

4 2 2012

[Religion](#), [Buddhism](#)



4/2/2012 1 Early Buddhist Thought Phil 215 Tom Kasulis April 2, 2012

Thought Experiment #2: Who/What am I? “ To find oneself. ” What does that mean? Imagine a friend, Mary, says that she had a rough time this year in college and has decided to take off next year travelling across country so she can “ find herself. ” What is she looking for? How do you find yourself? What is the “ self” you find and who finds it? How do you know when you’ve found it? If you believe you have eventually found yourself, how do you know you are right in that belief? What does it mean to “ have” a self? Is it the same “ self” as in the following common expressions? In choosing a career, you have to begin by knowing yourself. “ To thine own self be true. ” “ Self-defense” is both an instinct and a legal right. 2 3 Indian social climate 5th c. B. C. E. ĩ® Brahmins serve as top of caste system ĩ® Perform rituals for sake of upholding cosmic dharma ĩ® Brahmins become top of fixed caste system ĩ® Status was birthright, not achieved ĩ® Response ĩ® Groups of ascetics leave householder lifestyle ĩ® Become “ forest dwellers” engaged in spiritual disciplines aiming for liberation (moksha) 4 moksha Literally, “ liberation” A general term in Indian philosophy signifying the ultimate goal of release from the cycle of birth-death-rebirth. In Buddhism, often used as an equivalent for “ nirvÄna. ” 5 Indian intellectual context 5th c. B. C. E. ĩ® Praxis involving turning inward, reaching state of sensory quiet or even cessation ĩ® Intellectual arguments about ground of experience–true self (Ätman) ĩ® Rise of idea that world known through senses is only illusory appearance ( mÄyÄ) 6 mÄyÄ Literally “ illusion” The name for way reality appears as contrasted with the way it really is. In Upanishads contrasted with brahman (Not very commonly used

by early Buddhist texts.) 7 Indian intellectual context 5th c. B. C. E. ĩ®  
 Praxis involving turning inward, reaching state of sensory quiet or even  
 cessation ĩ® Intellectual arguments about ground of experience-true self  
 (Ätman) 1 6 mÄyÄ Literally " illusion" The name for way reality  
 appears as contrasted with the way it really is. In Upanishads contrasted with  
 brahman (Not very commonly used by early Buddhist texts.) 4/2/2012 7  
 Indian intellectual context 5th c. B. C. E. ĩ® Praxis involving turning inward,  
 reaching state of sensory quiet or even cessation ĩ® Intellectual  
 arguments about ground of experience-true self (Ätman) ĩ® Rise of idea  
 that world known through senses is only illusory appearance ( mÄyÄ)  
 ĩ® True reality is oneness of Brahman ĩ® Brahman = Ätman The  
 Buddha Siddhartha Gautama (5th c. BCE) Born in northeast India (in today's  
 Nepal) Metapractical goal: to find a " middle way" Theory: Neither  
 materialism nor idealism No need for metaphysical theorizing 8 9  
 Materialism - Theory that reality is nothing other than matter. Everything  
 that seems to be immaterial (such as ideas) are really, upon analysis, shown  
 to be material. Idealism - Theory that reality is nothing more than  
 experience. " Things" are, upon analysis, shown to be really our " ideas" of  
 things. - - Here " idealism" means idea+ism, not the moral theory of ideals  
 +ism, also called " idealism" The Buddha Siddhartha Gautama (5th c. BCE)  
 Born in northeast India (in today's Nepal) Became an ascetic, a forest dweller  
 Metapractical goal: to find a " middle way" Theory: Neither materialism nor  
 idealism No need for metaphysical theorizing Praxis: Neither hedonism nor  
 severe austerities Control emotions: ignorance, hatred, lust Don't color  
 engagement w/ reality as it is 10 11 12 The Buddha (cont.) Problem = being

out of touch with reality Emphasis on problem as delusion instead of illusion  
 Reality does not hide or disguise itself in illusions (in maya) But we project  
 onto reality what is not there 2 12 The Buddha (cont.) Problem = being out  
 of touch with reality 4/2/2012 Emphasis on problem as delusion instead of  
 illusion Reality does not hide or disguise itself in illusions (in maya) But we  
 project onto reality what is not there 13 The Buddha (cont.) Problem = being  
 out of touch with reality Emphasis on problem as delusion instead of illusion  
 Reality does not hide or disguise itself in illusions (māyā) But we project  
 onto reality what is not there Delusion is cause of ignorance, preventing  
 liberation Emphasized seeing reality as it is (tathatā) No need to transcend  
 the way the world shows itself 14 tathatā - Literally "suchness" or "thusness"  
 or "asness" - (Buddhist term) The name for the reality of things as they are  
 w/o our projecting false ideas (delusions) on them OR Reality of things as they  
 are w/o any conceptualization at all placed on them. 15 Myth of the Buddha's  
 Life Siddhārtha Gautama (Śākyamuni) Birth -- prophecy of being great leader  
 spiritual or political Adolescence — protected from outside world 4 sightings:  
 aging, disease, death, and meditative tranquility Period of asceticism Middle  
 way between asceticism and hedonism Nirvāṇa "extinction" of anguish End of  
 cycle of being born, dying, being reborn 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 Nirvāṇa Literally  
 "extinction." The ultimate goal of Buddhism: the extinction of anguish and  
 unsatisfactoriness (duḥkha) bringing about release from the cycle of birth-death-birth.  
 23 Dukkha (Skt: Duḥkha) 3 "extinction" of anguish End of cycle of being  
 born, dying, being reborn 22 4/2/2012 Nirvāṇa Literally "extinction." The  
 ultimate goal of Buddhism: the extinction of anguish and

unsatisfactoriness (duá, ¥kha) bringing about release from the cycle of birth-death-birth. 23 Dukkha (Skt: Duá, ¥kha) Literally, "unsatisfactoriness" (from a word meaning "doesn't fit"). The anguish of ordinary life created by our desire for things to be what they are not, specifically, our desire for permanence in a world of change. 24 After enlightenment ĩ® Buddha begins to teach others the dharma ĩ® 25 Dharma (Pali: dhamma) in Buddhism - General meaning in Indian philosophy - Doing things correctly (rituals, morals) in relation to cosmic order - Came to have 4 interrelated meanings 1. Duty 2. True teachings 3. Phenomena (things-as-experienced) 4. Cosmic order - For Buddhism 1-3 stressed - 1. Acting as a person truly should - 2. True teachings of the Buddha - 3. Things appearing as they truly are w/o illusion (tathatÄ) - 26 Teachings: Four Noble Truths ĩ® 1. Symptom: Duhkha (>" doesn't fit") ĩ® 2. Etiology: Cause of duá, ¥kha= trishna ("thirst") ĩ® we desire things to be other than how they must be and how we know them to be 27 "Thirst" (trishna) in Buddhism The desire for permanence; the attachment to things we like (wishing them to remain forever) and the aversion from things we dislike (wishing they would never arise) 28 Four Noble Truths ĩ® 1. Symptom: Duhkha (>" doesn't fit") ĩ® 2. Etiology: Cause of dukkha= trishna ("thirst") ĩ® we desire things to be other than how they must be and how we know them to be ĩ® 3. Prognosis: eliminate causeÄ eliminate symptom ĩ® 4. Therapy: Noble Eightfold Path ĩ® Correct (a) knowledge; (b) aspiration; (c) speech (d) behavior (e) livelihood (f) 4 28 Four Noble Truths ĩ® 1. Symptom: Duhkha (>" doesn't fit") ĩ® 2. Etiology: Cause of dukkha= trishna ("thirst") ĩ® we desire things to be other than how they must be and how we know

them to be ĩ® 3. Prognosis: eliminate cause ĩ® eliminate symptom ĩ® 4. Therapy: Noble Eightfold Path ĩ® Correct (a) knowledge; (b) aspiration; (c) speech (d) behavior (e) livelihood (f) effort (g) mindfulness (h) meditation

4/2/2012 29 Three Marks of Buddhism Buddha’s “ Three Insights” ĩ® 1. Impermanence ĩ® Reality as processes, not things ĩ® 2. Duhkha ĩ® 3. AnĀtman (anĀtta) = “ no” + “ atman” no eternal, unchanging soul contrast with Hinduism’s atman ĩ® Three Marks of Buddhism Buddha’s “ Three Insights” ĩ® 1. Impermanence ĩ® Reality as processes, not things ĩ® Idea of conditioned co-production (dependent origination ĩ® 2. Duhkha ĩ® 3. AnĀtman (anĀtta) = “ no” + “ atman” no eternal, unchanging soul contrast with Hinduism’s atman ĩ® 30 31 AnĀtman Literally, “ no Ātman” or “ no I. ” The Buddhist teaching that we (like all other things) are interdependent processes and there is no permanent thing behind those processes. There is no independent, substantial I, unchanging ego, or soul. More generally, all phenomena are w/o substantiality because all is impermanent and in flux w/ no unchanging “ thing” behind the change 32 Reality as processes, not substances ĩ® Core teaching ĩ® “ dependent origination” or “ conditioned co-production” Dependent Origination or Conditioned Co-production - Blend of ideas of impermanence and nonsubstantiality (anĀtman) - No thing exists w/o dependence on something else - No thing ceases to exist w/o dependence on something else - Reality is interlinked set of interdependent processes - - [Sanskrit: pratĀtya samutpada “ conditioned coproduction”] Buddhist understanding of self ĩ® If there is no soul or Ātman and only processes, what processes constitute the “ self” as it really is? ĩ® The issue is not what I am, but

rather, how I am; if I am my experiences, what 33 34 5 - - - - 34 No thing exists w/o dependence on something else No thing ceases to exist w/o dependence on something else Reality is interlinked set of interdependent processes [Sanskrit: pratītyasamutpāda “conditioned coproduction”]

4/2/2012 Buddhist understanding of self ¶ If there is no soul or Ātman and only processes, what processes constitute the “self” as it really is? ¶ The issue is not what I am, but rather, how I am; if I am my experiences, what constitutes experience? ¶ Five skandhas (“heaps”, i. e. constituents) ¶ 35 Khandhas (S: Skandhas) Literally, “heaps” or “aggregates” The set of five interdependent processes that constitute the self (with nothing else behind these processes). 36 Buddhist understanding of self ¶ If there is no soul or Ātman and only processes, what processes constitute the “self” as it really is ¶ Five skandhas (“heaps”, i. e. constituents) ¶ Matter/form, body ¶ sensation, ¶ perception, ¶ saṁskāra, ¶ consciousness ¶ 37 Saṁskāra The habitual mental formations through which we filter raw data into meaningful units. 38 Buddhist understanding of self ¶ If there is no soul or Ātman and only processes, what processes constitute the “self” as it really is ¶ Five skandhas (“heaps”, i. e. constituents) ¶ Matter/form (the body) ¶ Sensation (raw sensory data) ¶ Perception (Data as meaningful units) ¶ saṁskāra, ¶ consciousness ¶ ¶ Nothing behind skandhas; nothing permanent ¶ Example of chariot ¶ 39 6 4/2/2012 39 Buddhist understanding of self ¶ Five skandhas (“heaps”) ¶ Matter/form,

sensation, perception, saá¹fskÄra, consciousness i® i® Nothing behind skandhas; nothing permanent i® Example of chariot i® i® “ Self” is a name for the confluence of processes i® Example of river i® i® 40

Ätman & AnÄtman: Comparative views of self 41 Critiques of Upanishads

Ätman theory i® Argument against claim that logic requires an experiencer who is not experienced i® Argument against metaphysical claim that deep trance with cessation of all sensory input (or dreamless sleep analogy) proves oneness of Brahman (Ätman) i® Summation

Buddha rejected Upanishadic ideas of: mäyÄ, Ätman, Brahman â¼¼ â¼¼

¼¼ â¼¼ tathatÄ â¼¼ â¼¼ anÄtman â¼¼ dependent origination 42 7