

Statistic decision making final exam



**ASSIGN
BUSTER**

Exercise 2: Sample Design and Evaluation The information can be summarized as follows: $N_1 = N_2$ Standard Deviation = 15 Difference in Performance = 5 Power = .8 After entering the given information, the window looks as follows, which shows us that $N_1 = N_2 = 142$ In the window above, change the power to .9, then $N_1 = N_2 = 190$ In the window above, change the $\sigma_1 = 15$, $\sigma_2 = 12$, and don't select Equal Sigmas checkbox, thus I get $N_1 = N_2 = 156$ In the window above, change the $N_1 = 200$ (control group), $N_2 = 120$ (testing group), and select Independent in Allocation, thus I get .046 to be the power.
$$= \frac{((61-64.5)-(0))/\sqrt{((16*16)/200+(13*13)/120)}}{1.6396} = -2.1347$$
 Critical Value: $Z_{\alpha/2} = Z_{0.05/2} = @qnorm(1-0.05/2) = 1.96$ When comparing the test statistic to the critical value: $Z = 2.1347 > 1.96$, we reject the null hypothesis. We can calculate the P-value using the EViews command: Show @tdist (t, d, f) In this EViews command, t stands for the appropriate test statistic and d, f are the degrees of freedom. The appropriate test statistic was calculated above, namely $Z = 2.347$. For the degrees of freedom, we can insert $N_A + N_B - 2$. Show @tdist (2.1347, 318) = 0.03355 Since the P-value = 0.033550, and $\alpha = 0.05$ Is price c assessval Dependent Variable: PRICE Method: Least Squares Date: 01/21/13 Time: 16:07 Sample: 1 650 IF PRICE > 50000 Included observations: 562 Variable Coefficient Std. Error t-Statistic Prob. C12314.913021.9884.0751030.0001 ASSESSVAL0.8230410.02269536.265460.0000 R-squared0.701363 Mean dependent var113069.1 Adjusted R-squared0.700829 S. D. dependent var51534.97 S. E. of regression28187.83 Akaike info criterion23.33472 Sum squared resid4.45E+11 Schwarz criterion23.35013 Log likelihood-6555.056 Hannan-Quinn

crit. 23. 34074 F-statistic 1315. 184 Durbin-Watson stat 1. 337129 Prob(F-statistic) 0. 000000 Estimated intercept (b0): 12314. 91 Estimated slope (b1): 0. 823041 The result in (g) does NOT change my conclusion from part (e), since now, $\beta_0 = 12314.91 > 0$, and $\beta_1 = 0.823041$