

"want for clinical
trials. "a clinical trial



**ASSIGN
BUSTER**

“ Want to volunteer for a clinical trial and get paid?” This was the unexpected advertisement which appeared on my Twitter feed and triggered my curiosity for clinical trials.

“ A clinical trial is any research study that prospectively assigns human participants or groups of humans to one or more health-related interventions to evaluate the effects on health outcomes.” 1. In a matter of clicks and a completion of one short form you can be considered to take part in a clinical trial. I wondered why someone would put their life at risk for money, especially in light of the clinical trial involving the drug TGN1412 that went horribly wrong.

However, to my surprise there are many willing individuals around the world participating in clinical trials. According to ClinicalTrials.gov, currently there are 246,719 clinical studies with locations in all 50 States and in 201 countries. Experimenting and testing have long been a part of medicine and these clinical trials are a key research tool for advancing medical knowledge and patient care. They can detect and reduce the risk of disease. However, despite the widespread use of clinical trials and their integral part in medical research, there exist moral issues surrounding this type of experimentation. Risks from participation may not balance with the clinical benefit; some trials may not lead to any significant results or conclusions, but the participants could be harmed in the process.

There have been many cases where trials have been unsuccessful and have negatively impacted patients, sometimes leading to mortalities. I explore the causes for the failure of one particular trial, the TGN1412 case and cover

other examples of recent failed trials as a result of ethics, bad process and scientific factors. I also explore other general ethical issues and recommendations during the conduct of clinical trials.

The findings will allow me to evaluate and summarise the lessons learned. I will demonstrate that many of these examples of bad process could have been avoided if those who conducted the trials followed the Declaration of Helsinki, which is a list of ethical codes regarding human experimentation developed for the medical community. The term 'human experimentation' can still remind many of the infamous experiments conducted on war prisoners during World War II. The unethical handling of human subjects in medical research was also carried on in the post-war period. The trials targeted vulnerable populations such as the mentally-ill, disabled, the poor and ethnic minorities. A prime example was the Tuskegee syphilis study, which aimed to observe the natural progression of untreated syphilis in rural African-American men in Alabama under the appearance of receiving free health care from the United States government². However, following these cases many ethical guidelines and regulations were put in place, including the Declaration of Helsinki, which I have mentioned above. Despite the introduction of these, there are still areas where clinical trials could be improved.

Monitoring subject welfare during the conduct of research is an area for improvement according to an article on the 'Ethical Issues during the Conduct of Clinical Trials'. The ethical conduct of a clinical trial does not end with the signature on the informed consent form. The rights, interests, and safety of research subjects must be protected continually throughout the

study duration. Subject safety monitoring is the responsibility of several groups, including institutional review boards (IRBs) research ethics committees (RECs) investigators and their research staffs, sponsors, and data monitoring committees (DMCs).

The IRB has many roles, including the task of reviewing reports of unanticipated problems (UAPs) and of adverse events (AEs). This is a very important task because AEs can indicate whether the drug is harming the human body, which means the trial can be stopped before it seriously damages anyone. A trial will be stopped if there have been an excessive number of AEs in one of the study groups. However, there are concerns with monitoring AEs because sometimes it is unknown if the AE is due to the toxic effect of the drug or due to the underlying illness of the patient. This is particularly a concern in phase two and three of clinical trials because in these phases the drug is tested on patients that are ill. For example, patients who have advanced cancer and have received all possible current treatments often participate in clinical trials, therefore it may be unclear if some of the adverse effects are due to the cancer or the drug.

To determine the cause of an AE would “ require measuring the excess events in the intervention group compared with the AE rate in the control group which would require the receipt of aggregated data on AEs and the number of subjects currently enrolled in the clinical trial as a whole” 3. However, the IRBs don't receive this information; therefore they can't determine the possible cause of an AE occurring during the trial of a drug. Additionally, IRBs receive little assistance on how to handle such reports. Regulatory inconsistencies can lead to confusion concerning what AEs must

be reported and how they should be reported. The reporting of AEs plays an integral part in preventing serious complications, therefore it is essential that this is done faultlessly. Furthermore, given that there are lots of parties involved in the conduct of a clinical trial, the following are recommended for system-wide improvement: overlapping responsibilities and possibilities for communication breakdown and the ethical responsibility associated with research in human subjects. The life of a volunteer should not be put at risk due to lack of communication and complacency.

Moreover, participants in clinical research have rights which they should

expect, including the following 4:

- Right to Informed

- consent

- Shared decision-

- making

- Privacy for research participants

- Return of results

- Right to

- withdraw

The right to informed consent is widely accepted as an integral part

of ethical clinical research. Participants should understand the purpose, risks, benefits, alternatives and requirements of the research. Nevertheless,

empirical data show that participants often do not have a good

understanding of the details of the research because the information is too

complex. Personally I think this is unfair for the potential volunteers because

in order for them to make an informed decision they should be completely

clear with the side effects and other significant information about the drug.

There is a need to balance the goal of being comprehensive with the amount

and complexity of information in order to give the participants the

information they need to understand the study details and make an informed

decision.

Additionally, another ethical concern with clinical trials, especially in phase 1 is that these volunteers are asked to accept risk in order to develop knowledge that may not directly benefit them. Also, the probability of progressing from Phase I to U. S. FDA (The Food and Drug Administration) approval (LOA) reveals that only 9.6% of drug development programs successfully make it to market⁵. Consequently, it seems unjust that many of these individuals put themselves at risk which may cause health problems for them later on in life for a drug that eventually doesn't enter the market or benefit others.

One would think that all the testing in laboratories and on animals would show clear data if the drug is beneficial and worth proceeding to clinical trials. However, the way a potential treatment works in animals may not mimic what will happen in humans. According to CenterWatch, only about one out of every 50 drugs tested in animals is determined to be safe and effective enough to test in humans. There are cases where the drug does not affect the animal dramatically, only minor adverse effects, but when tested in humans at a lower dosage the results prove differently, and the humans are affected seriously. A prime example of this is the famous TGN1412 trial (phase one) that began at 8am on 14th March 2006 with 8 healthy male volunteers. Phase I trials are often referred to as "first-in-man studies" as they are the first stage of testing in human subjects. They are designed to determine the maximum amount of the drug that can be given to a person before adverse effects become dangerous and it normally takes place with a small group of healthy volunteers. They are usually monitored until several half-lives of the drug have passed.

TGN1412 is a monoclonal antibody that was being developed as a new medicine for the treatment of B cell leukaemia and autoimmune diseases. Six volunteers were injected with the drug and two with placebo. All of the men given the drug experienced cytokine release syndrome resulting in angioedema, swelling of skin and mucous membranes. The first patient was transferred to the Northwick Park hospital's intensive care unit 12 hours after infusion and after 16 hours all of the men were in the intensive care unit; all six experienced multi-organ failure. Not only did this trial raise ethical concerns, but also highlights how clinical trials can be improved with regards to the safety of the volunteers.

However, the biggest question that arose from this trial was "What went wrong?" The answer to this question provides a platform for what improvements and precautions can be put in place for trials involving immunomodulatory drugs (drugs that modify the immune response or the functioning of the immune system as by the stimulation of antibody formation or the inhibition of white blood cell activity)⁶, which are high risk drugs because they target the immune system and clinical trials in general. In a report issued by the Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency concludes that the serious adverse reactions experienced by the healthy volunteers were the result of an "unpredicted biological action of the drug in humans". Nonetheless, I don't think that is an acceptable reason for the failure of the trial. These volunteers nearly died and it has caused them potential problems in later life because on discharge some were warned they may suffer an increased risk of cancers and auto-immune diseases. This reason may also be used in future clinical trials when with enough

investigation another cause could be found. Nevertheless, other investigations show potential reasons why the clinical trial was unsuccessful. The primary objectives were to assess the safety and tolerability of increasing single doses of TGN1412 in separate cohorts and to assess pharmacokinetics (the movement of drugs within the body.) TGN1412 binds to and is a strong agonist for the CD28 receptor of the immune system's T cell (these are a subgroup of white blood cells vital for.....

.) Being a CD28 agonist means that TGN1412 stimulates the physiological function of CD28 causing more T cells to be created (TH1 and TH2 cells).

WHAT T CELLS DO.....

Th1 cells promote 'cellular immunity' in host defence and are also involved in reactions such as transplant rejection. Th2 cells promote 'humoral immunity' by helping B lymphocytes to proliferate and secrete antibodies. CD28 is the co-receptor for the T cell receptor; it binds to the receptors on the interacting partner in reaction through one of its ligands (B7 family).

A ligand is an ion or molecule that binds to a central metal atom to form a coordination compound⁷. The dose of TGN1412 given to the human volunteers induced a rapid and large release of cytokines, which are small protein molecules that transmit signals between immune cells and tissue cells. A 'cytokine release syndrome' was identified in all of the individuals who were given the drug, which is a rapid release of cytokines causing organ failure. This did not occur in the trial with the cynomolgus monkey, the animal model chosen for studies to calculate the dose for the first human

exposure to TGN1412, at a dose that was numerically 500times larger than that given to human volunteers.

This shows that the pre-clinical developmentstudies that were performed with TGN1412 did not predict a safe dose for use inhumans, even though current regulatory requirements were met. 1 World Health Organisation.

http://www.who.int/topics/clinical_trials/en/ (Accessed 09/06/2017)2

[https://en.](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Tuskegee_syphilis_experiment)

[wikipedia.org/wiki/Tuskegee_syphilis_experiment](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Tuskegee_syphilis_experiment) (Accessed 11/07/2017)3

Silverman, H. (2007) Ethical Issues during the Conduct of ClinicalTrials.

Proceedings of the AmericanThoracic Society, Vol. 4, No. 2 | May 01,

2007. <http://www.atsjournals.org/doi/full/10.1513/pats.200701-010GC>

(Accessed 04/08/2017) 4 Clinical research ethics [https://en.wikipedia.](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Clinical_research_ethics)

[org/wiki/Clinical_research_ethics](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Clinical_research_ethics) (Accessed 04/08/17) 5 Clinical Development

Success Rates 2006-2015 -BIO, Biomedtracker, Amplion 2016 [https://www.](https://www.bio.org/sites/default/files/Clinical%20Development%20Success%20Rates%202006-2015%20-%20BIO,%20Biomedtracker,%20Amplion%202016.pdf)

[bio.org/sites/default/files/Clinical%20Development%20Success%20Rates](https://www.bio.org/sites/default/files/Clinical%20Development%20Success%20Rates%202006-2015%20-%20BIO,%20Biomedtracker,%20Amplion%202016.pdf)

[%202006-2015%20-%20BIO,%20Biomedtracker,%20Amplion%202016.pdf](https://www.bio.org/sites/default/files/Clinical%20Development%20Success%20Rates%202006-2015%20-%20BIO,%20Biomedtracker,%20Amplion%202016.pdf)

(Accessed 04/08/2017)6 [https://www.merriam-webster.](https://www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary/immunomodulator)

[com/dictionary/immunomodulator](https://www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary/immunomodulator) (Accessed 05/08/2017)7 [https://www.](https://www.quora.com/What-are-ligands)

[quora.com/What-are-ligands](https://www.quora.com/What-are-ligands) (Accessed 07/08/2017)