

To 259.55 times in
juxtaposition to 2015



**ASSIGN
BUSTER**

To conclude, there is certainly some operational issues; both managerial and financial functioning in Benitez Plc which is resulting in a heavily decreased bank balance. As mentioned I think the main contribution to Benitez Plc's £140 million fall in bank balance is the increased debt ratio which is costing Benitez Plc a decrease in cash flow resulting in no rise in the bank balance. Issues such as increased receivables collection period and inventory turn over period are also relevant factors but debt being the main issue. As cited I do think Benitez financial directors should look at ways in maximising its cash flow and sales as its one of the main steps to resolving the issue.

I think it is also very important to mention that Benitez Plc's increased turnover period is also damaging the firm's bank balance. Inventory turn over period is the measurement of times inventory is used or sold over a period of time, typically a year. Table 3 shows that in 2014 Benitez Plc's turnover period was 259.55 times in juxtaposition to 2015 which was 389.

33 times. An increased turnover period means higher cost of holding inventory as more money is being utilised on labour to maintain it resulting in deduction in Benitez Plc bank balance. However, high inventory turnover period could suggest stronger sales for the firm, but this is not the case for Benitez Plc as there turnover has decreased by £300 million from the year ended 2014 to the year ended 2015 definingly supporting the result of a reduced bank balance as there is lesser cash flow coming to Benitez plc's bank balance. Another contribution of Benitez Plc's reduced bank balance is the increase in the receivables collection period. The receivables collection period is efficiency ratio which measure the amount of time it takes for a firm to collect money from its accounts receivables. In table above we can see

that in the year ended 2014 Benitez Plc had a receivables collection period was approximately 150 days and now has drastically increased in 2015 to approximately 341 days. A high collection period shows Benitez inability and struggle to convert receivables into cash, resulting in money that is owed to the Benitez Plc taking much longer to actually be represented in the bank balance. In aid of improving their collection period Benitez Plc could apply more pressure and be more practical and forceful in invoicing or could also offer payment plans to insure their guaranteed an ongoing source of income from payments.

Alternatively, they could offer a small early payment discount meaning Benitez Plc will get money back quicker however that comes with a burden of losing out money. I think the main culprit of Benitez plc's drastic decrease in bank balance is its large and increased debt ratio. Debt ratio is the proportion of the firm's assets financed by debt, if you look carefully on table 3 we can see that in the year ended 2014 the percentage of assets financed by debt was only 58.09% in comparison to the year ended 2015 which was 63.21%. If most of a firm's assets are financed by debt this means that they don't completely own all their asset, resulting in bank loan being used to reimbursement liabilities meaning more of Benitez Plc's cash flow is going towards paying off liabilities instead of contributing towards an increased bank balance.

In order for Benitez Plc to reduce their debt ratio they could concentrate on sales and profit maximisation and attempt to reduce expenses which could potentially help their bank balance to raise. Having reading over your statement of financial position and statement of profit and loss for both the

year ended 31st December 2014 and 31st December 2015, I believe your concerns for the substantial reduction in the Benitez plc's bank balance are certainly correct, from the year ended 2014 to 2015 the bank balance has decreased by £140 million pounds. Subsequently reviewing both sources of financial information and calculating efficiency; liquidity and probability ratios, I have come to the assumption that the reason why your firm's bank balance has reduced so drastically is because of its increased receivables collection period, additionally the high proportion of debt ratio is indirectly decreasing the bank balance and lastly the increased inventory turnover rate in comparison to the year ended 2015. Dear Directors of Benitez Plc, To conclude I defiantly think GNCC Capital should reduce their weighted average cost by utilising more debt financing as it is cheaper and will allow GNCC Capital to obtain more ownership of the company however they have to beware of not becoming too over geared as it can create risk. With saying that I don't completely think GNCC Capital should stop using equity financing, just reduce the amount as it could potentially create lower risk of GNCC Capital becoming bankrupt. Alternatively, if GNCC Capital decide they prefer to use equity capital over debt financing I think the company should firstly try to increase their market share value by improving managerial decisions such as profit maximisation and sales maximisation, as a higher market share price will potentially lower their cost of equity capital and attract more and cheaper investors due to a lower risk associated with the firm's operations. However, too much debt financing can make the company overgeared and increase leverage creating some risk for GNCC Capital, because if there is a change in the company's financial position for example the company's profit somehow reduces or interest rates suddenly increase, the company is obliged

to follow repayment schedules or could face bankruptcy. Regardless of the company's financial position lenders will not change interest rates to compensate which could potentially end a whole project. Additionally, debt financing has the benefit of allowing the GNCC to preserve full ownership without any outside interference of managerial decisions made by GNCC which will reduce conflict within the firm.

It also means they don't have to share as much of their profit with shareholders as lenders receive only the sum of interest agreed on, unlike equity capital with profits being shared. Discovering that information has led me to the conclusion that for GNCC Capital to reduce their weighted average cost of capital they could should issue more debt financing and reduce the amount of equity capital they use. The main reason why I suggest GNCC use more debt financing is because it is considerably cheaper than equity capital it also may help the firm recollect more profit within the company as with debt financing GNCC Capital's interest payments are tax deductible reducing the firm's net duty unlike equity capital when dividends is paid out of profit after tax, beneficially fixed monthly or annual payments make it easier for the firm to budget and plan their finances.

According to Arnold (2012 p 773) " financing a business through borrowing is cheaper than using equity capital. This is first because lenders require a lower rate of return than ordinary shareholders" I found this to be certainly true as when calculating the capital structure and the weighted average cost of capital for both GNCC Capital and Magnet Financial services as show in table 1 and 2, I found the cheapest source of capital for both firms was bank loan, (debt capital) costing only 7.5% which was cheaper than preference shares

and considerably than equity capital. I think GNCC Capital should definitely aim at reducing their weighted average cost of capital and opting for a cheaper source of capital as it has benefits such as attracting investors as it increases the value and reputation of firm and furthermore will widen their business opportunities by allowing them to accept low return projects and still increase value.

CHEAPER SOURCES OF FINANCE AND IDEAS ON REDUCING WEIGHTED AVERAGE COST OF CAPITAL To conclude, after much analysing it is almost certain that GNCC Capital, concerns for capital is incorrect and should immediately aim at changing their capital structure to reduce their weighted average cost of capital. The negatives of utilising equity capital most defiantly outweigh the positive, such as issues like conflict with in the firm due to outside forces influencing decisions also the higher cost of burden and potential reduction in profits for GNCC Capital. However, having too much equity capital may not also be a completely bad idea for GNCC Capital, as a high amount of use of equity capital ensures a lower risk of bankruptcy for GNCC Capital. This is because dividends payments can be deferred if the firm is having some financial problems and any form of cash flow can be directed into improving the firm's operation to relieve themselves from a financial crisis. Your worries of Magnet financial services attracting cheaper sources of finance is very accurate. This is due to Company Capital's weighted average cost of capital being 1.

34% higher than their competitors Magnet financial services meaning there is a higher risk associated with the firm's operation which may be deemed unattractive to investors and lead them more towards their competitors.

<https://assignbuster.com/to-25955-times-in-juxtaposition-to-2015/>

Moreover, Magnet Financial Services may be attracting cheaper sources of finance because they have a higher market share price by £15 in comparison to GNCC Capital, meaning a lower risk is associated with Magnet Financial Services operation meaning the required rate of return will be significantly lower making their cost of equity capital cheaper and reducing the company's weighted average cost of capital. Additionally, an important disadvantage of equity financing is the share in profit. According to Modigliani and Miller (1958 p 263) "In particular, the use of debt rather than equity funds to finance a given venture may well increase the expected return to the owners, but only at the cost of increased dispersion of the outcomes." Which supports my theory that if the company has a great turnover and takes off they will have to share a proportion of the earnings with its shareholders which could potentially lead to the dispersal of profits over the long term exceeding what GNCC Capital could have repaid on a loan.

Another flaw of GNCC Capital using equity capital as a form of capital financing is the expected pressure applied by shareholders. As mentioned before because of the uncertainty and risk investors hold they may apply pressure on the firm on achieving a high rate of return on their investment which may cause conflict with management as it could affect GNCC Capital's goals and plan. I think the main cause of GNCC Capital's high weighted average cost of capital is their flawed capital structure, they are simply using way too much equity capital. Equity capital is money that is invested into the business by investors in conversion of a share of the company with an expected rate of return normally set by investors. If you look in table 1, we can see that equity capital (earning shares and retained

earnings) is a staggering 64.7% proportion of GNCC capital structure and cost the highest amount (14.

8%) in comparison to the other sources of capital in the structures such as bank loan (7.5%) and preference shares (7.62%). The reason why equity capital is typically more expensive is because it holds a higher risk for shareholder, the definite return of the investment is uncertain for investors in comparison to a fixed obligated amount earned by debt financiers if GNCC Capital was to go bankrupt equity shareholders would be the last to get their money back with debt lenders and preference shares being a priority. Furthermore, financing through equity also necessitates other expenditures such as underwriting fees, brokerage and a merchant banker which just adds to GNCC Capital's expenditures.