

10196000 by  
identifying several  
negative effects  
including

[Business](#), [Industries](#)



10196000 A brief look at market failures via monopoly power: their welfare effects and how governments can intervene. I'll be discussing monopoly power and how it's a form of market failure by identifying several negative effects including the welfare losses that occur because of it. I will also be discussing a few methods of how government intervention can reduce or eliminate monopoly power. Market failure occurs when goods and services are not used up efficiently within the market, meaning that quantity supplied and quantity demanded are not equal, this doesn't allow the market to be Pareto efficient meaning that all parties within the market are maximising their utility, one of the main causes of market failure is monopoly power, and as a result of monopoly power one party (which is the producer or the firm) is exploiting other parties (consumers) by several methods which we will discuss later.

To better define monopoly power, it is the by-product of extreme free market capitalism where there aren't many rules and regulations, this allows firms to expand to the point of creating a monopoly and having the greater share of the market. It allows firms to have control over the market with little to no competition. Firms can also control different aspects of the market, like the price (price fixing) or the supply level within it.

There are several reasons why monopoly power is a form of market failure. The first is price fixing as a result of monopolies firms can fix prices as they have control over the market, this process is illegal and may result in the creation of cartels in order to increase return and to increase their joint profit much as possible, (OPEC) is considered a cartel as only a few countries are

controlling the price of oil within the market. Cartels are widely known in industries where an oligopoly is present (where there are several firms that are controlling the market).

Also in 2010 it was uncovered that British Airways and Virgin Atlantic were operating an illegal cartel and as a result passengers who book from their airlines were charged higher prices. Another reason that monopolies is a form of market failure is that product innovation is greatly reduced as a result of reduced competition, firms with the largest share are able to stop or increase the difficulty for any new firms who are trying to enter the market this reduces the level of research and development in a market as there are less firms innovating, firms can sometimes use methods like backwards innovation where they produce and sell products that are out dated in terms of technological advancement as it is much cheaper and can be sold in large quantities generating large revenue levels, this can be dangerous in markets like pharmaceuticals as research and development is reduced, a similar process happens with the business Pfizer where they buy new market entries in order to reduce competition. Another method that firms use that results in loss of innovation are patents, although it is totally legal however many firms don't only patent their products, they patent new technologies. It is very visible in pharmaceuticals where firms patent their products and drug research in order to have market power and sell the drugs with higher prices, pharmaceuticals exploit this idea by patenting drugs for diseases such as HIV and hepatitis C and thus greatly increasing their prices meaning that not everyone will be able to afford it, however in India firms don't follow those

patent laws and create the same drugs but sell them with way cheaper prices.

The welfare effects of monopoly power This is an example of a perfectly competitive market where demand is equal of supply meaning that what producers make is sold and that consumers wants are fully met this is called equilibrium and is known as market clearing. And because it's a competitive market there is a wide range of products with a wide range of prices, so most customers are satisfied. Fig: 1 In a pure monopoly market prices are controlled by the controlling firms and as a result prices are increased this results in a decrease in output as not all consumers are able to afford the product or service or output can be controlled and reduced (creating artificial scarcity) in order to increase prices, this reduces the consumer surplus and increases the producer surplus, and the sum of both the net loss of consumer surplus and the net loss of the producer surplus is the dead weight loss. As discussed before this is not Pareto efficient, not all parties are maximising their utility. As we can see from the graph quantity has shifted from  $Q_1$  to  $Q_2$  whereas prices shifted from  $B$  to  $A$ . Deadweight loss causes a decrease in total surplus, although it is beneficial for firms as their surplus is increased however for consumers it's quite the opposite.

Although this results in a decrease in sales however because it is a result of increased prices total revenue is actually increased. Government intervention to reduce monopoly power In order to reduce market failures via monopoly power governments can use several methods one of which the prohibiting of mergers. Many firms gain monopoly power by merging or

buying of other firms one example was the potential purchasing of T-Mobile by AT&T for \$39 billion; however the department of justice stopped this purchase from any further advancement.

Mergers and purchases like these pose great threats to the industry they are in as a much larger firm is suddenly created and can negatively affect the welfare of consumers and other firms within the market. Nationalization is another method where governments can eliminate monopoly power in different industries, it's where the government buys the firm with monopoly power in order to free the industry from monopoly and reduce welfare effects. There have been several successful nationalizations of natural monopolies, in 2008 and 2009 after the financial crisis some major UK banks have been partly nationalised including The Royal Bank of Scotland and Lloyds Banking Group.

There is another method where governments can use although won't reduce monopoly power, however will reduce its negative. Governments might put up regulations and rules that firms have to obey, they can set up regulations regarding the quality of the product or service, and if firms follow those regulations properly then consumers won't be exploited by monopolies. Governments can break up large monopolies into smaller firms so the market consists of a small group of firms. Each firm will operate separately and as a result there will be competition and innovation, prices will fall to a competitive level and consumer surplus will increase.

In conclusion with all the negative effects that monopoly power creates and the welfare losses behind it, governments can still control those monopolies to a degree. However even though governments can intervene it doesn't seem to be that successful as many monopolies still thrive and control the industries they are in, this could be due to the fact that governments might actually benefit from the fact that those monopolies are running.