

By has (allcott, 2016).  
fake news first

[Business](#), [Industries](#)



By 2025, 50% of children will be autistic (Oxenham, 2015). Recently, NASA discovered that the earth has a second moon.

(Yates, 2016). The United Nations plans to ban homework. (Yates, 2016).

These are all examples of “fake news stories” which have circulated in our media in the past year.

2016 saw the rise of the term “fake news” made popular by President Donald Trump, and this year the term has been named the “word of the year” for 2017, but what exactly does fake news refer to (Flood, 2017)? Fake news can be defined as purposely spreading false information under the pretext of being authentic news. This fake information is able to spread and have impact via social media outlets such as Facebook and Google (Allcott, 2017). This essay will further examine the role of Google in contributing to the issue but also its attempt, through various initiatives, to solve it. To begin, further background information will be given on the issue of fake news.

Next, the history, purpose and structure of Google will be described.

Afterwards, the various positions taken and Google's various initiatives will be highlighted and finally critiqued. Real Information on the Fake News Issue To begin, while the issue of fake news might seem like a new phenomenon, it has been present in our society longer than objective news has (Allcott, 2016).

Fake news first emerged in 1439 with the invention of the printing press. In those days, it was hard to tell fact from fiction in the printed news and the printed press allowed for this false information to be mass printed and thus have a greater reach. The fake information spread tended to be sensationalist and extreme in order to emphasize individual's prejudices. For

instance, in 1475, an anti-semitic story was spread which stipulated that Jewish people were killing young Christian children and drinking their blood for Passover. This false story spurred hate towards this religious group and strengthened already present prejudices.

At its worst, fake information can also provoke violence against certain groups. Many cases of fake news stories against already marginalized groups were printed and spread in the 19th century. More specifically, in the 1800s fake news reports about African Americans and Irishmen were printed which led to violent outbreaks against these communities. It is this violence which led to the protest by the public for objective journalism based on factual news.

In the 20th century, objective journalism became the dominant business model and is still in place today (Allcott, 2016). However, the introduction of social media has dramatically altered the way we consume journalism. A recent study has shown that 62% of US adults get their news from social media sites such as Facebook and Twitter (Soll, 2016). Furthermore, social media has led to the re-emergence of fake news in our society and is increasingly becoming an issue because it is widespread and hard for readers to spot (Soll, 2016). Social media is responsible for the re-emergence of fake news in our society but what makes social media sites such as Facebook and Google conducive to the spreading of false information? Three reasons explain the success of fake news via social media and why this platform is essential for the spreading of false reports (Allcott, 2016).

To begin, because of the way social media platforms are structured, there is a low entry barrier into the industry which makes it easy to set up fake news websites and monetize them through advertisements. Unlike real mass media outlets, they don't need to publish real information to maintain their reputation. To continue, this low barrier of entry allows anyone, regardless of their qualifications or lack of, to spread information which may get as many clicks and reads as articles published by Fox News, CNN or the New York Times (Allcott, 2016). For example, during the the 2016 presidential elections, people saw more fake news stories published by ordinary people than real news stories published by journalists (Silverman, 2016). What this means is that individuals with no prior reputation, track record or fact checking can get viewing similar or greater to professionals in the field. Finally, the algorithms who run these social media accounts do not have the ability to gauge the accuracy or the objectivity of the articles it promotes. Overall, social media is integral to the spreading of false stories because of its structure which allows for anyone to have access to the platform, allowing them to spread information and having no fact checking or algorithms capable of deciphering false information and stopping its spreading (Allcott, 2016). To continue, there are two reasons which drive people to use social media to spread fake news stories (Allcott, 2016).

The first reason is financial; individuals can make a large amount of money when their fake stories go viral. This seems to be the motivation for a large majority of fake news writers. For example, during the 2016 elections, teens living in Macedonia who had no stake in the election, were able to make thousands of dollars off of their fake election news stories. Their fake news

sites were active only during the pre-election time and disintegrated once the election was over and they could no longer profit off of fake coverage of the event. Furthermore, they spread stories which were both pro-Clinton and pro-Trump.

The second reason individuals decide to create and spread news stories is for ideological reasons. Unlike the teenagers in Macedonia who reported an equal amount of pro-Trump and pro-Clinton articles, some sites were created to promote just one candidate. For instance, a Romanian man started his site ending the fed. com in order to help the Trump campaign. In this way, fake news websites are created with either financial and/or ideological reasoning in mind (Allcott, 2016). However, whether fake news sites are created for economic and ideological reasons, they inevitably cause significant negative consequences. The three main consequences are the decline in credibility of the media industry, the impact on public opinion as well as the increased polarization between people with opposing views.

Surveys have shown that seventy-eight percent of people believe that fake news has damaged the credibility of the media (Allcott, 2016). Furthermore, the trust in the media is at a historical low at only 32% (Gallup Inc, 2016). These findings are alarming since journalism plays a fundamental role in our democracy. The erosion of trust for this crucial institution threatens democracy (Hafiz, 2011). What's more, fake news has the ability to sway public opinion and again disrupt democracy. It has this ability to sway public opinion because people are creating their opinions based on false information (Levin, June 2017).

An example of this is the election of Trump in 2016. In the months leading up to the election, the fake news circulating was heavily in favor of Trump over Hillary which may have convinced certain people to eventually vote for him (Allcott, 2016). Finally, polarization has also been on the rise because of fake news. For example, during the tragic Las Vegas Shooting, both right-wing and left-wing writers invented fake information regarding the political affiliation of the shooter in order to vilify the opposing side. The right-wing fake news writers claimed that the shooter was a Trump-hater and part of the anti-fascist group Antifa.

Conversely, left-wing writers attempted to paint the shooter as an ultra-right Trump supporter. When this information is able to reach and spread to many people, it increases political polarization as one side increasingly sees the other as bad (Levin, October 2017). To recapitulate, the three main consequences of fake news in our society are the decline in credibility of the media, the impact on public opinion as well as the increased polarization between people with opposing views.

Google's History Google Inc. was founded by Larry Page and Sergey Brin in 1998. The company aims to organize the world's information and make it accessible by providing the best search results for each query. The founders met at Stanford University in 1995 when Brin gave Page a campus tour. The following year, they began a partnership working together on Page's thesis statement for his masters and what would eventually become Google.

Their first version of the search engine was created in their dorm room and was called Backrub. They later named their search engine Google after the

mathematical term googol which is 1 followed by 100 zeroes. By August 1998, the duo had succeeded in collecting one million dollars from various investors such as family, friends, and notably from Andy Bechtolsheim cofounder of Sun Microsystems Inc. This sizable investment permitted the team to upgrade to their first office located in Menlo Park California. There, they focus on perfecting their search engine and the PageRank™ algorithm behind it.

This algorithm works by bringing the most popular sites to the top during a search, and the least popular sites to the bottom. Furthermore, this algorithm uses the websites visited of millions of individuals to help determine which websites have content of value (Sullivan, 2016) (“How we started and where we are today”). It is also during this time that the young company enacted its “Ten Things We Know to Be True” comprised of 10 statements representing the company’s philosophy and values to guide them (“Ten things we know to be true”). One of the statements worth noting is that the company vows to make money but without doing evil. By 1999, Google had 25 million in venture capital funding and was becoming increasingly popular. In 2004 it had its IPO which raised 1.

66 billion for the company (“How we started and where we are today”). Today, Google is the most popular search engine and has over three billion searches per day (“Google Search Statistics”). While they are primarily known for their search engine, they have also created hundreds of other products such as YouTube and Android. This tech giant attracts talent from all

over the world and is currently operating in over 50 different countries and employees over 60,000 people (“How we started and where we are today”).

They have been named the top company to work for in both 2007 and 2008. What makes Google a desirable place to work at is its corporate structure. Employees are allotted a lot of independence and have access to top executive managers. Their independence and open communications policy makes employees feel that they contribute and have a stake in the company (Frenz, 2017). Finally, Google underwent a large restructuring when it became a subsidiary to Alphabet Inc in 2015. Alphabet Inc now oversees all of Google's new initiatives such as Jigsaw and Nest (Yarow, 2015). Google and its position on Fake News At its core, Google is a for-profit organization and does not usually take stances on timely issues and the way in which they make policy decisions inside the organization is unknown. However, while the link between Google and its role in spreading fake news is clear, it took outside pressure for Google to publicly recognize its role and to start taking action.

More precisely, the 2016 election and the Las Vegas shooting in 2017, sparked public outcry as both of these events were shaped and affected by fake information (Chaykowski, 2017) (Wingfield, 2016). When polled, 51% of people believe that social networks are the “most responsible for the proliferation of fake news”. 72% also believe that these social networking sites should block fake news on their websites (Lardieri, 2017). The public pressure urged them to acknowledge their role which led to Google representative Cong Yu to issue the following statement in a blog post in April



2017: “ With thousands of new articles published online every minute of every day, the amount of content confronting people online can be overwhelming.

And unfortunately, not all of it is factual or true, making it hard for people to distinguish fact from fiction” (Hill, 2017). Overall, Google’s statements on its role in the proliferation of fake news stem from outside pressures to acknowledge the role their company has in spreading false information to billions of users (Chaykowski, 2017)( Wingfield, 2016). Examples of Press Releases and Conferences on Fake News As previously discussed, the public played an important role in pushing for Google to recognize its role in the proliferation of fake news and to address the issue on its platform.

Thus, educating the public on the topic is crucial to the resistance of this fake news phenomenon. A conference was held at Harvard University in February 2017 entitled “ Combating Fake News: An Agenda for Research and Action”. The conference tackles many topics surrounding fake news such as the psychology behind it, its history and how it spreads via social media. A panel discussion was posted online from the conference which has almost 2000 views and educate even those who were not present at the conference. (Pazzanese, 2017) (“ Combating Fake News: An Agenda for Research and Action, 2017”). To continue, a press release was issued on September 19th 2017 by the Canadian Journalism Foundation who, along with CIVIX, will receive a grant from Google Canada. Recognizing that Canada also has a fake news problem and ahead of the Canadian elections, Google Canada through its philanthropic branch of the company Google.org is providing a 500,000\$

grant to help school aged children identify fake news and understand the importance of journalism in a healthy democracy.

The Canadian Journalism Foundation promotes excellence in journalism and through various initiatives teaches academics, students and journalists about the role of media in society and how it is evolving through the digital era.

CIVIX is a registered charity which works to educate school aged Canadians about how to engage in democracy as Canadian citizens. Their vision is to have a Canadian democracy inclusive of young people who are knowledgeable on the topic and able to engage and participate.

CIVIX is responsible for the successful Student Vote program which has reached 7,500 Canadian schools and 98% of Canadian school boards. Both CIVIX and the Canadian Journalism Foundation recognize the crucial role of journalism in our democracy and stress its importance. Funded by the Google.org grant, they will develop and implement a new program called NewsWise. This program will emphasize the importance of factual information in a democracy and increase news literacy in the age of fake news. The program aims to reach 1.5 million kids aged to 9 to 19 across Canada (Nanji, 2017) (Canadian Journalism Foundation, 2017). Google's Strategy So far, Google's strategy for solving fake news comes in two parts: Project Owl and a FactChecking tool.

Project-Owl and the Fact Checking tool targets all people whose their search engine (Nanji, 2017). Furthermore, Google uses the media effectively as well as its personal blog in order to communicate about its strategy.

Google's first strategy to fight fake news is "ProjectOwl", which was

released to the press on April 25th 2017 (Hern, 2017) (Tomchak, 2017).

Overall, this strategy employs two tactics to burry false information so that it no longer features at the top of search results. The first tactic is to improve search rankings.

The algorithm is responsible for ranking stories and uses feedback from users to determine what should appear as the top search but does not have the ability to gauge the accuracy of the articles it promotes. Fake news is able to tamper with this and bring fake news stories to the top as it did during the Las Vegas shooting where the top search results wrongly identified the perpetrator of the mass shooting. The updated algorithm would work to bring more authoritative content and sites to the top of the search and bring to the bottom inaccurate content and sites to the bottom. The second tactic is to add a feature which would allow users to give feedback by flagging content that appears in Google Autocomplete feature and Featured Snippets. Flagging content as inappropriate or inaccurate would allow Google to alter its search results (Tomchak, 2017) (Hern, 2017).

Google's second strategy, a new Fact Checking tool, was announced on April 7th 2017 on Google's blog. This Fact Checking tool will be available globally and display a fact checking tag next to articles which have been fact checked by a third party organization. This will combat fake news by identifying sources which are more authoritative and reliable (Kosslyn, 2017). Criticism of Google Strategy: Finally, the strategies adopted so far by Google are insufficient. Moreover, the company says they will continue to put effort towards solving the problem, but so far there have just been words and no

action (Chaykowski, 2017). There are many reasons why the two strategies are insufficient to address the fake news problem.

While Google did attempt to target the root of the problem with its new Project Owl, they were ultimately unsuccessful in burying false information so that it would no longer feature at the top of search results. In October 2017, fake news sites were at the top of Google queries regarding the Las Vegas massacre. For many hours, the top searches led to inaccurate information about the shooting thus proving that Project Owl has not accomplished its intentions. Google responded to the incident by saying: "This should not have appeared for any queries, and we'll continue to make algorithmic improvements to prevent this from happening in the future" (Chaykowski, 2017). Despite this, there has been no concrete steps reported since this statement. Next, the FactCheck service is difficult to evaluate as Google has remained private about the exact workings of this tool and whether it has been a success or failure.

Furthermore, while Google has come out with a few solutions to tackle the problem, there are many more which could be implemented. For instance, Google said last year that it would ban its Google Ads on fake websites. This would decrease the incentive to start this type of site because it would be impossible to gain financially from this type of venture. However, since this announcement no legitimate plans have been made to put this policy into practice (Statt, 2016). On the other hand, Google continues to state its enthusiasm and effort to fixing the problem: "We are super energized by this, I have to say, super energized to fix these problems(...) People at

Google came out of the woodworks offering to help us with this. People felt really passionate about helping.

And so it was easy to staff a really strong team who worked hard. They cared deeply about the kind of situations being described and are very passionate about fixing it" (Sullivan, 2017). Google needs to remember that their words must be supported by actions if they want to indeed eliminate this issue. The company currently holds sixty-five percent of the market share for search engines and should have a responsibility to the billions of individuals who use their product (Sterling, 2015). Their platform filters and distorts the information we digest about the world and thus have a large impact on the way we end up viewing things.

If Google wishes to live by its philosophy and not "do evil", it should take action against fake news instead of just talking about it ("Ten things we know to be true"). Conclusion In conclusion, this essay further examined the role of Google in contributing to the fake news issue but also its attempt, through various initiatives, to solve it. The first section "Real Information on the Fake News Issue" conveyed information on the issue of fake news such as its history, how it spreads via social media, the reason people purposely spread fake information, and its consequences.

The second section "Google's History" talked about the company's history, purpose and structure. The third section "Google and its position on Fake News", revealed how Google came to take a stance on fake news. The fourth section "Examples of Press Releases and Conferences on Fake News" spoke of the Harvard conference and press release of Google's grant.

The fifth section, "Google's Strategy", outlined the two strategies adopted by Google as well as its target audience and how it used the media to inform about its strategies. Finally, in the section "Criticism of Google Strategy", a critique of Google's strategy was given which concluded that so far Google's initiatives have been insufficient and more effort is needed by the company to properly combat fake news. Work Cited: Allcott, Hunt, and Matthew Gentzkow. "Social Media and Fake News in the 2016 Election.

"Journal of Economic Perspectives", vol. 31, ser. 2, 2017, pp. 211-236. 2. Canadian Journalism Foundation, "Media Advisory - With grant from Google Canada, Canadian Journalism Foundation and CIVIX partner to develop news literacy program NewsWise." Media Advisory - With grant from Google Canada, Canadian Journalism Foundation and CIVIX partner to, www.newswire.

ca/news-releases/media-advisory—with-grant-from-google-canada-canadian-journalism-foundation-and-civix-partner-to-develop-news-literacy-program-newswise-645571773.html. Chaykowski, Kathleen.

"Facebook And Google Still Have A 'Fake News' Problem, Las Vegas Shooting Reveals." Forbes, Forbes Magazine, 30 Oct. 2017, www.forbes.com/sites/kathleenchaykowski/2017/10/02/facebook-and-google-still-have-a-fake-news-problem-las-vegas-shooting-reveals/#559f6bf17138. "

Combating Fake News: An Agenda for Research and Action" in Journalistic Practice, Media Business, News Business & Practice, Papers, Research, Technology." Combating Fake News: An Agenda for Research and Action.

” Shorenstein Center, 19 June 2017, shorensteincenter. org/combating-fake-news-agenda-for-research/. Flood, Alison. “ Fake news is ‘ very real’ word of theyear for 2017.” TheGuardian, Guardian News and Media, 1 Nov. 2017, www. theguardian.

com/books/2017/nov/02/fake-news-is-very-real-word-of-the-year-for-2017. Fr

enz, Roslyn, “ Google’s Organizational Structure.” Bizfluent, 2017. bizfluent.

com/about-6692920-google-s-organizational-structure. html. Gallup Inc. “

Americans’ Trust in Mass Media Sinks toNew Low.” Gallup. com, 14Sept.

2016, news.

gallup. com/poll/195542/americans-trust-mass-media-sinks-new-low. aspx.

“ GoogleSearch Statistics.” Google SearchStatistics – Internet Live Stats,

www. internetlivestats. com/google-search-statistics/.

Hafiz, Muhammad. “ The Role of Media in a Democracy.” CEKU, 2011. www.

ukeconline. com/CEK