

Critical response: paper 3



**ASSIGN
BUSTER**

Critical Response – Paper 3 I seem to agree with the post because they have pinpointed their focus on the topic at hand. They have relied on the significance on the most important pointers before deciding what course of action to adopt in the future. They have discussed the period of 1945-61 which pertains to Vietnam and how varied aspects of its domains were highlighted in essence. The authors have also emphasized a great deal on the way under which the Japanese played their part at capturing Indochina so that the will of the Vietnamese could be exerted in essence¹. The manner in which the assumption for French people is also something that comes out in a noteworthy fashion. How Japanese felt with this invasion has also been discussed at length within this text. The importance of the Elysee Agreement is such that its note was also made of here as the independence of Vietnam in 1949 was forecasted. However the French rule would still be there no matter when it came to the defense, diplomacy and financial sides of the country. How Ho Chi Minh was able to exert his influence was something that demanded a great deal of significance as he made his journey throughout the world and then understood that Vietnam must be ruled upon as a free country². The aspects of China, France and the United States have also been talked about here which signifies the extent to which I agree with the post made. These different events signify my understanding by all means.

Bibliography Karnow, Stanley; *Vietnam: A History (Revised Edition)*; NY: Penguin Books, c. 1997 Summers, Harry G., Jr; *On Strategy: A Critical Analysis of the Vietnam War*; Novato, CA: Presidio Press, c. 1982