Offense issues

Law



Offense Issues s of Learning Introduction When investigating crimes such as homicide and burglary, ethical considerations are very essential. Essentially, one should never let one's bias or personal belief influence the investigation process. The elements of bias and personal belief affect a person's decision making and thought process, which lead to making a wrong conclusion about the investigated crime. The expert involved in the crime investigation, should not disclose the details and facts to those people who are not involved in investigating the homicide and burglary. These crucial information should be kept in secret so that the decision making and thought process of the crime investigation is not influenced (Swanson, 1999). In order to avoid influencing the homicide and burglary crime investigating process, it is important to eliminate the problems of personal beliefs and investigation bias. This can be achieved when the investigator conducts a proper research that helps in getting some careful evidence which isolates and identifies the targeted criminal. This helps in avoiding investigation errors such as false accusation and jail sentence to wrong people. Besides, keep away the people who are not part of the crime investigation process so that crucial information is not leaked to the criminals. This facilitates a quick apprehension of the criminals. In fact, witnesses must be questioned properly to gather adequate information about the homicide and burglary crimes committed. Importantly, the investigator should not lead the suspects to his/her own ideas and the prosecution evidence not damaged or tampered with. Besides, it is important to tell the defendant his/her legal rights, and be allowed to hire a legal counsel to represent him/her in the criminal case (Territo, Swanson & Taylor, 2011).

Indeed, if the prosecutor was unethical, a number of prosecution problems are likely to occur when the case is presented for trial. In fact, eagerness can make a prosecutor to commit some legal errors during the litigation and cross examination stages of the crime. The prosecutor can get evidence that suits his/her own personal interest. For instance, evidences on a person who has either committed homicide or burglary can be altered in the favor of the suspect, even before he/she is declared innocent (Walker & Rolando, 2007). The evidence tampered with affect the court proceedings of the case greatly since this leads to wrong delivery of judgment. There are even cases whereby the evidences of one person are used on another suspect so that a lighter judgment that leads to acquittal is delivered. For example, one who has committed homicide can be judged based on the evidence of another suspect who committed assault, which has a lesser penalty than the homicide case.

Just like other people who are involved in the homicide and burglary criminal cases, the prosecutor has some ethical issues that are directly related to him/her. For instance, the prosecutor can be corrupt and moved by the greed to obtain a lot of wealth illegally within a short time. This makes the prosecutor to solicit for bribes to favor a criminal in the case (Newburn, Williamson & Wright, 2007). At times, the prosecutor might be intending to run for a political re-appointment, and since he/she needs to secure the position during the re-election process, much effort is put to win the case since losing the case would make the prosecutor lose in the election.

Therefore, the prosecutor will try hard to win the homicide and criminal case.

References

Newburn, T., Williamson, T. & Wright, A. (2007). Criminal Investigation and Detection. UK: Willan Publishers.

Swanson, C. (1999). Criminal Investigation. McGraw-Hill: McGraw-Hill Publishers.

Territo, L., Swanson, C. R., & Taylor, R. W. (2011). Police Administration: Structures, Processes, and Behavior. Oxford: Prentice Hall Publishers.

Walker, T. J. & Rolando, V. (2007). Briefs of Leading Cases in Law Enforcement. New York, NY: Anderson Publishers.