

Your choice

[Linguistics](#), [English](#)



What I would do differently if I was to rewrite the fallacies In the first fallacy of my essay, I d that my parents were of the opinion that video are very dangerous and children should not be exposed to them at all. I considered this fallacy as an appeal to popular opinion as many people believe that the games are of less help to children but they do not have strong facts to defend their views. Since this fallacy forms the thesis of the essay, very little change can be done to it. I would have added that the video games are viewed as not only dangerous but also expensive to acquire. This would tend to make the fallacy more misleading in general view but very supportive for the parents in support of their argument.

In the second fallacy, I stated that the challenging video games sharpen the brains of children through mental stimulation as well as relieving pain and anxiety. This fallacy was to fit in as an appeal to ignorance of my parents on their understanding of science. The change that I would make to this fallacy is to offer more scientific approach to the advantages of video games so as to make my side of the argument more convincing.

Research or articles that prove that video games are bad for children

I must state that I haven't come across peer reviewed a journal, research paper or an article that argues that video games are bad for children. Many arguments on the dangers of video games are less documented and those recorded, do not have scientific backing. Most arguments on the dangers of video games among children are based on fallacies that cannot be supported with credible evidence.