

Frequencies essays example

[Sociology](#), [Population](#)



Reliability

Scale: ALL VARIABLES

Explore

Reliability

Scale: ALL VARIABLES

COMPUTE PUNITIVE= q2asentences +q2crepeat + q2dpolice + q2eprivilege
+ q2flocking + q2gchain + q2icastrate+ q2kmandatory.

EXECUTE.

Frequencies

For skewness , the following variables would be considered non-normal : EMPLOYED

Explore

there are outliers for both dependent and independent variable as shown in the table above as the highest and lowest points.

Explore

T-Test

Because the p value is $< .05$, we reject the null hypothesis and conclude that the variable is NOT representative of the population .

NPar Tests

Chi-Square Test

Frequencies

Because the p value of 0. 011 is $< .05$, we reject the null hypothesis and conclude that the variable is not representative of the population .

Crosstabs

EMPLOYED * PUNITIVE

Ever been victim of crime * PUNITIVE

Criminology Criminal Justice Major * PUNITIVE

Concern about crime * PUNITIVE

The Pearson's r is 0.29. This is a moderately weak positive association. It is statistically significant in the model since $0.00 < 0.05$. Interpretation is $.29 \times .290 = 0.0781$ $0.0781 \times 100 = 7.81\%$. 7.81% of the variation in punitive attitudes is explained by variation in concern about crime.

T-Test

Religious fundamentalists are significantly different (p value for t test is $< .05$). The mean for religious fundamentalists was 44.34 and the mean for non-fundamentalists was 39.55, indicated that religious fundamentalists are more punitive.

Regression

We cannot conclude that religious fundamentalism is causally related to punitiveness.

The variable is not statistically significant in the theoretical model hence it cannot be causally related to punitiveness in this sample.

Regression

R squared of 0.308 indicates a weak model since only 30.8% can be accounted for by fear and political ideology and 69.2% is due to other variables not in the model