Editorial: stem cells and progenitor cells in ischemic stroke fashion or future?

Health & Medicine



Despite recent achievements in re-canalizing stroke therapies (<u>Campbell et</u> al., 2015; Goyal et al., 2015), which ensure reduction of deficits in a significant patient faction due to combined systemic thrombolysis and interventional clot removal, a major need remains for restorative therapies in patients suffering from persistent neurological deficits despite optimized treatment. Although neurogenesis persists in the adult mammalian brain within distinct niches, such as the subventricular zone (SVZ) hosting stem cells and neural progenitor cells (NPCs) alike, the restorative potential of endogenous neurogenesis is generally insufficient and thus unable to support a full recovery of lost functions following stroke. Consequently, transplantation of exogenous NPCs and various other stem cell sources has emerged as a potential stroke treatment. Although adult NPCs are not integrated into residing neural networks, solid experimental data demonstrates beneficial effects in pre-clinical stroke models (<u>Bacigaluppi et</u> al., 2009; Doeppner et al., 2012). Thorough insights into stem cell actions have been obtained in experimental studies in recent years, which raise the questions about the clinical potential of stem cell-based therapies.

The mechanisms underlying post-stroke neurogenesis are diverse and highly complex, involving interactions of stem cells with extracellular matrix (ECM) constituents, microvascular cells, brain parenchymal cells, and immune cells, as summarized within this research topic by <u>Hermann et al. (2014)</u>. The pivotal role of calpains, which are activated upon post-ischemic cellular calcium influx and control ECM remodeling, in post-stroke neurogenesis was now further analyzed by <u>Machado et al. (2015)</u> who provide compelling evidence that deletion of the endogenous calpain inhibitor calpastatin

hampers the proliferation and migration of NPCs, whereas calpain inhibition increases NPC proliferation, migration speed, and migration distance. Accordingly, the modulation of calpains might be a potent tool to boost poststroke neurogenesis. Representing a molecular substrate of calpains, the multifunctional ECM glycoprotein tenascin-C exemplifies in a particularly multi-faceted way how the characteristics of stem cells are modified by ECM constituents upon brain injury, as outlined by <u>Roll and Faissner (2014)</u>. Casting new light onto the role of the cerebral microvasculature for poststroke neurogenesis, Adamczak et al. (2014) provide a detailed non-invasive analysis of the dynamics of VEGF and its receptor VEGFR2 in a mouse model of focal cerebral ischemia. The authors describe active VEGFR2 signaling for as long as 2 weeks post-stroke that is likely to promote NPC migration and proliferation. Non-invasive imaging will greatly facilitate research on neurogenesis in the near future, as stressed by Aswendt et al. (2014), who systematically reviewed the requirements, advantages, and limitations of optical imaging as compared with existing imaging techniques.

In light of the insufficient neurorestorative capacity of endogenous neurogenesis, various studies aimed to support neurogenesis by cell transplantation. Due to their low immunogenicity and easy accessibility, mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) were by far most often used in experimental stroke studies followed by NPCs. Yet, recovery-promoting actions can be achieved by various cell sources, as shown in the present research topic for amniotic fluid-derived stem cells, which protect the brain against ischemic injury (<u>Tajiri et al., 2014</u>). Yet, several open questions and pitfalls still have to be overcome to enable the translation of stem cell therapy from bench to https://assignbuster.com/editorial-stem-cells-and-progenitor-cells-inischemic-strokefashion-or-future/ bedside. These include the differentiation, fate and safety of transplanted cells as well as the contamination of grafted cells with feeder cells that could also pose a significant hazard to the recipient, as described by <u>lkegame et al.</u> (2014) and <u>Molcanyi et al. (2014)</u>. As neither endogenous nor exogenous adult stem cells are integrated into residing neural networks, it stands to reason whether or not transplantation of stem cells is mandatory for induction of neuroprotection. Indeed, recent evidence suggests that extracellular vesicles (e. g., exosomes) containing non-coding RNAs might be the biologically active mediator of stem cell-induced neuroprotection and brain plasticity (<u>Xin et al., 2014</u>). Extracellular vesicles might allow for evading cell-based safety issues. This concept deserves further in-depth evaluation in experimental systems and might offer itself for subsequent proof-of-concept studies in human stroke patients.

The vast majority of pre-clinical stroke studies were hitherto limited to adolescent, otherwise healthy rodents, which poorly reflects the clinical situation of elderly, multimorbid stroke patients. In order to analyze consequences of arterial hypertension, a particularly prevalent stroke risk factor, for responses to stem cell therapy, <u>Diederich et al. (2014)</u> evaluated effects of granulocyte-colony stimulating factor (G-CSF) and bone marrow derived mononuclear cells (BM-MNCs) in spontaneously hypertensive rats exposed to stroke (<u>Diederich et al., 2014</u>). In their study, the combined delivery of G-CSF and BM-MNCs was not superior to G-CSF alone. Most importantly, single treatment with BM-MNCs did not yield any therapeutic effect, in line with earlier data from this group (<u>Minnerup et al., 2014</u>). The evaluation of risk factors, such as arterial hypertension, will require https://assignbuster.com/editorial-stem-cells-and-progenitor-cells-inintensified research in the future. Beside co-morbidities, age-related changes of the cerebral microenvironment have a strong impact on post-stroke brain remodeling according to <u>Popa-Wagner et al. (2014)</u> who claim that the aged brain is not refractory to post-stroke plasticity after cell grafting. Yet, significant age-related changes have been identified, i. e., a higher vulnerability to ischemic insults, a reduced rate of neurogenesis, and a delayed initiation of neurological recovery, which should carefully be considered in the implementation of clinical proof-of-concept studies. In view of age-related specificities, the neonatal mammalian brain might provide a particularly suitable environment for cell transplantation studies according to <u>van Velthoven et al. (2014)</u>.

In the context of cell therapy, the selection of behavioral tests has repeatedly been criticized. Thus, it was objected that behavioral test batteries are optimized to detect functional neurological improvements, the significance of which under clinical conditions remains obscure. In a systematic study including as many as 12 motor-coordination and cognitive tests, <u>Doeppner et al. (2014)</u> now refute these criticisms, demonstrating consistent improvement of neurological function in response to NPC delivery across a large variety of tests. These data provide strong evidence regarding the potency of stem cells in experimental stroke settings, supporting the contributors' overall view that cell-based therapies have true potential for clinical translation. In light of a plethora of pre-clinical studies demonstrating successful post-stroke neurological recovery and brain remodeling after stem cell transplantation, first clinical trials have already been performed on small patient cohorts, as summarized by <u>Doeppner and Hermann (2014</u>). Promising data were obtained particularly following intravenous MSC delivery that until now, however, lack appropriate control groups. Stringent proof-ofconcept strategies including clear-defined goals, measures, and actions will now have to be implemented, which further bridge the gap between the laboratory bench and the clinical setting. With such information, controlled clinical proof-of-concept studies may then be scheduled providing ultimate proofs whether cell-based therapies are able to enhance neurological recovery post-stroke.

Conflict of Interest Statement

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

References

Adamczak, J. M., Schneider, G., Nelles, M., Que, I., Suidgeest, E., van der Weerd, L., et al. (2014). *In vivo* bioluminescence imaging of vascular remodeling after stroke. *Front. Cell. Neurosci.* 8: 274. doi: 10. 3389/fncel. 2014. 00274

Aswendt, M., Adamczak, J., and Tennstaedt, A. (2014). A review of novel optical imaging strategies of the stroke pathology and stem cell therapy in stroke. *Front. Cell. Neurosci.* 8: 226. doi: 10. 3389/fncel. 2014. 00226

Bacigaluppi, M., Pluchino, S., Peruzzotti-Jametti, L., Kilic, E., Kilic, U., Salani, G., et al. (2009). Delayed post-ischaemic neuroprotection following systemic

neural stem cell transplantation involves multiple mechanisms. *Brain* 132(Pt 8), 2239–2251. doi: 10. 1093/brain/awp174

Campbell, B. C., Mitchell, P. J., Kleinig, T. J., Dewey, H. M., Churilov, L., Yassi, N., et al. (2015). Endovascular Therapy for ischemic stroke with perfusionimaging selection. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 372, 1009–1018. doi: 10.

1056/NEJMoa1414792

Diederich, K., Schmidt, A., Beuker, C., Strecker, J. K., Wagner, D. C., Boltze, J., et al. (2014). Granulocyte colony-stimulating factor (G-CSF) treatment in combination with transplantation of bone marrow cells is not superior to G-CSF treatment alone after cortical stroke in spontaneously hypertensive rats. *Front. Cell. Neurosci.* 8: 411. doi: 10. 3389/fncel. 2014. 00411

Doeppner, T. R., Ewert, T. A., Tönges, L., Herz, J., Zechariah, A., ElAli, A., et al. (2012). Transduction of neural precursor cells with TAT-heat shock protein 70 chaperone: therapeutic potential against ischemic stroke after intrastriatal and systemic transplantation. *Stem Cells* 30, 1297–1310. doi: 10. 1002/stem. 1098

Doeppner, T. R., and Hermann, D. M. (2014). Stem cell-based treatments against stroke: observations from human proof-of-concept studies and considerations regarding clinical applicability. *Front. Cell. Neurosci.* 8: 357. doi: 10. 3389/fncel. 2014. 00357

Doeppner, T. R., Kaltwasser, B., Bähr, M., and Hermann, D. M. (2014). Effects of neural progenitor cells on post-stroke neurological impairment-a detailed

and comprehensive analysis of behavioral tests. *Front. Cell. Neurosci.* 8: 338. doi: 10. 3389/fncel. 2014. 00338

Goyal, M., Demchuk, A. M., Menon, B. K., Eesa, M., Rempel, J. L., Thornton, J., et al. (2015). Randomized assessment of rapid endovascular treatment of ischemic stroke. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 372, 1019–1030. doi: 10.

1056/NEJMoa1414905

Hermann, D. M., Peruzzotti-Jametti, L., Schlechter, J., Bernstock, J. D., Doeppner, T. R., and Pluchino, S. (2014). Neural precursor cells in the ischemic brain - integration, cellular crosstalk, and consequences for stroke recovery. *Front. Cell. Neurosci.* 8: 291. doi: 10. 3389/fncel. 2014. 00291

Ikegame, Y., Yamashita, K., Nakashima, S., Nomura, Y., Yonezawa, S., Asano,
Y., et al. (2014). Fate of graft cells: what should be clarified for development
of mesenchymal stem cell therapy for ischemic stroke? *Front. Cell. Neurosci.*8: 322. doi: 10. 3389/fncel. 2014. 00322

Machado, V. M., Morte, M. I., Carreira, B. P., Azevedo, M. M., Takano, J., Iwata, N., et al. (2015). Involvement of calpains in adult neurogenesis: implications for stroke. *Front. Cell. Neurosci.* 9: 22. doi: 10. 3389/fncel. 2015. 00022

Minnerup, J., Wagner, D. C., Strecker, J. K., Pösel, C., Sevimli-Abdis, S., Schmidt, A., et al. (2014). Bone marrow-derived mononuclear cells do not exert acute neuroprotection after stroke in spontaneously hypertensive rats. *Front. Cell. Neurosci.* 7: 288. doi: 10. 3389/fncel. 2013. 00288 Molcanyi, M., Mehrjardi, N. Z., Schäfer, U., Haj-Yasein, N. N., Brockmann, M., Penner, M., et al. (2014). Impurity of stem cell graft by murine embryonic fibroblasts - implications for cell-based therapy of the central nervous system. *Front. Cell. Neurosci.* 8: 257. doi: 10. 3389/fncel. 2014. 00257

Popa-Wagner, A., Buga, A. M., Doeppner, T. R., and Hermann, D. M. (2014). Stem cell therapies in preclinical models of stroke associated with aging. *Front. Cell. Neurosci.* 8: 347. doi: 10. 3389/fncel. 2014. 00347

Roll, L., and Faissner, A. (2014). Influence of the extracellular matrix on endogenous and transplanted stem cells after brain damage. *Front. Cell. Neurosci.* 8: 219. doi: 10. 3389/fncel. 2014. 00219

Tajiri, N., Acosta, S., Portillo-Gonzales, G. S., Aguirre, D., Reyes, S., Lozano, D., et al. (2014). Therapeutic outcomes of transplantation of amniotic fluidderived stem cells in experimental ischemic stroke. *Front. Cell. Neurosci.* 8: 227. doi: 10. 3389/fncel. 2014. 00227

van Velthoven, C. T., Gonzalez, F., Vexler, Z. S., and Ferriero, D. M. (2014). Stem cells for neonatal stroke- the future is here. *Front. Cell. Neurosci.* 8: 207. doi: 10. 3389/fncel. 2014. 00207

Xin, H., Li, Y., and Chopp, M. (2014). Exosomes/miRNAs as mediating cellbased therapy of stroke. *Front. Cell. Neurosci.* 8: 377. doi: 10. 3389/fncel. 2014. 00377