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Analysis assignment Learning environment is one of the factors to successful learning outcomes. Baeten, Dochy, & Struyven (494- 496) ascertained thisin their study that investigated different effects of lectures, case-based learning, and an integrated approach on learning outcomes and noted that an integrated approach that gradually introduced students to case-based learning was the most effective. Attitude towards learning environment is another factor (Khalid & Khatib 64, 64) to effectiveness of learning. The literature suggests that environment and approaches yield different results and this discussion aims to test difference in effectiveness of classroom, CD and video and on-the-job training environment. The following hypothesis is tested.   
HO: µ­1= µ­2= µ­3, There is no significant difference in results from the training methods   
HA: Any of the means is different, there is a significant difference in results from the training methods   
Single factor ANOVA is used in the test, at 0. 05 level of significance. The following are the excel output for the results.   
Table 1: Summary statistics   
SUMMARY   
Groups   
Count   
Sum   
Average   
Variance   
Classroom   
6   
325   
54. 16667   
10. 34267   
CD and Video   
6   
334. 8   
55. 8   
6. 136   
On-the-job   
6   
361. 6   
60. 26667   
4. 926667   
The averages suggest a difference in the scores with highest scores from on-the-job training.   
Table 2: ANOVA table   
ANOVA   
Source of Variation   
SS   
df   
MS   
F   
P-value   
F crit   
Between Groups   
119. 6578   
2   
59. 82889   
8. 385138   
0. 003594   
3. 68232   
Within Groups   
107. 0267   
15   
7. 135111   
Total   
226. 6844   
17   
  
  
  
  
The test is significant (p= 0. 00359, F= 8. 385). this means that the null hypothesis is rejected to the effect that a significant difference exist between results from the training methods. On-the-job training is therefore the most effective method because it offers the highest average score.   
The figure below shows distribution of scores by training method.   
Figure 1: Scores by training method   
Applied training method, therefore, influences scores and on-the-job training is the best approach, and CD AND and video, and classroom follow respectively.   
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