Current sexual offender restrictions

Law, Crime



Continue with Current Sexual Offender Restrictions Remove All Restrictions
After Sentence is Served Remove or Lessen Only Housing Restrictions Use
Alternative Treatment Methods Sexual Offenders Rights are restored
however w/o treatment repeat becomes more likely Sexual offenders are still
robbed of there rights/ Increased offences Restores the ability to live in
society/still restricted in other ways Best option for reducing repeat
crime/does not deal with ethical restrictions and possibly increase the rate of
sexual predation. Link 3) http://www. Doc. WA.

Gob/community/expounders/relinquishment. P This Article points out the basic rules and requirements of a sex offender living with in a community. Many of the restrictions are direct infringements of constitutional rights such as the first and second amendments. When reading this article it became apparent to me Just how restricted of a life many of these individuals live and I was shocked by the number of constitutional rights that where infringed upon. Section 3 Section 4I believethe best consequential theory to use in regards to theethical dilemmafacing Attorney General Abaca is the theory of rule utilitarianism.

One of the major represents of Rule Utilitarianism John Stuart Mills states "
The corollaries from the principle of utility, like the precepts of every practical art, admit of indefinite improvement, and, in a progressive Potential Victims Victims continue to suffer as conditions force offenders underground Allows for proper reintegration and tracking lessens risk of repeat Without proper treatment the removal of all restrictions could be detrimental Greatly reduces risk for repeat or continued abuse Attorney General Abaca Abaca will continue to receive scrutiny fromhuman rightsactivists Abaca may look

soft n crime, but rates of offence will drop Abaca could solve a major ethical problem and make the system a little fairer Abaca may KICK sort on crime, rates AT offence my Increase crumbles society as a wangle Offenders gain rights back but safety as a whole suffers Society Benefits Since offenders are less likely to repeat offences Society benefits and is able to better track and accommodate the problem Society will continue to suffer and rates of offence will increase state of the human mind, their improvement is perpetually going on. " Meaning continued human progress should coincide with the utilitarian rule implemented. Rule Utilitarianism differs from Act Utilitarianism because act utilitarianism is limited to one single action that has the greatest good for all While rule utilitarianism promotes the greatest good for all through time or a set rule. In this way Rule Utilitarianism can be used to create Utilitarian laws to resolve many of the ethical problems regarding the restrictions against sexual offenders.

For instance a law passed that restricted a 2500 square feet buffer zone down to 1000 around most public building where potential victims would exemplify rule utilitarianism. This would still keep potential victims safe while also allowing convicted sexual offenders to get housing. This would also progressively keep offenders from going under ground due to lack of housing and would keep them in the system reporting to parole officers and other watchdog groups. A Non-consequential theory that I believe best applies to this ethical situating is the rule of Natural Law. Natural law is described as the innate rights we have upon coming into the world like the right to protect ourselves and our property.

These rights are not given to us by government religion or ruling body but rather are embed upon us when we are born into the natural world. In regards to natural law The ancient philosopher Lakeside stated to his fellow states man Xenophobe that it created was not governments that created laws because if it was them that truly created law it it would be " not law, but merely force". Natural Law applies to this modern ethical dilemma of restrictions on sexual offenders because in this case the law of man is in this case infringing upon natural rights. For example the ban on sexual offenders that prevents them from owning a firearm can be seen as a bar against the natural right to protect ones self.

This goes both ways however because when natural law is applied to society the natural right for society to protect itself from sexual predators can be see as the right to prevent sexual predators from having weapons such as guns that can society. However I believe natural law in this case holds greater precedence with the individual and thus restricting certain rights of offenders can be seen as crimes against natural law. Section 5 I Delves Tanat ten most tentacle way to resolve tens solution would a De to Increase alternative forms of treatment and remove restrictions that prevent rehabilitated individuals from operating normally in society. Hen comparing the outcomes of excessive housing bans it becomes clear that they are ineffective and are causing more widespread problems than the initial problem the bans where meant to curb. I believe holding prisoners in Jail after there sentence is also unethical because repeat rates are low and if alternative psychological treatment was given the offender could be successfully be rehabilitated thus creating the greatest good for all. I believe that removing all restrictions

would be foolish because limited restrictions have proven effective when not taken to the extreme. There do exist entirely mentally unstable individuals and because of cases like this some preventative measures are still necessary to keep society safe.

Section 6 Possible arguments against the alternative forms of treatment and decreased restrictions include 1) Higher tax payer cost for alternative forms of treatment for sexual offenders. I would argue that while alternative cost may cost more initially there is a less likely hood of a repeat offence or a chance for the offender to end up in a life time stint in jail. If repeat or in the worst case life in Jail results the tax payer inevitably ends up eying more than alternative treatment would have cost. So even though initial costs would be higher for the tax pay the overall benefit if successful would greatly out way the possible negative if fail time failed. Decreased restrictions means less disincentive for sexual offenders to commit crime. I would argue that the incentive is still the same for the criminal and it may in fact be even higher for repeat offences because if the offender ends up having to go underground or homeless due to restrictions the chance of them repeating raises. Also offenders that are genuinely obliterated receive a fairer chance in society and are less likely to be draw back to bad habits if they feel connected to normal society. 3)Alternative forms are unproven while solid Jail time is sure to keep offenders off the streets. I would argue that most jail sentences are reduced and accomplish nothing other than embittering offenders.

Than eventual Just sending offenders back on to the streets on parole and back into the world destined to fail. Alternative treatment however deals with the root psychological issues that plague offenders and greatly reduces the chance of repeat offence. Section 7 In this assignment I learned manycritical thinkingskills that allowed me to incorporate concepts learned in class and apply them to my work. I also learned how to make decisions based upon a chart of shareholders and options to come up with the best utilitarian plan for all the share holders in the ethical issue. The assignment showed me that to improve my critical thinking ability I need to incorporate more perspectives into my analysis to better understand the problems.

This in turn will allow me to adapt a better solution for problems by covering more aspects and potential congealers. I Nils assignment also snowed me some AT ten linctuses In the American criminal system in regards to sexual offenders. While I feel no pity for the crimes they committed I do feel they are being wronged in some cases when their rights are being taken away or they are kept in prison after the completion of their sentences. This assignment showed me that more psychological treatment is necessary in many cases and simply trying to keep offenders away from possible victims often causes a greater repeat rate due offenders being forced underground or into homelessness by draconian laws.