

# Role of management with diffuse ownership essay sample



- Diffuse stock ownership
- Limited liability public corporation
- Diffuse ownership of voting equity shares
- Large number of individual share owners
- Separation of ownership and control
- Operations of firm are conducted and controlled by managers without major stock ownerships
- Conflicts of interest arise between owners and managers

#### OWNERSHIP CONCENTRATION:

- Equity ownership by managers must balance
- Convergence or alignment of interests
- Entrenchment considerations — managerial ownership and control of voting rights may allow pursuit of self-interest
- Ownership and performance
- Stulz (1988)
- Model in which at low levels of management ownership, increased equity holdings improve convergence — enhance firm value
- At higher levels of insider ownership, managerial entrenchment prevents takeovers — decrease firm value

<https://assignbuster.com/role-of-management-with-diffuse-ownership-essay-sample/>

– Morck, Schleifer, and Vishny (MSV) (1988)

- Study based on 1980 data
  - Performance (measured by q-ratio) related to management or insider ownership percentages
- Ownership concentration increased from 0 to 5%
- Performance improved
  - Alignment-of-interest effect
  - Direction of causality may be reversed — high performance firms more likely to give managers stock bonuses
  - High performance firms may have substantial intangible assets that require greater ownership concentrations to induce proper use of these assets.

– Ownership concentration in range 5% to 25%

- Performance deteriorated
- Management entrenchment dampens performance

– Ownership concentration above 25%

- Performance improved but slowly
- Incremental entrenchment effects attenuated

– McConnell and Servaes (MS) (1990)

- Replicate MSV study using 1976 and 1986 data
- For 1976, relationship between ownership concentration and performance relatively flat with moderate convergence of interest effect up to 50%, after which curve flattens and then declines moderately
- For 1986, relationship curve rises relatively sharply to 40%, after which it is relatively flat to 50% followed by sharp decline
- Leverage, institutional ownership, R&D expenditures, and advertising Cho (1998)
- Replicates MSV patterns using ordinary least square regressions and 1991 data
- Tests for endogenous ownership structure
- Finds that corporate value affects ownership structure, but not reverse
- Bristow (1998)
- Sample of consistently derived insider holdings on 4, 000 firms during 1986-95
- Relationship between management ownership and performance varies for each of the ten years
- expenditures do not change initial findings
- Economic variables influence ownership-performance relationship

- Relative growth rates of industries
- Differences in demand-supply relationships among industries
- Relative value change patterns among industries and firms within them
- Stock price movements
- Interpretations of diverse data patterns
- May reflect economic identification problem discussed by Cho
- True relationship may be Demsetz-Lehn theory of no relationship between ownership level and performance
- Holderness, Kroszner, and Sheehan (1999)
- Percentage of managerial equity ownership
- Mean increased from 12.9% in 1935 to 21.1% in 1995
- Median increased from 6.5% in 1935 to 14.4% in 1995
- Doubling of managerial ownership may imply improvement in corporate governance in U. S.
- Managerial ownership and bond returns — Bagnani, Milonas, Saunders, and Travlos (1994)
- No relation between bond returns and managerial ownership below 5%
- Positive relation for managerial ownership between 5% and 25%

- Increased incentives for managers to act in shareholders' interest, taking risks that are potentially harmful to bondholders
  
- Rational bondholders required higher returns
  
- Weak negative relation for ownership above 25%
  
- Managers become more risk averse
  
- Managers have high stake in firm — greater incentives to protect their private benefits and objectives
  
- Managers' interest more aligned with bondholders — lower bond premia
  
- Financial policy and ownership concentration
  
- Share repurchases financed by debt
  
- Insider group does not tender its shares in repurchases — percentage equity shares increased
  
- Increased convergence of interest effect
  
- Incentive effects of high management ownership percentages performed positive role in LBOs and MBOs