Spoilage, rework, and scrap



Managers have found that improved quality and intolerance for high spoilage have lowered overall costs and increased sales. 18-2Spoilage—units of production that do not meet the standards required by customers for good units and that are discarded or sold at reduced prices. Rework—units of production that do not meet the specifications required by customers but which are subsequently repaired and sold as good finished units. Scrap—residual material that results from manufacturing a product. It has low total sales value compared to the total sales value of the product. 8-3Yes.

Normal spoilage is spoilage inherent in a particular production process that arises even under efficient operating conditions. Management decides the spoilage rate it considers normal depending on the production process. 18-4Abnormal spoilage is spoilage that is not inherent in a particular production process and would not arise under efficient operating conditions. Costs of abnormal spoilage are "lost costs," measures of inefficiency that should be written off directly as losses for the accounting period. 18-5Management effort can affect the spoilage rate. Many companies are relentlessly reducing their rates of normal spoilage, spurred on by competitors who, likewise, are continuously reducing costs. 18-6Normal spoilage typically is expressed as a percentage of good units passing the inspection point.

Given actual spoiled units, we infer abnormal spoilage as follows: Abnormal spoilage = Actual spoilage - Normal spoilage 18-7Accounting for spoiled goods deals with cost assignment, rather than with cost incurrence, because the existence of spoiled goods does not involve any additional cost beyond the amount already incurred. 18-8Yes. Normal spoilage rates should be computed from the good output or from the normal input, not the total input.

Normal spoilage is a given percentage of a certain output base. This base should never include abnormal spoilage, which is included in total input.

Abnormal spoilage does not vary in direct proportion to units produced, and to include it would cause the normal spoilage count to fluctuate irregularly and not vary in direct proportion to the output base. 18-9Yes, the point of inspection is the key to the assignment of spoilage costs.

Normal spoilage costs do not attach solely to units transferred out. Thus, if units in ending work in process have passed inspection, they should have normal spoilage costs added to them. 18-10No. If abnormal spoilage is detected at a different point in the production cycle than normal spoilage, then unit costs would differ. If, however normal and abnormal spoilage are detected at the same point in the production cycle, their unit costs would be the same. 18-11No. Spoilage may be considered a normal characteristic of a given production cycle.

The costs of normal spoilage caused by a random malfunction of a machine would be charged as a part of the manufacturing overhead allocated to all jobs. Normal spoilage attributable to a specific job is charged to that job. 18-12 No. Unless there are special reasons for charging normal rework to jobs that contained the bad units, the costs of extra materials, labor, and so on are usually charged to manufacturing overhead and allocated to all jobs. 18-13Yes. Abnormal rework is a loss just like abnormal spoilage. By charging it to manufacturing overhead, the abnormal rework costs are spread over other jobs and also included in inventory to the extent a job is not complete.

Abnormal rework is rework over and above what is expected during a period, and is recognized as a loss for that period. 8-14A company is justified in inventorying scrap when its estimated net realizable value is significant and the time between storing it and selling or reusing it is quite long. 18-15Company managements measure scrap to measure efficiency and to also control a tempting source of theft. Managements of companies that report high levels of scrap focus attention on ways to reduce scrap and to use the scrap the company generates more profitably. Some companies, for example, might redesign products and processes to reduce scrap. Others may also examine if the scrap can be reused to save substantial input costs. 8-16(5-10 min.

) Normal and abnormal spoilage in units. 1. Total spoiled units12, 000 Normal spoilage in units, 5% (132, 000 6, 600 Abnormal spoilage in units 5, 400 2. Abnormal spoilage, 5, 400 (\$10\$ 54, 000 Normal spoilage, 6, 600 (\$10 66, 000 Potential savings, 12, 000 (\$10\$120, 000 Regardless of the targeted normal spoilage, abnormal spoilage is non-recurring and avoidable. The targeted normal spoilage rate is subject to change. Many companies have reduced their spoilage to almost zero, which would realize all potential savings. Of course, zero spoilage usually means higher-quality products, more customer satisfaction, more employee satisfaction, and various beneficial effects on nonmanufacturing (for example, purchasing) costs of direct materials.

18-17(20 min.) Weighted-average method, spoilage, equivalent units.

Solution Exhibit 18-17 calculates equivalent units of work done to date for direct materials and conversion costs. SOLUTION EXHIBIT 18-17 Summarize https://assignbuster.com/spoilage-rework-and-scrap/

Output in Physical Units and Compute Output in Equivalent Units; Weighted-Average Method of Process Costing with Spoilage, Gray Manufacturing Company for November 2006. |(Step 1) |(Step 2) || || Equivalent Units || |
Physical | Direct | Conversion || Flow of Production | Units | Materials | Costs |
| Work in process, beginning (given) | 1, 000 || || Started during current
period | 10, 150a || || To account for | 11, 150 || || Good units completed
and transferred out || || during current period: |9, 000 |9, 000 |9, 000 ||
Normal spoilage* | 100 || || 100 (100%; 100 (100% || 100 | 100 ||
Abnormal spoilage† | 50 || || 50 (100%; 50 (100% || 50 | 50 || Work in
process, ending‡ (given) | 2, 000 || || 2, 000 (100%; 2, 000 (30% || 2, 000 |
| 600 || Accounted for 11, 150 || || Work done to date || 11, 150 |9, 750 | a
From below, 11, 150 total units are accounted for. Therefore, units started
during current period must be = 11, 150 - 1, 000 = 10, 150. *Degree of
completion of normal spoilage in this department: direct materials, 100%;
conversion costs, 100%.

†Degree of completion of abnormal spoilage in this department: direct materials, 100%; conversion costs, 100%. ‡Degree of completion in this department: direct materials, 100%; conversion costs, 30%. 18-18(20(25 min. Weighted-average method, assigning costs (continuation of 18-17). Solution Exhibit 18-18 calculates the costs per equivalent unit for direct materials and conversion costs, summarizes total costs to account for, and assigns these costs to units completed and transferred out (including normal spoilage), to abnormal spoilage, and to units in ending work in process. SOLUTION EXHIBIT 18-18 Compute Cost per Equivalent Unit, Summarize Total Costs to Account For, and Assign Total Costs to Units Completed, to

Spoiled Units, and to Units in Ending Work in Process; Weighted-Average Method of Process Costing, Gray Manufacturing Company, November 2006. |

Total | | | | Production | Direct | Conversion | | Costs | Materials | Costs |
(Step 3) Work in process, beginning (given) | \$ 2, 533 | \$ 1, 423 | \$ 1, 110 | |

Costs added in current period (given) | 39, 930 | 12, 180 | 27, 750 | Costs incurred to date | 13, 603 | 28, 860 | Divided by equivalent units of work done to date | (11, 150 | 9, 750 | Cost per equivalent unit | \$ 1.

22 |\$ 2. 6 | |(Step 4) Total costs to account for |\$42, 463 | | | |(Step 5)

Assignment of costs | | | | | Good units completed and transferred out (9, 000 units) | | | | | Costs before adding normal spoilage |\$37, 620 | (9, 000# (\$1. 22) + (9, 000# (\$2. 96) | | Normal spoilage (100 units) | 418 |(100# (\$1. 22) + (100# (\$2. 96) | |(A) Total cost of good units completed & transf. out | 38, 038 | | |(B) Abnormal spoilage (50 units) | 209 |(50# (\$1.

22) + (50# (\$2.96) | |(C) Work in process, ending (2, 000 units) | 4, 216 |(2, 000# (\$1.22) + (600# (\$2.6) | |(A)+(B)+(C) Total costs accounted for | \$42, 463 | | #Equivalent units of direct materials and conversion costs calculated in Step 2 in Solution Exhibit 18-17. 18-19(15 min.) FIFO method, spoilage, equivalent units. Solution Exhibit 18-19 calculates equivalent units of work done in the current period for direct materials and conversion costs.

SOLUTION EXHIBIT 18-19 Summarize Output in Physical Units and Compute
Output in Equivalent Units; First-in, First-out (FIFO) Method of Process
Costing with Spoilage, Gray Manufacturing Company for November 2006. ||
(Step 2) | | |(Step 1) | Equivalent Units | | | Physical | Direct | Conversion ||
Flow of Production | Units | Materials | Costs | | Work in process, beginning

(given) | 1, 000 | | | | Started during current period | 10, 150a | | | | To account for | 11, 150 | | | | Good units completed and transferred out during current period: | | | | | From beginning work in process|| | 1, 000 | | | | 1, 000 ((100% (100%); 1, 000 ((100% (50%) | | 0 | 500 | | Started and completed | 8, 000# | | | 8, 000 (100%; 8, 000 (100% | 8, 000 | 8, 000 | Normal spoilage* | 100 | | | 100 (100%; 100 (100% | 100 | 100 | 100 | Abnormal spoilage† | 50 | | | | 50 (100%; 50 (100% | | 50 | 50 | | Work in process, ending‡ | 2, 000 | | | | 2, 000 (100%; 2, 000 (30% | | 2, 000 | 600 | | Accounted for | 11, 150 | | | | Work done in current period only | | 10, 150 | 9, 250 | a From below, 11, 150 total units are accounted for. Therefore, units started during current period must be 11, 150 - 1, 000 = 10, 150. | Degree of completion in this department: direct materials, 100%; conversion costs, 50%. #9, 000 physical units completed and transferred out minus 1, 000 physical units completed and transferred out from beginning work-in-process inventory. *Degree of completion of normal spoilage in this department: direct materials, 100%; conversion costs, 100%. †Degree of completion of abnormal spoilage in this department: direct materials, 100%; conversion costs, 100%.

‡Degree of completion in this department: direct materials, 100%; conversion costs, 30%. 18-20(20(25 min.)FIFO method, assigning costs (continuation of 18-19). Solution Exhibit 18-20 calculates the costs per equivalent unit for direct materials and conversion costs, summarizes total costs to account for, and assigns these costs to units completed and transferred out (including normal spoilage), to abnormal spoilage, and to units in ending work in process. SOLUTION EXHIBIT 18-20 Compute Cost per

Equivalent Unit Costs, Summarize Total Costs to Account For, and Assign Total Costs to Units Completed, to Spoiled Units, and to Units in Ending Work in Process; FIFO Method of Process Costing, Gray Manufacturing Company, November 2006. | | Total | | | | Production | Direct | Conversion | | | Costs | Materials | Costs | |(Step 3) Work in process, beginning (given: \$1, 423 + \$1, 110) | \$2,533 | | | | Costs added in current period (given) | 39, 930 | \$12, 180 | \$27, 750 | | Divided by equivalent units of work done in current period | |(10, 150 | (9, 250 | | Cost per equivalent unit | _____ | \$1. 0 | \$3 | |(Step 4) Total costs to account for |\$42, 463 | | | |(Step 5) Assignment of costs: | | | | Good units completed and transferred out (9, 000 units) | | | | | Work in process, beginning (1, 000 units) | \$2, 533 | | | Costs added to beg.

work in process in current period | 1, 500 | (0a (\$1.0) + (500a (\$3) | | Total from beginning inventory before normal spoilage | | | | Started and completed before normal spoilage (8, 000 units) | 4, 033 | | Normal spoilage (100 units) | 33, 600 | (8, 000a (\$1.20) + (8, 000a (\$3) | | (A) Total costs of good units completed and transferred out | 420 | (100a (\$1.20) + (100a (\$3) | | (B) Abnormal spoilage (50 units) | 38, 053 | | | (C) Work in process, ending (2, 000 units) | 210 | (50a (\$1.0) + (50a (\$3) | | (A)+(B)+(C) Total costs accounted for | 4, 200 | (2, 000a (\$1.20) + (60a (\$3) | | \$42, 463 | | a Equivalent units of direct materials and conversion costs calculated in Step 2 in Solution Exhibit 18-19. 18-21(30 min.

)Weighted-average method, spoilage. 1. Solution Exhibit 18-21A calculates equivalent units of work done in the current period for direct materials and conversion costs. SOLUTION EXHIBIT 18-21A Summarize Output in Physical Units and Compute Output in Equivalent Units; Weighted-Average Method of https://assignbuster.com/spoilage-rework-and-scrap/

 $|\cdot|\cdot|$ bTotal spoilage = Beg. units + Units started - Good units tsfd. out - Ending units = 2, 000 + 10, 000 - 9, 000 - 1, 800 = 1, 200; | Abnormal spoilage = Total spoilage - Normal spoilage = 1, 200 - 900 = 300 units. Degree of completion of abnormal spoilage | | in this department: direct materials, 100%; conversion costs, 100%. | | | cDegree of completion in this department: direct materials, 100%; conversion costs, 75%. | | | 2 & 3. Solution Exhibit 18-21B calculates the costs per equivalent unit for direct materials and conversion costs, summarizes total costs to account for, and assigns these costs to units completed and transferred out (including normal spoilage), to abnormal spoilage, and to units in ending work in process, using the weighted-average method.

SOLUTION EXHIBIT 18-21B Compute Cost per Equivalent Unit, Summarize Total Costs to Account For, and Assign Total Costs to Units Completed, to Spoiled Units, and to Units in Ending Work in Process; Weighted-Average Method of Process Costing, Appleton Company, August 2006. | | Total | Direct | Conversion | | | | Production | Materials | Costs | | | | Costs | | | | (Step 3) | Work in process, beginning (given) | \$ 28, 600 | \$17, 700 | \$ 10, 900 | | | Costs added in current period (given) | 174, 300 | 81, 300 | 93, 000 | | | Costs incurred to date | | \$99, 000 | \$103, 900 | | | Divide by equivalent units of work done to date | | [pic]12, 000 | [pic]11, 550 | | | Cost per equivalent unit | _____ | \$ 8. 250 | \$ 8. 957 | | (Step 4) | Total costs to account for |\$202, 900 | | | | (Step 5) | Assignment of costs: | | | | | Good units completed and transferred out (9, 000 units) | | | | | | Costs before adding normal spoilage | \$155, 211 | (9, 000d [pic]\$8. 25) + (9, 000 d | | | | | [pic]\$8. 957) | | Normal spoilage (900 units) | 15, 521 | (900d [pic]\$8. 25) + (900d [pic]\$8.

9957) | |(A) | Total costs of good units completed and transferred out | 170, 732 | | | |(B) | Abnormal spoilage (300 units) | 5, 174 |(300d [pic] \$8. 25) + (300d [pic] \$8. 9957) | |(C) | Work in process, ending (1, 800 units): | 26, 994 |(1, 800d [pic]\$8. 25) + (1, 350d | | | | |[pic]\$8. 957) | |(A) + (B) + (C) | Total costs accounted for |\$202, 900 | | | | | | | | | | dEquivalent units of direct materials and conversion costs calculated in step 2 of Solution Exhibit 18-21A. | 18-22 (30 min.)FIFO method, spoilage.

Solution Exhibit 18-22A calculates equivalent units of work done in the current period for direct materials and conversion costs. SOLUTION EXHIBIT 18-22A Summarize Output in Physical Units and Compute Output in Equivalent Units; FIFO Method of Process Costing with Spoilage, Appleton

Company for August 2006. |(Step 1) |(Step 2) | | | | Equivalent Units | | Flow of Production | Physical Units | Direct | Conversion Costs | | | | Materials | | | Work in process, beginning (given) | 2, 000 | | | | Started during current period (given) | 10, 000 | | | | To account for | 12, 000 | | | | Good units completed and transferred out during current period: | | | | | From beginning work in process a | 2, 000 | | | | [2, 000 ? (100% - 100%); 2, 000 ? 100% -50%)] | | 0 | 1, 000 | | Started and completed | 7, 000b | | | | (7, 000 ? 100%; 100%; 900 ? 100%) | | 900 | 900 | | Abnormal spoilaged | 300 | | | | (300 ? 100%; 300 ? 00%) | | 300 | 300 | | Work in process, endinge (given) | 1, 800 | | | | (1, 800 ? 100%; 1, 800 ? 75%) | | 1, 800 | 1, 350 | | Accounted for | 12, 000 | _____ | | | Work done in current period only | | 10, 000 | 10, 550 | | | | | | | a Degree of completion in this department: direct materials, 100%; conversion costs, 50%. | b 9, 000 physical units completed and transferred out minus 2, 000 physical units completed and transferred out from beginning | | work-in-process inventory. | | c Normal spoilage is 10% of good units transferred out: 10% ? 9, 000 = 900 units. Degree of completion of normal spoilage in this | | department: direct materials, 100%; conversion costs, 100%.

| | d Total spoilage = Beg. units + Units started - Good units tsfd. Out - ending units = 2, 000 + 10, 000 - 9, 000 - 1, 800 = 1, 200 | Abnormal spoilage = Actual spoilage - Normal spoilage = 1, 200 - 900 = 300 units.

Degree of completion of abnormal spoilage in | in this department: direct materials, 100%; conversion costs, 100%. | e Degree of completion in this department: direct materials, 100%; conversion costs, 75%. | 2 & 3. Solution

Exhibit 18-22B calculates the costs per equivalent unit for direct materials and conversion costs, summarizes total costs to account for, and assigns these costs to units completed and transferred out (including normal spoilage), to abnormal spoilage, and to units in ending work in process, using the FIFO method.

SOLUTION EXHIBIT 18-22B Compute Cost per Equivalent Unit, Summarize Total Costs to Account For, and Assign Total Costs to Units Completed, to Spoiled Units, and to Units in Ending Work in Process; FIFO Method of Process Costing, Appleton Company, August 2006. | | Total | Direct | Conversion | | | | Production | Materials | Costs | | | | Costs | | | | (Step 3) | Work in process, beginning (given) (\$17, 700 + \$10, 900) |\$ 28, 600 | | | | | Costs added in current period (given) | 174, 300 | \$81, 300 | \$93, 000 | | | Divide by equivalent units of work done in current period | [[pic]10, 000 |[pic]10, 550 | | | Cost per equivalent unit | | \$ 8. 130 | \$ 8. 152 | |(Step 4) | Total costs to account for |\$202, 900 | | | | (Step 5) | Assignment of costs: | | | | | | Good units completed and transferred out (9, 000 units) | | | | | | | Work in process, beginning (2, 000 units) |\$ 28, 600 | | | | | | Costs added to beg. work in process in current period | 8, 815 | (0f ? \$8. 13) | + (1, 000f ? \$8. 152) | | | Total from beginning inventory before normal spoilage | 37, 415 | | | | Started and completed before normal spoilage (7, 000 units) | 118, 616 | (7, 000f?\$8.

13) | + (7, 000f ? \$8. 8152) | | | Normal spoilage (900 units) | 15, 521 | (900f ? \$8. 13) | + (900f ? \$8. 8152) | | (A) | Total costs of good units completed and transferred out | 171, 282 | | | | (B) | Abnormal spoilage (300 units) | 5, 084 |

(300f ? \$8. 13) | + (300f ? \$8. 8152) | |(C) | Work in process, ending (1, 800 units): | 26, 534 |(1, 800f ? \$8. 13) | + (1, 350f ? \$8.

|(Step 1) |(Step 2) | | | Equivalent Units | | Flow of Production | Physical Units | Direct | Conversion Costs | | | Materials | | Work in process, beginning (given) | 2, 000 | | | Started during current period (given) | 10, 000 | | | To account for | 12, 000 | | | Good units completed and transferred out during current period: | | | | From beginning work in process a | 2, 000 | | | [2, 000 ? (100% - 100%); 2, 000 ? 100% - 50%)] | | 0 | 1, 000 | Started and completed | 7, 000b | | | (7, 000 ? 100%; 7, 000 ? 100%) | | 7, 000 | 7, 000 | | Normal spoilagec | 900 | | | (900 ? 100%; 900 ? 100%) | | 900 | 900 | | Abnormal spoilaged | 300 | | | (300 ? 100%; 300 ? 00%) | | 300 | 300 | | | Work in process, endinge (given) | 1, 800 | | | (1, 800 ? 100%; 1, 800 ? 75%) | | 1, 800 | 1, 350 | Accounted for | 12, 000 | | | Work done in current period only | | 10, 000 | 10, 550 | | | | | a Degree of completion in this department: direct materials, 100%; conversion costs, 50%. | b 9, 000 physical units completed and transferred out minus 2, 000 physical units https://assignbuster.com/spoilage-rework-and-scrap/

completed and transferred out from beginning | | work-in-process inventory. | | c Normal spoilage is 10% of good units transferred out: 10%? 9, 000 = 900 units. Degree of completion of normal spoilage in this | | department: direct materials, 100%; conversion costs, 100%. | | d Total spoilage = Beg. units + Units started - Good units tsfd. Out - ending units = 2, 000 + 10, 000 - 9, 000 - 1, 800 = 1, 200 | | Abnormal spoilage = Actual spoilage - Normal spoilage = 1, 200 - 900 = 300 units.

Degree of completion of abnormal spoilage in | | in this department: direct materials, 100%; conversion costs, 100%. | e Degree of completion in this department: direct materials, 100%; conversion costs, 75%. | 2 & 3. Solution Exhibit 18-23B calculates the costs per equivalent unit for direct materials and conversion costs, summarizes total costs to account for, and assigns these costs to units completed and transferred out (including normal spoilage), to abnormal spoilage, and to units in ending work in process, using standard costing. SOLUTION EXHIBIT 18-23B Compute Cost per Equivalent Unit, Summarize Total Costs to Account For, and Assign Total Costs to Units Completed, to Spoiled Units, and to Units in Ending Work in Process; Standard Costing Method of Process Costing, Appleton Company, August 2006. | Total | Direct | Conversion | | | Production | Materials | Costs | | | Costs | | | |(Step 3) | Standard cost per equivalent unit (given) | \$ 17. 50 | \$8. 00 | \$9. 50 | | | Work in process, beginning (given) | \$ 25, 500 | (2, 000 ? \$8. 00) |+ (1, 000 ? \$9. 50) | | | Costs added in current period at standard prices | 180, 225 | (10, 000 ? \$8. 00) |+ (10, 550 ? \$9. 0) | |(Step 4) | Total costs to account for |\$205, 725 | | | |(Step 5) | Assignment of costs at standard costs: | | | | | Good units completed and transferred out (9, 000 units) | | | | | | Work https://assignbuster.com/spoilage-rework-and-scrap/

in process, beginning (2, 000 units) |\$ 25, 500 | | | | | Costs added to beg. work in process in current period | 9, 500 |(0f ? \$8.

00) |+ (1, 000f ? \$9. 50) | | | Total from beginning inventory before normal spoilage | 35, 000 | | | | | Started and completed before normal spoilage (7, 000 units) | 122, 500 | (7, 000f ? \$8. 00) | + (7, 000f ? \$9. 50) | | | Normal spoilage (900 units) | 15, 750 | (900f ? \$8. 00) | + (900f ? \$9. 0) | | (A) | Total costs of good units completed and transferred out | 173, 250 | | | | (B) | Abnormal spoilage (300 units) | 5, 250 | (300f ? \$8. 00) | + (300f ? \$9.

50) | |(C) | Work in process, ending (1, 800 units): | 27, 225 |(1, 800f ? \$8. 00) |+ (1, 350f ? \$9. 50) | |(A) + (B) + (C) | Total costs accounted for |\$205, 725 | | | | f Equivalent units of direct materials and conversion costs calculated in step 2 in Solution Exhibit 18-23A. | 18-24(25 min.) Weighted-average method, spoilage. 1. Solution Exhibit 18-24, Panel A, calculates the equivalent units of work done to date for each cost category in September 2006.

2. & 3. Solution Exhibit 18-24, Panel B, calculates the costs per equivalent unit for each cost category, summarizes total costs to account for, and assigns these costs to units completed (including normal spoilage), to abnormal spoilage, and to units in ending work in process using the weighted-average method. SOLUTION EXHIBIT 18-24 Weighted-Average Method of Process Costing with Spoilage; Superchip, September 2006. PANEL A: Steps 1 and 2—Summarize Output in Physical Units and Compute Output in Equivalent Units | |(Step 1) |(Step 2) | | | | Equivalent Units | | Physical | Direct | Conversion | | Flow of Production | Units | Materials | Costs | | Work in

process, beginning (given) | 400 | | | | Started during current period (given) | 1, 700 | | | | To account for | 2, 100 | | | | Good units completed and transferred out | | | | | during current period: | 1, 400 | 1, 400 | 1, 400 | | Normal spoilage* | 210 | | | 210 (100%; 210 (100% | | 210 | 210 | | Abnormal spoilage† | 190 | | | 190 (100%; 190 (100% | | 190 | 190 | | Work in process, ending‡ (given) | 300 | | | 300 (100%; 300 (40% | | 300 | 120 | | Accounted for | 2, 100 | | | | Work done to date | | 2, 100 | 1, 920 | *Normal spoilage is 15% of good units transferred out: 15% ? 1, 400 = 210 units.

Degree of completion of normal spoilage in this department: direct materials, 100%; conversion costs, 100%. †Total spoilage = 400 + 1, 700 - 1, 400 - 300 = 400 units; Abnormal spoilage = Total spoilage (Normal spoilage = 400 (210 = 190 units.

Degree of completion of abnormal spoilage in this department: direct materials, 100%; conversion costs, 100%. ‡Degree of completion in this department: direct materials, 100%; conversion costs, 40%. SOLUTION EXHIBIT 18-24 PANEL B: Steps 3, 4, and 5—Compute Cost per Equivalent Unit, Summarize Total Costs to Account For, and Assign Total Costs to Units Completed, to Spoiled Units, and to Units in Ending Work in Process | Total | | | | | | Production | Direct | Conversion | | | Costs | Materials | Costs | |(Step 3) Work in process, beginning (given) | \$ 74, 200 | \$ 64, 000 | \$ 10, 200 | | Costs added in current period (given) | 531, 600 | 378, 000 | 153, 600 | | Costs incurred to date | |\$442, 000 | \$163, 800 | | Divided by equivalent units of work done to date | |\$210. 476 | \$85. 125 | |(Step 4) Total costs to account for |\$605, 800 | | | | |(Step 5) Assignment of costs | | | | | Good units completed

and transferred out (1, 400 units) | | | | | Costs before adding normal spoilage |\$414, 104 | (1, 400#(\$210. 476) + (1, 400#(\$85. 3125) | | Normal spoilage (210 units) | 62, 116 | (210# (\$210.

476) + (210# (\$85. 125) | |(A) Total cost of good units completed and transferred out | | | |(B) Abnormal spoilage (190 units) | 476, 220 | | |(C) Work in process, ending (300 units) | 56, 199 |(190# (\$210. 476) + (190# (\$85. 3125) | |(A)+(B)+(C) Total costs accounted for | 73, 381 |(300# (\$210. 476) + (120# (\$85. 3125) | | |\$605, 800 | | # Equivalent units of direct materials and conversion costs calculated in Step 2 in Panel A. 8-25 (25 min.

) FIFO method, spoilage. 1. Solution Exhibit 18-25, Panel A, calculates the equivalent units of work done in the current period for each cost category in September 2006. 2. & 3. Solution Exhibit 18-25, Panel B, calculates the costs per equivalent unit for each cost category, summarizes the total Microchip Department costs for September 2006, and assigns these costs to units completed and transferred out (including normal spoilage), to abnormal spoilage, and to units in ending work in process under the FIFO method. SOLUTION EXHIBIT 18-25 First-in, First-out (FIFO) Method of Process Costing with Spoilage; Superchip, September 2006.

PANEL A: Steps 1 and 2—Summarize Output in Physical Units and Compute

Output in Equivalent Units | | (Step 2) | | (Step 1) | Equivalent Units | |

Physical | Direct | Conversion | | Flow of Production | Units | Materials | Costs |

Work in rocess, beginning (given) | 400 | | | | Started during current period

(given) | 1, 700 | | | | To account for | 2, 100 | | | Good units completed and

transferred out | | | | during current period: | | | | From beginning work in

process|| | 400 | | | | 400 ((100% (100%); 400 ((100% (30%) | | 0 | 280 | | Started and completed | 1, 000# | | | | 1, 000 (100%; 1, 000 (100% | | 1, 000 | 1, 000 | | Normal spoilage* | 210 | | | | 210 (100%; 210 (100% | | 210 | 210 || Abnormal spoilage† | 190 || || 190 (100%; 190 (100% || 190 | 190 | Accounted for | 2, 100 | | | | Work done in current period only | | 1, 700 | 1, 800 | || Degree of completion in this department: direct materials, 100%; conversion costs, 30%. #1, 400 physical units completed and transferred out minus 400 physical units completed and transferred out from beginning work in process inventory. Normal spoilage is 15% of good units transferred out: 15% (1, 400 = 210 units. Degree of completion of normal spoilage in this department: direct materials, 100%; conversion costs, 100%. †Abnormal spoilage = Actual spoilage (Normal spoilage = 400 (210 = 190 units. Degree of completion of abnormal spoilage in this department: direct materials, 100%; conversion costs, 100%. ‡Degree of completion in this department: direct materials, 100%; conversion costs, 40%.

SOLUTION EXHIBIT 18-25 PANEL B: Steps 3, 4 and 5—Compute Cost per Equivalent Unit, Summarize Total Costs to Account For, and Assign Total Costs to Units Completed, to Spoiled Units, and to Units in Ending Work in Process | Total | | | | | Production | Direct | Conversion | | | Costs | Materials | Costs | |(Step 3) Work in process, beginning, \$64, 000 + \$70, 200 (given) | \$74, 200 | | | | Costs added in current period (given) | 531, 600 | 378, 000 | 153, 600 | Divided by equivalent units of work done in | | | | | current period | | (1, 700 | (1, 800 | Cost per equivalent unit | |\$222. 353 | \$85. 33 | |(Step 4) Total costs to account for |\$605, 800 | | | | |(Step 5) Assignment of costs: | |

| | Good units completed and transferred out (1, 400 units) | | | | | Work in process, beginning (400 units) |\$ 74, 200 | | Costs added beg. work in process in current period | 23, 893 |(0§ (\$222. 353) + (280§ (\$85. 33) | Total from beginning inventory before normal spoilage | | | Started and completed before normal spoilage | 98, 093 | | |(1, 000 units) | | | Normal spoilage (210 units) | 307, 686 |(1, 000§(\$222. 353) + (1, 000§(\$85.

333) | |(A) Total costs of good units completed and | 64, 614 |(210§(\$222. 353) + (210§(\$85. 333) | | transferred out | | | |(B) Abnormal spoilage (190 units) | 470, 393 | | |(C) Work in process, ending (300 units) | 58, 461 | (190§(\$222. 353) + (190§(\$85. 33) | |(A)+(B)+(C) Total costs accounted for | 76, 946 |(300§(\$222. 353) + (120§(\$85. 333) | | |\$605, 800 | | §Equivalent units of direct materials and conversion costs calculated in Step 2 in Panel A.

18-26 (30 min.) Standard costing method, spoilage. 1. Solution Exhibit 18-25, Panel A, shows the computation of the equivalent units of work done in September 2006 for direct materials (1, 700 units) and conversion costs (1, 800 units). (This computation is the same for FIFO and standard-costing.) 2. The direct materials cost per equivalent unit of beginning work in process and of work done in September 2006 is the standard cost of \$210 given in the problem.

The conversion cost per equivalent unit of beginning work in process and of work done in September 2006 is the standard cost of \$80 given in the problem. 3. Solution Exhibit 18-26 summarizes the total costs to account for, and assigns these costs to units completed (including normal spoilage), to abnormal spoilage, and to units in ending work in process using the standard

costing method. SOLUTION EXHIBIT 18-26 Standard Costing Method of Process Costing with Spoilage; Superchip, September 2006. Steps 3, 4, and 5 —Compute Cost per Equivalent Unit, Summarize Total Costs to Account For, and Assign Total Costs to Units Completed, to Spoiled Units, and to Units in Ending Work in Process | | Total | | | | | Production | Direct | Conversion | | | Costs | Materials | Costs | |(Step 3) Standard costs per equivalent unit (given) |\$ 290 | \$ 210 | \$ 80 | | Work in process, beginning* | 93, 600 |(400 (\$210) + |(120 (\$80) | | Costs added in current period at standard prices | 501, 000 | (1,700 (\$210) + |(1,800 (\$80) | |(Step 4) Costs to account for \$594,600 | || |(Step 5) Assignment of costs at standard costs: | | | | | Good units completed and transferred out | | | | | (1, 400 units) | | | | | Work in process, beginning (400 units) |\$ 93, 600 | | | Costs added beg. ork in process in current period | 22, 400 | (0§ (\$210) + (280§ (\$80) | | Total from beginning inventory before normal | | | | spoilage | 116, 000 | | | Started and completed before normal spoilage | | | |(1, 000 units) | 290, 000 |(1, 000§ (\$210) + (1, 000§ (\$80) | Normal spoilage (210 units) | 60, 900 | (210§ (\$210) + (210§ (\$80) | |(A) Total costs of good units completed and | | | | transferred out | 466, 900 | | |(B) Abnormal spoilage (190 units) | 55, 100 |(190§ (\$210) + (190§ (\$80) | |(C) Work in process, ending (300 units) | 72, 600 |(300§ (\$210) + (120§ (\$80) | |(A)+(B)+(C) Total costs accounted for |\$594, 600 | | *Work in process, beginning has 400 equivalent units (400 physical units (100%) of direct materials and 120 equivalent units (400 physical units (30%) of conversion costs. §Equivalent units of direct materials and conversion costs calculated in Step 2 in Solution Exhibit 18-25, Panel A.

18-27(20-30 min.) Spoilage and job costing. 1. Cash 200 Loss from Abnormal Spoilage1, 000 Work-in-Process Control1, 200 Loss = (\$6.00 (200) - \$200 = \$1,000 Remaining cases cost = \$6.00 per case. The cost of these cases is unaffected by the loss from abnormal spoilage.

- 2. a. Cash 400 Work-in-Process Control 400 The cost of the remaining good cases = [(\$6.00 (2, 500) \$400] = \$14, 600 The unit cost of a good case now becomes \$14, 600 (2, 300 = \$6.3478 b. Cash 400 Manufacturing Department Overhead Control800 Work-in-Process Control1, 200 The unit cost of a good case remains at \$6.00.
- c. The unit costs in 2a and 2b are different because in 2a the normal spoilage cost is charged as a cost of the job which has exacting job specifications. In 2b however, normal spoilage is due to the production process, not the particular attributes of this specific job. These costs are, therefore, charged as part of manufacturing overhead and the manufacturing overhead cost of \$1 per case already includes a provision for normal spoilage. 3. a. Work-in-Process Control 200 Materials Control, Wages Payable Control, Manufacturing Overhead Allocated 200 The cost of the good cases = [(\$6.

00 (2, 500) + \$200] = \$15, 200 The unit cost of a good case is \$15, 200 (2, 500 = \$6.08 b. Manufacturing Department Overhead Control 200 Materials Control, Wages Payable Control, Manufacturing Overhead Allocated 200 The unit cost of a good case = \$6.00 per case c. The unit costs in 3a and 3b are different because in 3a the normal rework cost is charged as a cost of the job which has exacting job specifications. In 3b however, normal rework is due to the production process, not the particular attributes of this specific job.

These costs are, therefore, charged as part of manufacturing overhead and the manufacturing overhead cost of \$1 per case already includes a provision for this normal rework.

18-28(15 min.) Reworked units, costs of rework. 1. The two alternative approaches to account for the materials costs of reworked units are: a. To charge the costs of rework to the current period as a separate expense item as abnormal rework. This approach would highlight to White Goods the costs of the supplier problem. b.

To charge the costs of the rework to manufacturing overhead as normal rework. 2. The \$50 tumbler cost is the cost of the actual tumblers included in the washing machines. The \$44 tumbler units from the new supplier were eventually never used in any washing machine and that supplier is now bankrupt. The units must now be disposed of at zero disposal value. 3. The total costs of rework due to the defective tumbler units include the following: a.

the labor and other conversion costs spent on substituting the new tumbler units; b. the costs of any extra negotiations to obtain the replacement tumbler units; c. any higher price the existing supplier may have charged to do a rush order for the replacement tumbler units; and d. rdering costs for the replacement tumbler units. 18-29(25 min.)Scrap, job costing. 1.

Journal entry to record scrap generated by a specific job and accounted for at the time scrap is sold is: Cash or Accounts Receivable490 Work-in-Process Control490 To recognize asset from sale of scrap. A memo posting is also made to the specific job record. 2. Scrap common to various jobs and

https://assignbuster.com/spoilage-rework-and-scrap/

accounted for at the time of its sale can be accounted for in two ways: a. Regard scrap sales as a separate line item of revenues (the method generally used when the dollar amount of scrap is immaterial): Cash or Accounts Receivable4, 000 Sale of Scrap4, 000 To recognize revenue from sale of scrap. b. Regard scrap sales as offsets against manufacturing overhead (the method generally used when the dollar amount of scrap is material): Cash or Accounts Receivable4, 000 Manufacturing Department Overhead Control4, 000 To record cash raised from sale of scrap.

3. Journal entry to record scrap common to various jobs at the time scrap is returned to storeroom: Materials Control4, 000 Manufacturing Department Overhead Control4, 000 To record value of scrap returned to storeroom. When the scrap is reused as direct material on a subsequent job, the journal entry is: Work-in-Process Control4, 000 Materials Control4, 000 To record reuse of scrap on a job. Explanations of journal entries are provided here but are not required. 18-30 (30 min. Weighted-average method, spoilage. Solution Exhibit 18-30 calculates the equivalent units of work done to date for each cost category, presents computations of the costs per equivalent unit for each cost category, summarizes total costs to account for, and assigns these costs to units completed (including normal spoilage), to abnormal spoilage, and to units in ending work in process using the weighted-average method.

SOLUTION EXHIBIT 18-30 Weighted-Average Method of Process Costing with Spoilage; Cleaning Department of the Alston Company for May. PANEL A: Steps 1 and 2—Summarize Output in Physical Units and Compute Output in Equivalent Units |(Step 1) |(Step 2) | | | | Equivalent Units | | | Physical Units |

Direct | Conversion | | Flow of Production | | Materials | Costs | | Work in process, beginning (given) | 1, 000 | | | | Started during current period given) | 9, 000 | | | | To account for | 10, 000 | | | | Good units completed and Normal spoilage* | | | | | 740 (100%; 740 (100% | 740 | 740 | 740 |) Abnormal spoilage† | | | | 260 (100%; 260 (100% | 260 | 260 | 260 | Work in process, ending‡ (given) | | | | | 1, 600 (100%; 1, 600 (25% | 1, 600 | 1, 600 | 400 | | Accounted for | | | | | Work done to date | 10, 000 | 10, 000 | 8, 800 | *Normal spoilage is 10% of good units transferred out: 10% ? , 400 =740 units. Degree of completion of normal spoilage in this department: direct materials, 100%; conversion costs, 100%. †Total spoilage = 1, 000 + 9, 000-7, 400 - 1, 600 = 1, 000 units; Abnormal spoilage = 1, 000 - 740 = 260units. Degree of completion of abnormal spoilage in this department: direct materials, 100%; conversion costs, 100%. ‡Degree of completion in this department: direct materials, 100%; conversion costs, 25%. SOLUTION EXHIBIT 18-30 PANEL B: Steps 3, 4, and 5—Compute Cost per Equivalent Unit, Summarize Total Costs to Account For, and Assign Total Costs to Units Completed, to Spoiled Units, and to Units in Ending Work in Process | Total | | | | | Production | Direct | Conversion | | | Costs | Materials | Costs | |(Step 3) Work in process, beginning (given) |\$ 1, 800 |\$ 1, 000 |\$ 800 | | Costs added in current period (given) | 17, 000 | 9, 000 | 8, 000 | | Costs incurred to date | 000 | (8, 800 | | Cost per equivalent unit | _____ | \$ 1 | \$ 1 | (Step 4) Total costs to account for |\$18, 800 | | | |(Step 5) Assignment of costs | | | | | Good units completed and transferred out (7, 400 units) | | | | | Costs before adding normal spoilage |\$14, 800 | (7, 400# (\$1) + | (7, 400# (\$1) | | Normal

spoilage (740 units) | 1, 480 |(740# (\$1) + |(740# (\$1) | |(A) Total costs of good units completed and |||| transferred out | 16, 280 ||| |(B) Abnormal spoilage (260 units) | 520 |(260# (\$1) + |(260# (\$1) | |(C) Work in process, ending (1, 600 units) | 2, 000 |(1, 600# (\$1) + |(400# (\$1) | |(A)+(B)+(C) Total costs accounted for |\$18, 800 |||||||#Equivalent units of direct materials and conversion costs calculated in Step 2 in Panel A above.

18-31(25 min.) FIFO method, spoilage. For the Cleaning Department, Solution Exhibit 18-31 calculates the equivalent units of work done in the current period for direct materials and conversion costs, presents the costs per equivalent unit for direct materials and conversion costs, summarizes the total costs for May, and assigns these costs to units completed and transferred out (including normal spoilage), to abnormal spoilage, and to units in ending work in process under the FIFO method. SOLUTION EXHIBIT 18-31 First-in, First-out (FIFO) Method of Process Costing with Spoilage; Cleaning Department of the Alston Company for May. PANEL A: Steps 1 and 2—Summarize Output in Physical Units and Compute Output in Equivalent Units | |(Step 2) | | |(Step 1) | Equivalent Units | | | Physical | Direct | Conversion | | Flow of Production | Units | Materials | Costs | | Work in process, beginning (given) | 1, 000 | | | | Started during current period (given) | 9, 000 | | | | To account for 10, 000 | | | | Good units completed and transferred out during current period: | | | | | From beginning work in process|| | 1, 000 | | | | 1, 000 ((100% (100%); 1, 000 ((100% (80%) | | 0 | 200 | | Started and completed | 6, 400# | | | | 6, 400 (100%; 6, 400 (100% | | 6, 400 | 6, 400 | | Normal spoilage* | 740 | | | | 740 (100%; 740% (100% | | 740 | 740 | | Abnormal spoilage† | 260 | | | | 260 (100%; 260 (100% | | 260 |

260 | | Work in process, ending‡ | 1, 600 | | | 1, 600 (100%; 1, 600 (25% | _____ | 1, 600 | 400 | | Accounted for | 10, 000 | ____ | ___ | | Work done in current period only | | 9, 000 | 8, 000 | || Degree of completion in this department: direct materials, 100%; conversion costs, 80%. #7, 400 physical units completed and transferred out minus 1, 000 physical units completed and transferred out from beginning work-in-process inventory. Normal spoilage is 10% of good units transferred out: 10% (7, 400 = 740 units.

Degree of completion of normal spoilage in this department: direct materials, 100%; conversion costs, 100%. †Total spoilage = 1, 000 + 9, 000 - 7, 400 -1,600 = 1,000 units Abnormal spoilage = 1,000 - 740 = 260 units. Degree of completion of abnormal spoilage in this department: direct materials, 100%; conversion costs, 100%. ‡Degree of completion in this department: direct materials, 100%; conversion costs, 25%. SOLUTION EXHIBIT 18-31 PANEL B: Steps 3, 4, and 5—Compute Cost per Equivalent Unit, Summarize Total Costs to Account For, and Assign Total Costs to Units Completed, to Spoiled Units, and to Units in Ending Work in Process | Total | | | | Production | Direct | Conversion | | | Costs | Materials | Costs | |(Step 3) Work in process, beginning (given) | \$ 1, 800 | \$1, 000 | \$ 800 | | Costs added in current period (given) | 17, 000 | 9, 000 | 8, 000 | | Divided by equivalent units of work done in current period | |(9, 000 | (8, 000 | | Cost per equivalent unit | | 1 | 1 | | (Step 4) Total costs to account for |\$18, 800 | | | | (Step 5) Assignment of costs: | | | | | Good units completed and transferred out (7, 400 units) | | | | | Work in process, beginning (1, 000 units) | \$ 1, 800 | | | Costs added to beg. work in process in current period | 200 | (0§ (\$1) + (200§ (\$1) | | Total from beginning inventory before normal spoilage | 2, 000 | | |

Started and ompleted before normal spoilage (6, 400 units) | 12, 800 | (6, 400§ (\$1) + (6, 400§ (\$1) | | Normal spoilage (740 units) | 1, 480 | (740§ (\$1) + (740§ (\$1) | | (A) Total costs of good units completed and transferred out | 16, 280 | | | (B) Abnormal spoilage (260 units) | 520 | (260§ (\$1) + (260§ (\$1) | | (C) Work in process, ending (1, 600 units) | 2, 000 | (1, 600§ (\$1) + (400§ (\$1) | | (A)+(B)+(C) Total costs accounted for |\$18, 800 | | §Equivalent units of direct materials and conversion costs calculated in Step 2 in Panel A. 18-32 (35 min.

Weighted-average method, Milling Department (continuation of 18-30). For the Milling Department, Solution Exhibit 18-32 calculates the equivalent units of work done to date for each cost category, presents computations of the costs per equivalent unit for each cost category, summarizes total costs to account for, and assigns these costs to units completed (including normal spoilage), to abnormal spoilage, and to units in ending work in process using the weighted-average method. SOLUTION EXHIBIT 18-32 Weighted-Average Method of Process Costing with Spoilage; Milling Department of the Alston Company for May. PANEL A: Steps 1 and 2—Summarize Output in Physical Units and Compute Output in Equivalent Units |(Step 1) |(Step 2) | | | | Equivalent Units | | | Physical Units | Transferred- | Direct | Conversion | | Flow of Production | | in Costs | Materials | Costs | | Work in process, beginning (given) | 3, 000 | | | | | Started during current period (given) | 7, 400 | | | | | To account for | 10, 400 | | | | | Good units completed and transferred out | | | | | | during current period: | 6, 000 | 6, 000 | 6, 000 | 6, 000 | Normal spoilage* | 300 | | | | 300 (100%; 300 (100%; 300 (100% |) 300 | 300 | 300 | | Abnormal spoilage† | 100 | | | | 100 (100%; 100 (100%,

Degree of completion of abnormal spoilage in this department: transferred-in costs, 100%; direct materials, 100%; conversion costs, 100%. ‡Degree of completion in this department: transferred-in costs, 100%; direct materials, 0%; conversion costs, 25%. SOLUTION EXHIBIT 18-32PANEL B: Steps 3, 4, and 5—Compute Cost per Equivalent Unit, Summarize Total Costs to Account For, and Assign Total Costs to Units Completed, to Spoiled Units, and to Units in Ending Work in Process | | Total | | | | | Production | Transferred-in | Direct | Conversion | | | Costs | costs | Materials | Costs | | | | | | | (Step 3) Work in process, beginning (given) |\$ 8, 900 |\$ 6, 450 |\$ 0 |\$2, 450 | | Costs added in current period (given) | 21, 870 | 16, 280* | 640 | 4, 950 | | Costs incurred to date | | 22, 730 | 640 | 7, 400 | | Divided by equivalent units of work done to date | |(10, 400 |(6, 400 |(7, 400 | | Cost per equivalent unit | |\$2. 1856 |\$ 0. 0 | \$ 1 | | (Step 4) Total costs to account for | \$30, 770 | | | | | | (Step 5) Assignment of costs | | | | | | Good units completed and transferred out (6, 000 units) | | | | | | Costs before adding normal spoilage |\$19, 713 | 6, 000# ((\$2. 1856 + \$0. 10 + \$1) | Normal spoilage (300 units) | 986 | 300# ((\$2.

1856 + \$0. 0 + \$1) | |(A) Total cost of good units completed and transferred out | | | |(B) Abnormal spoilage (100 units) | 20, 699 | | |(C) Work in process, ending (4, 000 units) | 329 | 100# ((\$2. 1856 + \$0. 10 + \$1) | |(A)+(B)+(C) Total costs accounted for | 9, 742 | (4, 000# (\$2. 1856)+(0# (\$0. 10)+(1, 000# (\$1) | | |\$30, 770 | | *Total costs of good units completed and transferred out in Step 5 Panel B of Solution Exhibit 18-30. #Equivalent units of direct materials and conversion costs calculated in Step 2 in Panel A above.

18-33(25 min.) FIFO method, Milling Department (continuation of 18-31). Solution Exhibit 18-33 shows the equivalent units of work done in the Milling Department in the current period for transferred-in costs, direct materials, and conversion costs, presents the costs per equivalent unit for transferredin costs, direct materials, and conversion costs, summarizes the total Milling Department costs for May, and assigns these costs to units completed and transferred out (including normal spoilage), to abnormal spoilage, and to units in ending work-in-process under the FIFO method. SOLUTION EXHIBIT 18-33 First-in, First-out (FIFO) Method of Process Costing with Spoilage; Milling Department of the Alston Company for May. PANEL A: Steps 1 and 2— Summarize Output in Physical Units and Compute Output in Equivalent Units | | | (Step 2) | | | (Step 1) | Equivalent Units | | | Physical | Transferred- | Direct | Conversion | | Flow of Production | Units | in Costs | Materials | Costs | | Work in process, beginning (given) | 3, 000 | | | | | Started during current period (given) | 7, 400 | | | | | To account for | 10, 400 | | | | | Good units completed and transferred out during | | | | | | current period: | | | | | From beginning work in process|| | 3, 000 | | | | | 3, 000 ((100% (100%); 3, 000 (| | | | | |

20 |\$ 0. 10 |\$ 0. 9 | |(Step 4) Total costs to account for |\$30, 770 | | | | | |(Step 5) Assignment of costs: | | | | | | Good units completed and transferred out (6, 000 units) | | | | | | | Work in process, beginning (3, 000 units) |\$ 8, 900 | | | Costs added to beg. work in process in | | | | current period | 894 | (0 (\$2. 20)+(3, 000§(0. 10)+(600§ (\$0. 9) | | Total from beginning inventory before normal spoilage | | | | Started and completed before normal spoilage (3, 000 units) | 9, 794 | | | Normal spoilage (300 units) | | | |(A) Total costs of good units completed and | 9, 870 | 3, 000§ (\$2.

 $20 + \$0. \ 10 + \$0. \ 99) \mid \mid \text{transferred out} \mid 987 \mid 300\$ ((\$2. \ 20 + \$0. \ 10 + \$0. \ 9) \mid \mid (B) \text{ Abnormal spoilage } (100 \text{ units}) \mid \mid \mid \mid \mid (C) \text{ Work in process, ending } (4, 000 \text{ units}) \mid 20, 651 \mid \mid \mid \mid (A) + (B) + (C) \text{ Total costs accounted for } \mid 329 \mid 100\$ ((\$2. \ 20 + \$0. \ 10$

10 + \$0. 99) | | | 9, 790 | (4, 000§(\$2. 20)+(0§(\$0. 10)+(1, 000§(\$0. 99) | | | \$30, 770 | | *Total costs of good units completed and transferred out in Step 5 Panel B of Solution Exhibit 18-31. §Equivalent units of direct materials and conversion costs calculated in Step 2 in Panel A. 18-34 (20(25 min.

) Job-costing spoilage and scrap. 1. a. Materials Control 600 Manufacturing

Department Overhead Control800 Work-in-Process Control1, 400 (650 + 500 + 250 = 1, 400) b. Accounts Receivable1, 250 Work-in-Process Control1, 250 2. a. The clause does not specify whether the 1% calculation is to be based https://assignbuster.com/spoilage-rework-and-scrap/

on the input cost (\$26, 951 + \$15, 076 + \$7, 538) or the cost of the good output before the " 1% normal spoilage" is added.

b. If the inputs are used to determine the 1%: \$26, 951 + \$15, 076 + \$7, 538 = \$49, 565 1% of \$49, 565 = \$495. 65 or \$496, rounded. Then, the entry to leave the \$496 " normal spoilage" cost on the job, remove the salvageable material, and charge manufacturing overhead would be: Materials Control 600Manufacturing Department Overhead Control304 Work-in-Process Control 904 (\$800 spoilage minus \$496 = \$304 spoilage cost that is taken out of the job; \$600 salvage value plus \$304 = \$904; or \$1, 400 minus \$496 = \$904) If the outputs are used to determine the 1%: \$26, 951 - \$650 = \$26, 301 15, 076 - 500 = 14, 576 7, 538 - 250 = 7, 288 \$49, 565\$48, 165 Then, \$48, 165 (1% = \$481. 65 or \$482, rounded. The journal entry would be: Materials Control 600 Manufacturing Department Overhead Control318 Work-in-Process Control918 18-35(30 min.) Job costing, rework.

1. Work-in-Process Control (SM-5 motors) (\$550 (80)44, 000 Materials Control (\$300 (80)24, 000 Wages Payable (\$60 (80)4, 800Manufacturing Overhead Allocated (\$190 (80)15, 200 Total costs assigned to 80 spoiled units of SM-5 Motors before considering rework costs. Manufacturing Department Overhead Control (rework)9, 000 Materials Control (\$60 (50)3, 000 Wages Payable (\$45 (50)2, 250 Manufacturing Overhead Allocated (\$75 (50)3, 750 Normal rework on 50 units, but not attributable specifically to the SM-5 motor batches or jobs. Loss from Abnormal Rework (\$180 (30)5, 400 Materials Control (\$60 (30)1, 800 Wages Payable (\$45 (30)1, 350 Manufacturing Overhead Allocated (\$75 (30)2, 250 Total costs of abnormal rework on 30 units (Abnormal rework = Actual rework - Normal rework = 80 https://assignbuster.com/spoilage-rework-and-scrap/

– 50 = 30 units) of SM-5 Motors. Work-in-Process Control (SM-5 motors)6, 000 Work-in-Process Control (RW-8 motors)3, 000Manufacturing Department Overhead Allocated (rework)9, 000 (Allocating manufacturing department rework costs to SM-5 and RW-8 in the proportion 1, 000: 500 since each motor requires the same number of machine-hours.) 2. Total rework costs for SM-5 motors in February 2004 are as follows: Normal rework costs allocated to SM-5\$ 6, 000 Abnormal rework costs for SM-5 5, 400 Total rework costs\$11, 400 We emphasize two points: a.

Only \$6, 000 of the normal rework costs are allocated to SM-5 even though the normal rework costs of the 50 SM-5 motors reworked equal \$9, 000. The reason is that the normal rework costs are not specifically attributable to SM-5. For example, the machines happened to malfunction when SM-5 was being made, but the rework was not caused by the specific requirements of SM-5. If it were, then all \$9, 000 would be charged to SM-5. b. Abnormal rework costs of \$5, 400 are linked to SM-5 in the management control system even though for financial reporting purposes the abnormal rework costs are written off to the income statement. 18-36(30 min.

)Job costing, scrap. 1. Materials Control10, 000 Manufacturing Overhead Control10, 000 (To record scrap common to all jobs at the time it is returned to the storeroom) 2. Cash or Accounts Receivable10, 000 Materials Control10, 000 (To record sale of scrap from the storeroom) 3. A summary of the manufacturing costs for HM3 and JB4 before considering the value of scrap are as follows: | HM3 | JB4 | Total Costs | | Direct materials |\$200, 000 | \$150, 000 |\$350, 000 | | Direct manufacturing labor | 60, 000 | 40, 000 | 100, 000 | | Manufacturing overhead | | | | | |(200% of direct manufacturing labor) |

120, 000 | 80, 000 | 200, 000 | | Total manufacturing costs |\$380, 000 |\$270, 000 |\$650, 000 | | Manufacturing cost per unit |\$19 |\$27 | | |(\$380, 000[pic]20, 000; \$270, 000[pic]10, 000) | | | | The value of scrap of \$10, 000 generated during March will reduce manufacturing overhead costs by \$10, 000 from \$200, 000 to \$190, 000. Manufacturing overhead will then be allocated at 190% of direct manufacturing labor costs (\$190, 000 ? \$100, 000 = 190%) The revised manufacturing cost per unit would then be: | HM3 | JB4 | Total Costs | | Direct materials |\$200, 000 |\$150, 000 |\$350, 000 | | Direct manufacturing labor | 60, 000 | 40, 000 | 100, 000 | Manufacturing overhead | | | | |(190% of direct manufacturing labor) | 114, 000 | 76, 000 | 190, 000 | | Total manufacturing costs |\$374, 000 |\$266, 000 |\$640, 000 | | Manufacturing cost per unit | \$18. 70 | \$26.

60 | | |(\$374, 000[pic]20, 000; \$266, 000[pic]10, 000) | | | | 18-37(15(20 min.)) Physical units, inspection at various stages of completion (chapter appendix). | Inspection | Inspection | Inspection | | at 15% | at 40% | at 100% | | Work in process, beginning (20%)* | 14, 000 | 14, 000 | 14, 000 | | Started during March | 120, 000 | 120, 000 | 120, 000 | | To account for | 134, 000 | 134, 000 | | Good units completed and transferred out | 113, 000a | 113, 000a | 113, 000a | | Normal spoilage | 6, 600b | 7, 440c | 6, 780d | | Abnormal spoilage (10, 000 – normal spoilage) | 3, 400 | 2, 560 | 3, 220 | | Work in process, ending (70%)* | 11, 000 | 11, 000 | 11, 000 | | Accounted for | 134, 000 | 134, 000 | 134, 000 | *Degree of completion for conversion costs of the forging process at the dates of the work-in-process inventories a14, 000 beginning inventory +120, 000 -10, 000 spoiled - 11, 000 ending inventory = 113, 000 b6% ((113, 000 - 14, 000 + 11, 000) = 6% (110, 000

= 6, 600 c6% ((113, 000 + 11, 000) = 6% (124, 000 = 7, 440 d6% (113, 000 = 6, 780 18-38(25(35 min. Weighted-average method, inspection at 80% completion (chapter appendix). The computation and allocation of spoilage is the most difficult part of this problem. The units in the ending inventory have passed inspection. Therefore, of the 80, 000 units to account for (10, 000 beginning + 70, 000 started), 10, 000 must have been spoiled in June [80, 000 - (50, 000 completed + 20, 000 ending inventory)].

Normal spoilage is 7, 000 [0. 10 ((50, 000 + 20, 000)]. The 3, 000 remainder is abnormal spoilage (10, 000 - 7, 000). Solution Exhibit 18-38, Panel A, calculates the equivalent units of work done for each cost category. We comment on several points in this calculation: Ending work in process includes an element of normal spoilage since all the ending WIP have passed the point of inspection—inspection occurs when production is 80% complete, while the units in ending WIP are 95% complete. • Spoilage includes no direct materials units because spoiled units are detected and removed from the finishing activity when inspection occurs at the time production is 80% complete. Direct materials are added only later when production is 90% complete.

• Direct materials units are included for ending work in process, which is 95% complete, but not for beginning work in process, which is 25% complete. The reason is that direct materials are added when production is 90% complete. The ending work in process, therefore, contains direct materials units; the beginning work in process does not.