

Alison about how,  
with the advancing  
technology, more

[Life](#), [Friendship](#)



Alison Weely - What could be more interesting than talking to Darren Carter, the manager of one of the most influential software companies of the country, Gradian! It is a pleasure to have you here, Darren. Darren Carter - Hi Alison, I'm glad to have been able to make it.

Alison Weely - So Darren, let's talk about texting. You must have read articles about how, with the advancing technology, more people are using texting as a medium of communication. A 2011 Pew Research Center report found that Americans ages 18 to 29 send or receive an average of 88 texts per day, compared to 17 phone calls<sup>1</sup>. What do you think is the reason behind this?

Darren Carter - I must say that that is a big difference in ratio. However I feel that texting is more convenient as it is quick, and more approachable because people tend to read text messages almost as immediately as it is sent.

Also, several employees find phone calls uncalled for, perhaps because they often may not be in a position to receive them. Alison Weely - Well, nowadays we see raising concerns on the effects of using text speak on the language spoken or written by children. To what extent is the language used by adults, especially those working in the corporate sector, being affected?

Darren Carter - I personally believe that children and youth use more text speak than we do in offices. I mean, I have come across job applications that use a lot of texting language, making the applicants sound unprofessional. In such cases, I would prefer to see applicants who use more formal tone in their documents.

Alison Weely - That makes you sound like a strict boss, Darren! Darren Carter - Oh no! I don't mean to say that the language we use is not affected by text speak at all. Sometimes it is definitely better to say ASAP instead of 'as soon as possible', just for one example. Alison Weely - That is commonly used by so many people nowadays. Do you think it is better to use these at the same avoiding abbreviations such as OMG, TTYL, GM, etc., in spite of both being originated from text speak? Darren Carter - There is a difference in the purpose of using them. If I say LOL to my boss, I will have to GB to my job.

There is no need to use these informal short-forms in formal conversations. But those like IAM, which means 'in a meeting'; one simply does not see the necessity of wasting time to type the expansion of it. Alison Weely - This sounds like one has to keep a check on their vocabulary at all times. Do you think that your employees maintain the office decorum? Darren Carter - Well yes, we do have to use the right words when writing up reports or giving presentations, because that reflects your attitude towards the task. There are some interns who are still training to use the appropriate business-speak. I believe that their habit of using abbreviations or slangs on a daily basis does not allow them to know the amateurish attitude conveyed when they use the "ty" instead of 'thank you', or other similar acronyms. Alison Weely - As far as I understand, there are some words that are accepted, and some that are considered amateurish, as you said.

But I was not aware that "ty" is considered inappropriate, because it does sound business-like. So how do employees know their limits? Darren Carter - It depends on what you write or say and to whom, actually. Although the

language used in emails has evolved over the years with the addition of acronyms like ASAP, PFA, FWD, etc., as I mentioned before, there is still some formal tone necessary. Perhaps some employees may reply to text messages with a “ ty” but the same is not expected in official documents.

So is the case with “ pls”, “ gotta”, “ wanna”, etc. So employees need to use the proper ‘ office-jargon’ as it is called, not just any text speak. Alison Weely - Could you elucidate on what are included in appropriate office-vocabulary?

Darren Carter - The phrase “ no problem” or now I should say “ np”, for example could be considered as one that is commonly used when you’re replying to gratitude expressed via text messaging. But it is more polite to say “ you’re welcome” instead, to your office colleagues.

Alison Weely - Have there been times when you have got bothered by the texting language used by your employees? Darren Carter - Yes! There was this one time I remembered one of the new recruits used “ BT dubs” 2 as an abbreviation for “ by the way”. It was difficult for me and many of my colleagues to comprehend. The difference of opinion especially arises between the elderly staff and the younger, more tech-savvy staff. We are no strangers to standard email abbreviations, but I could never imagine abbreviations been abbreviated. Alison Weely - Okay. How about the extensive use of emoticons these days, do these affect the style of official documents? Darren Carter - No, not at all.

Most employees are aware of their usage of texting language while writing reports. But yes, when it comes to briefing us on the completion of a task,

we respond with the standard thumbs-up emoticon. Alison Weely - From my understanding, textspeak has not had as much effect on the corporal language, as much as it has on a child's grammar, am I right? Darren Carter - Yes, I would agree with you on that. Working in the corporate sector, any employee would know how to converse when writing and speaking, and also how formally or informally one talks to their colleagues and friends. One thing though, that has changed with texting is that a more casual atmosphere was created in the office, because everybody uses it on a daily basis.

Alison Weely - Texting surely has its own pros and cons. Thank you Darren, for sharing your office-life experiences! Hopeto see you again. Darren Carter - Thank you! 1 Crawford, Hallie.

6 Rules for Texting at Work. 26 Feb. 2015, 10: 01 am, money.

usnews. com/money/blogs/outside-voices-careers/2015/02/26/6-rules-for-texting-at-work. 2 Grusich , Kate. " OMG! More Text Speak Creeping into Business Communications." Articles | Home, 25 May 2012, www. prdaily. com/Main/Articles/OMG\_More\_text\_speak\_creeping\_into\_business\_communi\_11742. aspx.