## Quiz show

**Law** 



The \$64, 000 Question" scandal and its relation to the Kantian Ethical principles "The \$64, 000 Question" scandal and its relation to the Kantian Ethical principles

By the 1950's, there was no television program that had mesmerized viewers in the hypotonic intensity that the "big money" quiz show. The show owed its origin to a radio program that had awarded a \$64, 000 price. This led to the rise of the \$64, 000 challenge and other of it like such as twenty one, Dotto, Tic Tac Dough and biggest surprise among others. These shows caught the attention of millions of viewers. However, they with time became deceitful in order to maintain the dramatic value.

The show owners of the \$64000 began to dictate the outcome. They started by telling the participants on how to behave and act while taking their challenges. They told them to choose topics that they were well versed with and at times provided answers to the questions. They choose one who was to be on the lead and the questions they were to get right or deliberately wrong themselves.

In 1957 the producers set to put a new figure into the limelight of the show. In this case, they had to fail the current top contestant Herb Stempel by asking to deliberately get a question that he knew the answer wrong, in order to disqualify him. They wanted to bring in the new top contestant Charles Van Doren. Doren was considered to be more handsome and young. He was also a bit famous because he was the son of a famous politic family known in the academic circles. In this light, how would bring more vigor and drama to the show, hence maintaining is popularity. Many people in the studio thought that he was not going to accept but when the time came, he "took the dive". Although he was against the decision, he never wanted to go https://assignbuster.com/quiz-show/

against the studio, he did it in good will.

Kantian Ethical principles state that the only thing that is intrinsically good is "good will". This principle owes its existence to the Enlightenment rationalism from where it was coined. It is there possible to judge the actions of both Herb Stempel and Charles Van Doren based on the above theory. One thing that is clear is that Stempel was not willing to lie on a question that he very well knew the correct answer. However, since he was a sacrificial lamb he had no option but to play along and cheat in order to put Doren in the top position. He did in good will so as to ensure the success of the studio and the famous program.

However, it was not right of Doren to take his brothers position as the top contestant, knowing that he rightfully earned it. Despite this, he had to accept the chance since he was going to be on top of the game and all the focus was to turn to him. This would make him famous and successful. He acted in good will for the studio, but not to his brother. Between this changes, the two contestants ended up avoiding a known evil of elimination of contestants unrightfully, and committed a known evil, which was the fraud nature of the show.

## References

Pollock, J. M. (2012). Ethical dilemmas and decisions in criminal justice.

Belmont, CA: Wadsworth Cengage Learning.