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The issue of gun control in the United States has long been an incredibly controversial one, with many unique and complex arguments both for and against it. Discussion on the issue has become even more heated and aggressive in the past few years, given the rise of school shootings, gun-related crime, and highly publicized shooting deaths due to gang violence in metropolitan areas. To that end, it is necessary to explore the possibility of enacting stricter gun control measures to address these systemic and troublesome issues. Despite the objectively terrible outcomes of gang violence, crime and shooting deaths, there are those who argue that enacting strict gun control would take away from a vital Constitutional right to bear arms. In this argument, this would make crime increase more than it already has by taking guns from law-abiding citizens to defend themselves from criminals who acquire guns illegally. Conversely, others believe the tenets of gun control would effectively deter crime by lowing the number of guns on the streets, and restricting gun access to those who should not have them. Both sides of the argument have their respective points and evidence to support them, making the issue particularly controversial. However, the major sticking point seems to be whether or not increased gun control will actually lower instances of shooting-related deaths.
Gun violence is often inextricably linked to gang violence, particularly in major metropolitan areas. The Uniform Crime Reports group notes that Chicago, Illinois has an extremely high rate of crime, which increased with each year. In 2010 alone, 436 murders, 1, 359 criminal sexual assaults, 14, 205 robberies, 74, 561 thefts, nearly 20, 000 car thefts and more were all committed in Chicago (Chicago Police Crime Summary, 2010). While this is notably a lower set of numbers than the Chicago crime figures in 2009, the overall trend of crime is increasing. Violent crime is down on the whole, but murders have gone up, particularly as they relate to shooting deaths. The West and South sides of Chicago, in particular, suffer from gang violence, due to the segregated nature of the city driven by systemic poverty and racial discrimination.
In the absence of effective gun control legislation, social initiatives have begun to attempt to act as an alternative way to curb gun violence. Neighborhood watch organizations and the Chicago Police coordinate to attempt to report and discourage gun violence in neighborhoods, as well as citywide public initiatives to lower gang violence like the CeaseFire Program, amongst other youth pilot programs. The chief goal of these organizations is to treat gun violence as if it were a disease, treating it where it comes from – individual gun violence environments and the people involved in them. Through certain social initiatives, programs like CeaseFire seek to reduce risk to others by intervening and offering solutions to allow systemic socioeconomic factors to improve to the point where gun violence is less incentivized. Social support systems work with at-risk youth to prevent access to gangs, as well – with these programs and more, it may be possible to lower gun violence without dealing with the issue of restricting Constitutional rights. However, the effectiveness of these initiatives remains to be seen.
The true solution to how to address gun violence without using gun control has yet to be found; however, some critics believe that stricter gun control would lead to more problems than it starts. For example, Wilson argues that stronger gun control legislation would just add more paperwork and complications to an already convoluted process, which would solve none of the real problems of getting guns in the hands of criminals: " Legal restraints on the lawful purchase of guns will have little effect on the illegal use of guns" (Wilson, 1994). In essence, his argument is that gun control will simply take the legal guns out of the hands of citizens who acquired them legally, while doing nothing to prevent criminals from getting guns through other means. In instances such as these, gun control opponents believe in offering greater control to the police – by allowing them to take unlicensed firearms, some argue, fewer people will be killed: " The most effective way to reduce illegal gun-carrying is to encourage the police to take guns away from people who carry them without a permit" (Wilson, 1994).
The difficult nature of this issue is that many of these anti-gun control arguments can still technically fall under the purview of ‘ gun control,’ so it may be necessary to provide a clear definition of what exactly is meant by ‘ stricter gun control.’ While many proposed sources will work on slightly different definitions of what constitutes gun control, for the sake of this research paper, the extent of gun control being explored involves stronger, more restrictive background checks, restraints on unlicensed sale of firearms at gun shows, restriction of concealed carry permits, and other related legislation that will be explored in greater detail within the research paper itself. These attributes and more are the primary components of gun control that are being argued about.

- Is there a strong correlation between stricter gun control legislation and lower rates of gun-related deaths?

## The hypothesis that has been developed for this research question is:

- Increased gun control legislation can lead to lower crime and rates of gun-related deaths.
In order to answer the research question this research paper explores, several different types of resources will be referenced and drawn from. Primary sources will include official reports of crime statistics in major metropolitan areas, such as Chicago, Illinois (a city with a reputation for gang violence and high gun usage), in order to gain mathematical data on exactly how bad crime can be in places with more guns. Secondary sources will chiefly include academic journals and case studies exploring the correlation between gun control legislation and gun violence, and/or those which best discuss the ethical and practical ramifications of gun control legislation on gun violence. There will also be a few editorials from reporters for reputable publications like the New York Times to analyze the element of public opinion regarding gun control. With a healthy combination of primary and secondary sources, a reasonable, evidence-based conclusion should be reached which will support (or not support) the proposed hypothesis.
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