been squeezed between more focused and flexible specialty stores on the one hand, and more efficient, lower-priced discounters on the other.

It results in a markedly falling in market share which can be found in Exhibit-5. In contrast, specialty stores with narrow product lines and deep assortment, and supercenters who are actually giant specialty stores with broader product lines presented an enlightening future trend. The fierce competition, as a result, gave rise either to merger and consolidations between retailers in order to gain bargaining power with suppliers, or to contracting directly with manufacturers to produce private label products. Manufacturers also expanded their roles by integrating forward into retailing so as to reduce expenditures and to take better control over their own business. Harrington Collection Harrington Collection targets itself at highclass fashion enthusiasts and divides the " upper-class" market into 4 further specific segments represented by 4 brands which focus on people with different income status, ages, self-concepts, etc. If we refer back to Chart-1: (b), we find that the market share of total apparel industry pricing higher than \$100 decreased in 2007.

It would hit the performance of the Harrington Collection since all of its products are priced up from \$150. In addition, we also find a rapid growth was taking place in the low-end market in 2007. As a result, senior executives of the company are considering to introduce active-wear into manufacturing and stretch its product line downward to grab the opportunity in the low-end market as well as to make up for their profit loss in the highend market. However, a brand's price and image are often closely linked and a change in price can adversely affect how consumers view the company. When a cheaper product is introduced into the market, their loyal consumers would think that the quality has been reduced. Especially for a luxuryoriented company like Harrington Collection whose customers are extremely loyal to the brand and looking for a status that the company's brand stands for, lowering prices would threaten the company's position in the minds of its loyal customers. (disadvantage) On the other hand, when it comes to new customers who never purchased Harrington's apparel before, lowering prices might attract consumers in "moderate" or even "budget" segments to buy its products.

Moreover, if prices are similar to that of competitors who target only in " moderate" or " budget" segments, consumers are more likely to purchase Harrington's apparel, because the brand would make them look wealthy. (advantage). However, it is not the case. Consumers belonging to " moderate" or " budget" segments are extremely price-sensitive, especially under a downturn economic situation. When the company slightly raises the

Harrington collection – Paper Example

price to meet a higher quality of service requirement, lots of consumers coming from these two segments would turn to its competitors. Even though the company makes a profit due to the current fad, it would hurt the company's profitability in the long run. (disadvantage) As a result, the management of the Harrington Collection should trade-off both advantages and disadvantages when making the decision of lowering its price to develop an active-wear product line. Activewear According to the case, both the facts that the number of active-wear units sold was expected to double by 2009 and the extremely high turnover rate suggests a promising future of activewear classification.

So the point is to which segment active-wear classification should target and how this new product line should be priced. First of all, let's refer back to our analysis right above. Although targeting consumers who pinch pennies and lowering prices to attract this kind of " new" purchasers might make a profit in short term, the great price sensitivity and disloyalty hidden behind this group would result in a greater loss in a long run. Moreover, the inexpensive brand image would drive a lot of loyal customers away to its competitors. However, " 10% of customers purchasing apparel in the \$100-\$200 price range would buy an active-wear set if one with superior styling, fabric, and fit was available. " " There is a subset of Harrington customers who were loyal to the brands throughout their careers but no longer desire the tailored, professional look. They are now interested in something fresh and comfortable that fits with their active lifestyles. " " The aging baby boomer population wants clothing that does not make them feel old. All of these facts suggest that it seems safer and more conservative to remain in the existing classification and excavate the need for loyal customers. However, due to the high growth in the low-end market, we don't want to give up the opportunity to take a bite on that tempting market. So why don't we price the product slightly higher than the "moderate" active-wear product, and increase the quality as well as add exceptional features to attract both old customers and new consumers who are not that sensitive to price?

For instance, if customers purchasing active-wear from Liz Claiborne related themselves with sexy and glamorous images, Harrington could redesign the active-wear product adding features to attract customers who would like to relate themselves with elegant or sophisticated images which are more consistent with the company's value. It can also increase the quality of fabrics, manual work, and services. People would love to pay a little higher price in exchange for much higher quality, unique style, and better services. In this way, not only it would attract new customers who are less pricesensitive with affordable prices and retain them by reliable quality compared with that of poorly made " moderate" products, but also it would bring a fresh experience to its old customers and then increase the times of their purchasing behavior without eating up the sales of company's other brands or hurting a luxury image. Secondly, if Harrington faces a host of smaller competitors charging high prices relative to the value they deliver, it might charge lower prices to drive weaker competitors out of the market. However, Liz Claiborne, one of Harrington's major competitors, was also one of the leaders in the "better" active-wear category at a relatively low price. As a result, the company may decide to differentiate itself with value-added products at higher prices. In conclusion, Harrington should price the new

product line of active-wear slightly higher as well as increase its quality and services in order to support the price and keep consistency with its luxury image.

Furthermore, Harrington should differentiate its style and features to avoid direct competition with other leading manufacturers. Brand Targeting and Positioning Myer thought active-wear would be a perfect addition to the Vigor division for two reasons - Vigor styles were less traditional than the other Harrington divisions, and the Vigor division emphasized comfort and fashion although it's acareer-oriented design. However, these two reasons cannot sufficiently support whether active-wear would well fit into the Vigor brand. Even though attributes and benefits brought to customers could be varied among different products, the images, beliefs, and values created for customers must be consistent with each of the products under the same brand. For instance, active-wear and existing Vigor's products don't have the same features, as active-wear is more sporty and casual while the other is more work/professional oriented. The point is neither the same attributes they have in common nor the comfortable benefits they will bring to customers. The point is the same image customers would like to relate themselves with and values the company intends to create.

Since Vigor has already successfully created an image of "Trend Setter", the new product line must also create values of "breaking rules", "looking exceptional", "pursuing new lifestyle" for customers to meet the requirement under the brand of Vigor. As a result, it's not a bad idea to branch out Vigor to support active-wear manufacture. Advantages and Disadvantages Moreover, to extend a current brand name to a new category

Harrington collection – Paper Example

will give the new product instant recognition and faster acceptance. It also saves the high advertising costs usually required to build a new brand name. And it could also use the brand's existing support and functions to run the new business, which would decrease part of overhead expenditures. At the same time, branching out Vigor involves some risks. If a brand extension fails, it may harm consumer attitudes toward the existing products carrying the same brand name. Potential Retail Trade Since Company-owned stores accounted for about 20% of the manufacturing group sales, and the remaining sales were split 40: 60 between specialty stores and department stores. It can be inferred that the sales proportion of these three outlets is 20: 32: 48 in the manufacturing group.

According to the sales information provided in Exhibit-6, the sales and corresponding proportions among different retailing terminals can be concluded as below:

	2005 (sales in millions)	2006 (sales in millions)	2007 (sales in n
Own Store	945. 2	921. 4	913. 6
Specialty Store	177. 92	173. 44	172. 16
Department Store	266. 88	260. 16	258. 24
Total	1390	1355	1344

Chart-2: (a)

https://assignbuster.com/harrington-collection/

Chart-2: (b)	
--------------	--

	2005 (sales in pro)	2006 (sales in pro)	2007 (sales in p
Own Store	68. 00%	68. 00%	67. 98%
Specialty Store	12.80%	12.80%	12. 81%
Department Store	19. 20%	19. 20%	19. 21%
Total	100. 00%	100. 00%	100. 00%

Can see from Chart-2 above, sales from Company-Owned Store account for most of the company sales. By integrating itself forward into retailing or the entire value chain, the company could be able to reduce the time required of distribution, to take control of promotion and retail prices directly, and to provide more personal selling services to customers by professionally trained salespeople so as to better meet customer needs. On the other hand, multiple channels offer many advantages as well to companies facing large and complex markets. With each non-company owned store, the company expands its sales and market coverage and gains opportunities to tailor its products and services to the specific needs of diverse customer segments. But such multichannel systems are harder to control, and they generate conflict as more retailers compete for customers and sales.

The current channels of different brands are exhibited in Chart-3:

Chart-3:

Harrington Ltd. Sopra Christina Cole Vig	or
--	----

Price Range	\$500-\$1000 (DSN)	\$400-\$800 (Brd)	\$300-\$700 (Brd)	\$150-\$250

40% (50 stores) of the Company Owned Stores sell Vigor exclusively. One of the reasons might be that Vigor stands for a less traditional image and a new life-style relative to the others. As a result, environment, decorations, and even salesperson of stores selling Vigor would be specifically designed to meet the specific expectation of the customer positioned in that segment.

Since we've decided to branch out Vigor to integrate active-wear line into it due to the similar value they represented, we should display active-wear together with existing Vigor brand with separated segments in order to deepen the assortment of Company Owned Stores and give customer psychological suggestions about the value it intends to present. Secondly, since the specialty stores carry a narrow product line with a deep assortment, active-wear provides a great opportunity to enrich the classifications of the store. Thirdly, upscale-department outlets might also find active-wear an appropriate supplement for their product line. Since consumers with relatively high income would like to give the stylish, active, and more casual clothes a shot in the case that the clothes have to be made in good quality. Harrington's active-wear has differentiated itself with exceptional quality, features, and services, which implies nothing related to the cheap prices. As a result, the upscale-department would love to support this product line regardless of its low price range. What's more, Harrington could develop its channel into Superstores which indeed is a giant specialty

store, since it might attract a larger group of people with various income statuses and self-positioning.

The reaction of Competitors If active-wear with Vigor's logo performs brilliantly once it is introduced, it would attract lots of small competitors into the market. Since it's a monopolistic competition market which allows a wide range of price and competitors could differentiate their products with various qualities, features, values, and services, small companies who are not able to find themselves competitive in quality and creativity would be more likely to cut their price down to attract customers coming from a more pricesensitive and less loyal segment compared with the segment targeted by Harrington. Although the price would be slightly higher than that of those small competitors, Harrington has gained strong customer relationships and its newly brought-in product targets both to loyal customers and to new customers who are less price-sensitive and who'd like to pay more attention to quality and features. As a result, Harrington would successfully avoid competition from its competitors.

Demand and Profitability Analysis Start-Up Costs:

Start-up Costs Pants Plant	\$1, 200, 000
Start-up Costs Hoodie and Tee- shirt Plant	\$2, 500, 000
Equipment Pants Plant	\$2, 000, 000

Page 12

https://assignbuster.com/harrington-collection/

Equipment Hoodie and Tee- shirt Plant	\$2, 500, 000
Launch-PR, Advertising	\$2, 000, 000
Fixtures for Company Stores	\$50, 000*50
Total Start-up Costs	\$10, 200, 000+\$50, 000*50
Annual Depreciated Start-up Costs	\$2, 540, 000 (total start- up cost/5)

Direct Variable Costs:

			-
Hoodie	Tee-shirt	Pants	Sew and Pre
	\$3. 25*x	\$2. 00*y	\$2. 85*z
Cut	\$1. 15*x	\$0. 40*y	\$0. 70*z
Other Variable Labor	\$3. 20*x	\$2. 40*y	\$3. 05*z
Fabric	\$9. 10*x	\$2. 20*y	\$7. 50*z

If the market share of a 7% " better" active-wear segment is accurately estimated, the breakeven point will be definitely met and the company will even earn a 15. 80% profit margin. If the company decides to raise the price in order to earn more on one unit in the expense of losing part of its sales volume, consumers' price elasticity would be extremely important for a company to see whether the amount ofmoneyit makes more on one unit would cover the loss of volume decrease. Sometimes the price-demand curve slopes upward when it comes to prestige goods, but it is another case.