

Constitutional interpretation

Law



**ASSIGN
BUSTER**

With this view in mind, the constitution must be interpreted with the needs of the people it is supposed to govern in mind. In addition to this, non-originalism allows judges to rectify inflexible interpretations that no longer serve their original purposes (Whittington, 2001).

The need to amend or possibly revoke bad laws that exist in the constitution will be realized with continual originalist interpretations. Other than leave courts to continuously find fault in the constitutional provisions, the people concerned will be obliged to come up with a new constitution that best suits the needs of people.

However, coming up with a new constitution is a costly affair and this is the reason why non-original interpretations should be given way. Moreover, they will allow for a more enlightened understanding of contemporary issues such as equal treatment of blacks, women and other minority groups (Whittington, 2001).

With these views in place, it is clear that non-originalist interpretations of the constitution are more favorable. Apart from the fact that they save the government a lot of money that may be incurred in coming up with a new constitution, they also allow for the opportunity of taking care of the citizen needs that might have not been there earlier when the constitution was being made. Therefore, non-originalist interpretations of the constitution must be adopted.