The science of racing against opponents: affordance competition and the regulatio...

Health & Medicine



The Regulation of Exercise Intensity Throughout The Years: A Focus On Understanding How To Pace a Time-Trial

In endurance sports, athletes are continuously required to make decisions about how and when to invest their limited energy resources over time to achieve the completion of one or multiple exercise tasks (Smits et al., 2014). This goal-directed regulation of the exercise intensity over an exercise bout has been defined as " pacing" (<u>Abbiss and Laursen, 2008</u>) and is widely recognized as an essential determinant for performance (<u>Edwards</u> and Polman, 2013). Research has focused on explaining how athletes pace their races and regulate their exercise intensity. Modeling studies have been conducted as early as in the 80's (<u>Van Ingen Schenau, 1980</u>, <u>1982</u>; <u>Van</u> Ingen Schenau and De Groot, 1983; Van Ingen Schenau et al., 1983, 1990; Van Ingen Schenau and Cavanagh, 1990) demonstrating that in terms of aerodynamics and power losses, a fast start strategy was optimal for timetrials shorter than 2 min. For the longer distances, an even-paced strategy fluctuating around average velocity was advisable (<u>Abbiss and Laursen</u>, <u>2008</u>). More recently, modeling studies explored optimal pacing in middledistance time-trials of about 2 min' duration (Hettinga et al., 2011, 2012) while collecting experimental evidence to support their outcomes in reality. The success of a fast start strategy was confirmed. Nevertheless, the studies provided food for thought regarding how fast a fast start should be, and if there would be differences between sports regarding optimal pacing. A subsequent experimental study demonstrated that indeed, pacing differs between sports (<u>Stoter et al., 2016</u>). When instructed to perform optimally with a fast start strategy, cyclists started explosively with a very fast first https://assignbuster.com/the-science-of-racing-against-opponentsaffordance-competition-and-the-regulation-of-exercise-intensity-in-head-tohead-competition/

quartile of the race. Skaters also started fast, but less explosive and spread their energy over a relatively fast first half of the race, with similar muscle fatigue levels compared to cycling at the finish line.

The above provides an example of how modeling studies supported by experimental studies can lead to new insights into pacing and the regulation of energy expenditure. And in addition, many experimental studies have been conducted, manipulating different pacing strategies since the nineties and onwards (Foster et al., 1993, 2003, 2004, 2005; De Koning et al., 2005, 2011), providing interesting insights into actual pacing outcomes. Based on these, as well as on observational studies exploring athletic behavior in competition, several theoretical frameworks have been proposed to understand the process of pacing. In 1996, the model of teleoanticipation was introduced (Ulmer, 1996) and further expanded upon in the central governor theory (<u>Noakes, 1997</u>, <u>2012</u>) providing the first larger context on how pacing might actually be regulated. The concept of teleoanticipation suggested that the execution of a task is regulated in an anticipatory way, in which a pre-planned strategy or template (<u>Foster et al., 2009</u>) for how the task should be performed is already formed before the start of the race, based on information of the endpoint; a feedback control system must exist, including a programmer that takes into consideration the finishing point of the race (<u>Ulmer, 1996</u>; <u>Noakes, 1997</u>). Since then, other theoretical frameworks were introduced (Marcora, 2008a, b; Edwards and Polman, 2013). However, it has only been very recently that the importance of decision-making aspects and the external environment was emphasized in

Page 4

the context of pacing (Renfree et al., 2014a; Smits et al., 2014). As a result, most previous models have not addressed athlete-environment interactions, and most experimental and modeling studies focused solely on time-trial exercise: racing against the clock. Yet most competitive sport are characterized by head-to-head competitions, where all contenders start at the same time and the winner of the event is the one who passes the finish line first. In head-to-head middle-distance and endurance competition, athlete-environment interactions are crucial in understanding the regulation of exercise intensity (<u>Smits et al., 2014</u>). Previously, we have proposed a framework based on the interdependence of perception and action, which allows us to incorporate, understand, and explore athletic behavior in more complex tactical pacing situations occurring when athletes need to respond to actions of their opponents (Smits et al., 2014). This ecological framework toward pacing argues that the external world provides the athlete with several social invitations for action (<u>Smits et al., 2014</u>). In the following, we will illustrate this concept by focusing on arguably the most important external factor present in competitive sports: the opponent. We will overview studies that have been conducted on racing against opponents, and discuss how these have contributed to understanding the science behind racing against opponents. Finally, we will further elaborate on our previously proposed ecological framework to understand pacing, tactics, behavioral responses, and decision-making of athletes when racing against opponents.

Page 5

Does An Opponent Make a Difference At All?

Indeed, the presence of an opponent has been shown to influence performance (<u>Hulleman et al., 2007</u>; <u>Peveler and Green, 2010</u>; <u>Bath et al.,</u> 2012; <u>Corbett et al., 2012</u>; <u>Stone et al., 2012</u>; <u>Lambrick et al., 2013</u>; <u>Tomazini et al., 2015</u>; <u>Williams et al., 2015a</u>, <u>b</u>; <u>Jones et al., 2016</u>; <u>Konings</u> <u>et al., 2016c</u>). In general, improved performance is seen during competitive running and cycling trials compared to individual or non-competitive trials (<u>Corbett et al., 2012</u>; <u>Stone et al., 2012</u>; <u>Tomazini et al., 2015</u>; <u>Williams et</u> <u>al., 2015a</u>, <u>b</u>; <u>Konings et al., 2016c</u>). However, the actual presence and perception of the opponent seems to be crucial. Even the prospect of a monetary incentive (\$100,-) did not improve 1500 m cycling performance when the " competitor" (i. e., best previous performance so far) was not visible during the trial (<u>Hulleman et al., 2007</u>).

Interestingly, the presence of a second runner did not improve 5-km running performance when the distance between the athlete and second runner was maintained at ~10 m during the time-trial (<u>Bath et al., 2012</u>). The perception of approaching or getting further behind your opponent might even be a crucial variable (<u>Meerhoff et al., 2014</u>). However, starting 1 min behind (chasing) or in front (being chased) of an opponent did not affect performance (<u>Peveler and Green, 2010</u>). Konings et al. (2016c) recently showed that also the actual behavior of the opponent affected pacing behavior (<u>Konings et al., 2016c</u>). That is, a faster starting opponent evoked a faster start than a slower starting opponent. The presence, as well as the behavior of an opponent affect the decision-making process and pacing

behavior of an athlete when competing in a race. Previous research has made several suggestions to explain why athletes perform better when an opponent is present. For example, an increased motivation (<u>McCormick et</u> <u>al., 2015</u>) and a change in attentional focus from internal to external aspects have been mentioned (<u>Williams et al., 2015a</u>). Experimentally, it was demonstrated that when an opponent is present, athletes were able to handle higher levels of peripheral fatigue (<u>Konings et al., 2016b</u>).

Also in the field, it was demonstrated that athlete-environment interactions affected athletic decisions and pacing behavior. Observational studies with large datasets and advanced statistics explored different competitive events involving head-to-head competition such as short track speed skating, rowing, running and cycling (Jones and Whipp, 2002; Paton and Hopkins, 2006 ; Dwyer et al., 2013 ; Hanley, 2013 , 2014 , 2015 ; Moffatt et al., 2014 ; Renfree et al., 2014b; Edwards et al., 2016; Konings et al., 2016a; Noorbergen et al., 2016). In all these studies, tactical components, such as favorable positioning, drafting, competing for the optimal line, and minimizing fall risk, seemed to influence decisions and draw athletes away from the energetically favorable strategies as would be performed in timetrial exercise. One could even lose a gold medal despite a higher average velocity due to adverse positioning wide on the bend (<u>Jones and Whipp</u>, <u>2002</u>), particularly in important events such as the Olympic Games and World Championships (Thiel et al., 2012; Renfree and St Clair Gibson, 2013). Only when an all-out strategy could be adopted from the beginning of the race, athletes adopted a comparable pacing strategy to time-trial sports and

modeling studies (<u>Hanon and Gajer, 2009</u>; <u>Noorbergen et al., 2016</u>). Interestingly, sports with a high beneficial effect of drafting behind your opponent (e. g., short-track speed skating, cycling) are characterized by a relatively slow development of the race (<u>Moffatt et al., 2014</u>; <u>Konings et al.,</u> <u>2016a</u>; <u>Noorbergen et al., 2016</u>). A remarkable exception is the relatively fast pace adopted in the elimination discipline in track cycling (<u>Dwyer et al.,</u> <u>2013</u>). This might be explained by the unique character of the discipline in which every two laps the last ranked competitor is eliminated.

In contrast, in competitive sports with a relatively low beneficial effect of drafting such as race walking or middle-distance and marathon running, (sub-)elite runners tend to adopt a pacing strategy in the beginning of the race that they cannot sustain until the end of the race (Hanley et al., 2011; Thiel et al., 2012; Hanley, 2013, 2014; Renfree and St Clair Gibson, 2013; <u>Deaner et al., 2015</u>). In addition, the slowdown was higher for men compared to women during marathons (<u>Deaner et al., 2015</u>). In the Oxford-Cambridge Boat race, in which being in second position has negative effects associated with being in the wake of the leading boat, increasing energy costs, a fast start strategy has been employed by all teams since 1890 (Edwards et al., 2016), even though energetically, an even paced strategy would be favorable (Van Ingen Schenau et al., 1983). The team in the lead after the first quartile of the race won the race in 81% of the cases. It thus seems that the possibility of drafting, and the magnitude of associated energy-saving effects of drafting, is an important determinant for pacing behavior and tactical decision-making. It has been proposed that pacing

against opponents can be seen as collective behavior (<u>Renfree et al., 2015</u>) in which indeed, drafting benefits are a crucial determinant for behavior. Finally, in certain situations athletes may even decide to cooperate rather than compete with their opponents (<u>Hanley, 2015</u>).

All these examples based on experimental and observational data have demonstrated that racing against an opponent is different from riding a timetrial: balancing tactical (dis)advantages against the energetically optimal distribution pace is required to perform optimally. This is demonstrating the need for a theoretical framework incorporating athlete–(social pacing) environment interactions.

Perception-Action and Athlete-Pacing Environment Mutuality

In the following, a framework (based on ecological psychology and first introduced in <u>Smits et al., 2014</u>) is outlined that allows us to incorporate, understand and explore athletic behavior in the more complex tactical pacing situations occurring when athletes need to respond to actions of their opponents.

Since the mechanization of the worldview in the Seventeenth century, mindbody and man-environment dualism have dominated the scientific disciplines (e. g., Lombardo, 1987; Reed, 1996). The separation of mind and body that ensued has had huge consequences for the way in which scientists have conceptualized cognition and how it relates to pacing and decision-making in the regulation of exercise intensity. Most important, the environment in

which sport behavior takes place became conceived of as meaningless, https://assignbuster.com/the-science-of-racing-against-opponentsaffordance-competition-and-the-regulation-of-exercise-intensity-in-head-tohead-competition/ consisting merely of matter in motion (see e. g., <u>Neisser, 1967</u>). This ultimately led scientists to become interested in hypothetical constructs like mental sensations, memory, and information processing (the basics of cognitive psychology). These processes have now become more valuable to researchers than the experience of the concrete and real events and behaviors that actually take place in the environment.

In response, in the late 1970's Gibson formulated what would become the foundations of ecological psychology (<u>Gibson, 1977</u>, <u>1979</u>; <u>Reed</u>, <u>1996</u>; <u>Gibson and Pick</u>, <u>2000</u>). This is a theoretical perspective "…in which the psychological experiences and activities of persons and animals are placed firmly at the center of our field" (<u>Reed</u>, <u>1996</u>, p. 6). It is an approach that has two mutualities at its core: (i) that of athlete and environment, and (ii) that of perception and action. Both these mutuality's come together in the concept of affordances: the opportunities for action that are presented to us by the environment in which we perform. Affordances are meaningful and real relations between athletes and their environments that are perceived directly. They are defined relative to the action-capabilities of an athlete, and allow for prospective control of actions. What is important for understanding decision-making in pacing is that they are dynamic. All (sport) behavior is regulated relative to affordances (for a full account, see <u>Fajen et al.</u>, <u>2009</u>; <u>Barsingerhorn et al.</u>, <u>2012</u>).

Affordances can be characterized as invitations for action from the environment (<u>Withagen et al., 2012</u>). In the context of sport, the environment of an athlete consists of invitations from objects, places and

events, and—important for the current perspective—other people, relevant for that athlete. Affordances become available and dissipate, and allow the athlete to make trade-offs between choosing to persist in a given behavior (e. g., remain on current pace, or not respond to an action of the opponent) or switching to a different one (speed up or slow down, or follow the actions of an opponent or even overtake him/her). In this way, the performance environment surrounds athletes with a multitude of invitations for action. It is up to the athlete to act upon certain affordances, and not on others. This leads to the notions of affordance based decision-making and the affordance-

competition hypothesis (<u>Cisek, 2007</u>; <u>Cisek and Kalaska, 2010</u>).

Traditionally, decision-making in natural behavior is interpreted as a sequential process in which the selection of a particular behavior (keeping at current pace, speeding up, slowing down, overtake, etc.) occurs before the behavior is specified, or coded by the brain, and to be executed by the body. The theory of affordances proposes a radical departure from this line of reasoning, and suggests that the selection and the specification of behavior are essentially the same dynamic process (<u>Cisek and Kalaska, 2010</u>; <u>Barsingerhorn et al., 2012</u>). The affordance competition hypothesis describes how the interactions between an individual's needs, action capabilities, and the environment provide for the specification of potential affordances (and related actions). From this viewpoint, decision-making should be understood as emerging from specification of simultaneously available affordances, and the competition between them (<u>Smits et al., 2014</u>). Similarly, in understanding how organisms choose between affordances in

Page 10

situations in which different affordances can be utilized, Reed (<u>Reed, 1993</u>) posited that intentional patterns of organization emerge in situations in which organisms have a real choice of behavior. Following a Darwinian line of reasoning, Reed argued that intentions: " are ' species' that emerge out of competition among perceptual and action processes for utilizing affordances" (<u>Reed, 1993</u>, p. 65; see also <u>Withagen et al., 2012</u>). A further extensive overview of research that has examined the affordancecompetition-hypothesis in neuropsychological and neurophysiological research can be found in <u>Cisek and Kalaska (2010)</u>.

Opponents as Social Invitations for Action

Head-to-head competition takes place in a complex performance environment. Complex, in this context, refers to the notion that the ongoing modulation of behavior during head-to-head competition is embedded in a complex system that comprises the athlete, opponents, as well as a large number of other interacting components (<u>Araújo et al., 2006</u>; <u>Araújo and</u> <u>Davids, 2009</u>). Following the idea of athlete-environment mutuality, the complex performance environment allows athletes to continuously modify ongoing behavior or pre-planned race strategies, on the basis of—and resulting from the competition between—the affordances that are available to them. This includes opportunities for action that are constrained by factors internal to the athlete and for instance dictated by the athlete's energy systems (fatigue (<u>Konings et al., 2016b</u>) and pain (<u>Mauger, 2014</u>; <u>Astokorki and Mauger, 2017</u>). It also includes opportunities for action presented by the athlete's material and technology (such as distance and

speed information available from external devices Boya et al., 2014 ; Smits et al., 2016). But most importantly for our current argument, there are affordances presented by the social environment, such as opponents in a competition or race (<u>Konings et al., 2016c</u>). We propose that opponents present social affordances; social invitations for behavior. In racing against opponents, three categories of social affordances can be identified (see also Fajen et al., 2009). Firstly, there are affordances for opponent(s), that is, what opportunities for action are available for opponent(s)? And even, does the perceiving and acting athlete present an opponent with affordances? For example: if an athlete starts very fast, it is likely that he or she "invites" their opponent to start faster than (s)he would when riding alone (Konings et al., 2016c). Secondly, there are affordances of an opponent: What actions does an opponent invite the perceiving and acting athlete to do? Related to drafting opportunities for example, decisions are shaped by the opportunities opponents offer in terms of energy efficiency when staying behind them. Another example is overtaking: the decision to overtake is dependent on the actions and proximity of the opponents, an interesting aspect for further research (<u>Al et al., 2016</u>; <u>de Jong et al., 2016</u>; <u>Hettinga et al., 2016</u>). Finally, there are affordances for *joint action*. These are the opportunities for action available to a group or system as a whole. There are likely to be differences between pacing behaviors in situations where pacing is construed or imposed, for instance through the use of a pace-setter or rabbit in track-and field running, and those instances where pacing behavior is more " organic." The collective behavior patterns as those described to emerge in peloton formations, such as peloton phase transitions, phase

symmetry, peloton divisions, and between-rider distances (<u>Trenchard et al.,</u> <u>2014</u>; <u>Renfree et al., 2015</u>) are related to affordances for joint action.

Implications, Recommendations, and Conclusions

To conclude, we have seen how opponents influence performance and make a difference to the pacing strategies that are enacted. A framework is presented that provides an alternative way of understanding decisionmaking regarding the regulation of exercise intensity in social contexts. An approach, rooted in the affordance-competition hypothesis as described in <u>Smits et al. (2014)</u> is put forward. Perception-action and athlete-environment interactions are a crucial factor in understanding the regulation of exercise intensity in head-to-head middle-distance and endurance competition. Decision-making is the actualization of affordances, and a result of the competition between simultaneously available affordances. Decisions regarding how to expend energy over the race are based on relationships between internal factors such as the physiological/biomechanical capacity of the athlete and external factors such as opponents. For example: Is the athlete able to accelerate fast enough to overtake competitors? How does fatigue impact on the decision to accelerate?

Decision-making, conceptualized as resulting from the competition between affordances, implies that not all affordances presented at a certain moment in time—however effective they may seem—are actualized. In the competition between affordances, only certain survive. For instance, a faststarting (group of) cyclists might afford other cyclists a similar fast start.

With experience and training, an athlete can learn to discover other

affordances, for instance that a fast-starting (group of) cyclists requires an alternative response, because of the negative effects it can have on pacing. This resisting of certain affordances in competition might be difficult for the athlete, but it is an important skill to learn with regard to pacing. In a similar vein, not all affordances invite. As argued by <u>Withagen et al. (2012</u>, p. 255): " Although (experienced) affordances can have the potential to invite a certain activity, the vast majority of affordances do not. [...] a single object generally affords multiple behaviors to an individual, and not all of these affordances invite."

When in competition, opponents present a multitude of affordances that influence motivation, attentional focus (perception), the ability to tolerate fatigue and pain, positioning, drafting, falls risk and collective behavior. The presented framework explains that athletes' decision-making cannot and should not be understood in the independent understanding of these aspects. Rather, decision-making, and modification of ongoing behavior can only be properly understood in the context of the simultaneous availability of multiple affordances (related to opponents, fatigue, positioning, etc.) and the competition between them. For future research into the effect of opponents on the regulation of exercise intensity it is therefore advised to understand opponents in the context of the *social affordances* that they provide and the changes they invite in the ongoing behavior of athletes. At the same time, internal aspects are crucial, and we need to understand how and to what extent internal and external factors interact. Studies of competitive behavior in the field are crucial here, but also elegantly designed experiments

Page 15

manipulating both external factors (such as athlete behavior) as well as internal aspects (such as fatigue).

Author Contributions

FH and GP contributed to conception and design of the work, drafted it and revised it critically for important intellectual content. All authors (FH, MK, GP) contributed to the final draft and have approved the final version of the manuscript, agree to be accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved. All persons designated as authors qualify for authorship, and all those who qualify for authorship are listed.

Conflict of Interest Statement

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

References

Abbiss, C. R., and Laursen, P. B. (2008). Describing and understanding pacing strategies during athletic competition. *Sport Med.* 38, 239–252. doi: 10. 2165/00007256-200838030-00004

Al, M., Konings, M. J., de Jong, R., and Hettinga, F. J. (2016). *Overtaking Behaviour in Elite and Sub-Elite 1500 m Short Track Speed Skaters* . Vienna: Book of Abstract European College of Sport Sciences.

Araújo, D., and Davids, K. (2009). Ecological approaches to cognition and action in sport and exercise: ask not only what you do, but where you do it? *Int. J. Sport Psychol.* 40, 5–37. Retrieved from: http://www. ijsp-online. com/

Araújo, D., Davids, K., and Hristovski, R. (2006). The ecological dynamics of decision making in sport. *Psychol. Sport Exerc.* 7, 653–676. doi: 10. 1016/j. psychsport. 2006. 07. 002

Astokorki, A. H. Y., and Mauger, A. R. (2017). Tolerance of exercise-induced pain at a fixed rating of perceived exertion predicts time trial cycling performance. *Scand. J. Med. Sci. Sport.* 27, 309–317. doi: 10. 1111/sms. 12659

Barsingerhorn, A., Zaal, F. T., Smith, J., and Pepping, G.-J. (2012). On possibilities for action: the past, present and future of affordance research. *Avant* 3, 55–69. Retrieved from: http://avant. edu. pl/en/

Bath, D., Turner, L. A., Bosch, A. N., Tucker, R., Lambert, E. V., Thompson, K. G., et al. (2012). The effect of a second runner on pacing strategy and RPE during a running time trial. *Int. J. Sports Physiol. Perform.* 7, 26–32. doi: 10. 1123/ijspp. 7. 1. 26

Boya, M., Foulsham, T., Hettinga, F. J., Parry, D., Williams, E. L., Jones, H. S., et al. (2014). *Differences between Experienced and Novice Cyclists in Information Seeking, Selection and Utilization during 10 Mile Time Trials*. London: Book of Abstracts Serious Sports Conference. Cisek, P. (2007). Cortical mechanisms of action selection: the affordance competition hypothesis. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. London* 362, 1585–1599. doi: 10. 1098/rstb. 2007. 2054

Cisek, P., and Kalaska, J. F. (2010). Neural mechanisms for interacting with a world full of action choices. *Annu. Rev. Neurosci.* 33, 269–298. doi: 10. 1146/annurev. neuro. 051508. 135409

Corbett, J., Barwood, M. J., Ouzounoglou, A., Thelwell, R., and Dicks, M. (2012). Influence of competition on performance and pacing during cycling exercise. *Med. Sci. Sports Exerc.* 44, 509–515. doi: 10. 1249/MSS. 0b013e31823378b1

de Jong, R., Konings, M. J., Al, M., and Hettinga, F. J. (2016). *The Positioning Behind One's Forerunner in the Final Stages of the Race Distinguishes Elite and Sub-elite Short Track Speed Skaters*. Vienna: Book of Abstract European College of Sport Sciences.

De Koning, J. J., Foster, C., Lampen, J., Hettinga, F. J., and Bobbert, M. F. (2005). Experimental evaluation of the power balance model of speed skating. *J. Appl. Physiol.* 98, 227–233. doi: 10. 1152/japplphysiol. 01095. 2003

De Koning, J. J., Foster, C., Lucía, A., Bobbert, M. F., Hettinga, F. J., and Porcari, J. P. (2011). Using modeling to understand how athletes in different disciplines solve the same problem: swimming versus running versus speed skating. *Int. J. Sports Physiol. Perform.* 6, 276–280. doi: 10. 1123/ijspp. 6. 2. 276

Deaner, R. O., Carter, R. E., Joyner, M. J., and Hunter, S. K. (2015). Men are more likely than women to slow in the marathon. *Med. Sci. Sports Exerc.* 47, 607–616. doi: 10. 1249/MSS. 00000000000000432

Dwyer, D. B., Ofoghi, B., Huntsman, E., Rossitto, D., McMahon, C., and Zeleznikow, J. (2013). The elimination race in track cycling: patterns and predictors of performance. *J. Sci. Cycl.* 2, 6–12. Retrieved from: http://www. jsc-journal. com

Edwards, A. M., and Polman, R. C. (2013). Pacing and awareness: brain regulation of physical activity. *Sports Med.* 43, 1057–1064. doi: 10. 1007/s40279-013-0091-4

Edwards, A. M., Guy, J. H., and Hettinga, F. J. (2016). Oxford and Cambridge boat race: performance, pacing and tactics between 1890 and 2014. *Sports Med.* 46, 1553–1562. doi: 10. 1007/s40279-016-0524-y

Fajen, B. R., Riley, M. A., and Turvey, M. T. (2009). Information, affordances, and the control of action in sport. *Int. J. Sport Psychol.* 40, 79–107. Retrieved from: http://www. ijsp-online. com/

Foster, C., De Koning, J. J., Hettinga, F. J., Lampen, J., Dodge, C., Bobbert, M. F., et al. (2004). Effect of competitive distance on energy expenditure during simulated competition. *Int. J. Sports Med.* 25, 198–204. doi: 10. 1055/s-2003-45260

Foster, C., De Koning, J. J., Hettinga, F. J., Lampen, J., La Clair, K. L., Dodge,
C., et al. (2003). Pattern of energy expenditure during simulated
competition. *Med. Sci. Sports Exerc.* 35, 826–831. doi: 10. 1249/01. MSS.
0000065001. 17658. 68

Foster, C., Green, M. A., Snyder, A. C., and Thompson, N. N. (1993). Physiological responses during simulated competition. *Med. Sci. Sports Exerc.* 25, 877–882. doi: 10. 1249/00005768-199307000-00018

Foster, C., Hendrickson, K. J., Peyer, K., Reiner, B., de Koning, J. J., Lucia, A., et al. (2009). Pattern of developing the performance template. *Br. J. Sports Med.* 43, 765–769. doi: 10. 1136/bjsm. 2008. 054841

Foster, C., Hoyos, J., Earnest, C. P., and Lucía, A. (2005). Regulation of energy expenditure during prolonged athletic competition. *Med. Sci. Sports Exerc.* 37, 670–675. doi: 10. 1249/01. MSS. 0000158183. 64465. BF

Gibson, E. J., and Pick, A. D. (2000). *An Ecological Approach to Perceptual Learning and Development*. New York, NY: Oxford University Press.

Gibson, J. J. (1977). "The concept of affordances," in *Perceiving, Acting, Knowing*, eds R. Shaw and J. Bransford (Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum), 67–82.

Gibson, J. J. (1979). *The Ecological Approach to Visual Perception*. Boston, MA: Houghton Mifflin.

Hanley, B. (2013). An analysis of pacing profiles of world-class racewalkers. *Int. J. Sports Physiol. Perform.* 8, 435–441. doi: 10. 1123/ijspp. 8. 4. 435

Hanley, B. (2014). Senior men's pacing profiles at the IAAF World Cross Country Championships. J. Sports Sci. 32, 1060-1065. doi: 10. 1080/02640414. 2013. 878807

Hanley, B. (2015). Pacing profiles and pack running at the IAAF World Half Marathon Championships. J. Sports Sci. 33, 1189-1195. doi: 10. 1080/02640414. 2014. 988742

Hanley, B., Smith, L. C., and Bissas, A. (2011). Kinematic variations due to changes in pace during men's and women's 5 km road running. Int. J. Sports Sci. Coach. 6, 243-252. doi: 10. 1260/1747-9541. 6. 2. 243

Hanon, C., and Gajer, B. (2009). Velocity and stride parameters of worldclass 400-meter athletes compared with less experienced runners. J. *Strength Cond. Res.* 23, 524–531. doi: 10. 1519/JSC. 0b013e318194e071

Hettinga, F. J., De Koning, J. J., Hulleman, M., and Foster, C. (2012). Relative importance of pacing strategy and mean power output in 1500-m self-paced cycling. Br. J. Sports Med. 46, 30-35. doi: 10. 1136/bjsm. 2009. 064261

Hettinga, F. J., De Koning, J. J., Schmidt, L., Wind, N., MacIntosh, B. R., and Foster, C. (2011). Optimal pacing strategy: from theoretical modelling to reality in 1500-m speed skating. Br. J. Sports Med. 45, 30-35. doi: 10. 1136/bjsm. 2009. 064774

Hettinga, F. J., Konings, M. J., Al, M., and de Jong, R. (2016). Overtaking behavior in Elite 1500 m short track speed skating competitions. *Med. Sci.* Sports Exerc. 48, 330. doi: 10. 1249/01. mss. 0000485998. 50809. c5

Hulleman, M., De Koning, J. J., Hettinga, F. J., and Foster, C. (2007). The effect of extrinsic motivation on cycle time trial performance. *Med. Sci. Sports Exerc.* 39, 709–715. doi: 10. 1249/mss. 0b013e31802eff36

Jones, A. M., and Whipp, B. (2002). Bioenergetic constraints on tactical decision making in middle distance running. *Br. J. Sports Med.* 36, 102–104. doi: 10. 1136/bjsm. 36. 2. 102

Jones, H. S., Williams, E. L., Marchant, D. C., Sparks, S. A., Bridge, C. A., Midgley, A. W., et al. (2016). Deception has no acute or residual effect on cycling time trial performance but negatively effects perceptual responses. *J. Sci. Med. Sport* 19, 771–776. doi: 10. 1016/j. jsams. 2015. 12. 006

Konings, M. J., Noorbergen, O. S., Parry, D., and Hettinga, F. J. (2016a). Pacing behaviour and tactical positioning in 1500 m short-track speed skating. *Int. J. Sports Physiol. Perform.* 11, 122–129. doi: 10. 1123/ijspp. 2015-0137

Konings, M. J., Parkinson, J., Micklewright, D., Zijdewind, I., and Hettinga, F. J. (2016b). *Willingness to Tolerate Higher Levels of Peripheral Fatigue Might Explain the Performance Improvement When Riding Against Opponents*. Vienna: Book of Abstract European College of Sport Sciences.

Konings, M. J., Schoenmakers, P. P., Walker, A., and Hettinga, F. J. (2016c). The behavior of an opponent alters pacing decisions in 4-km cycling time trials. *Physiol. Behav.* 158, 1–5. doi: 10. 1016/j. physbeh. 2016. 02. 023 Lambrick, D., Rowlands, A., Rowland, T., and Eston, R. (2013). Pacing strategies of inexperienced children during repeated 800 m individual timetrials and simulated competition. *Pediatr. Exerc. Sci.* 25, 198–211. doi: 10. 1123/pes. 25. 2. 198

Lombardo, T. J. (1987). *The Reciprocity of Perceiver and Environment: The Evolution of James, J. Gibson's Ecological Psychology*. Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.

Marcora, S. M. (2008a). Do we really need a central governor to explain brain regulation of exercise performance? *Eur. J. Appl. Physiol.* 104, 929–931. doi: 10. 1007/s00421-008-0818-3

Marcora, S. M. (2008b). Is peripheral locomotor muscle fatigue during endurance exercise a variable carefully regulated by a negative feedback system? *J. Physiol.* 586, 2027–2028. doi: 10. 1113/jphysiol. 2008. 152470

Mauger, A. R. (2014). Factors affecting the regulation of pacing: current perspectives. *J. Sport. Med.* 5, 209–214. doi: 10. 2147/OAJSM. S38599

McCormick, A., Meijen, C., and Marcora, S. M. (2015). Psychological determinants of whole-body endurance performance. *Sport. Med.* 45, 997–1015. doi: 10. 1007/s40279-015-0319-6

Meerhoff, L., De Poel, H. J., and Button, C. (2014). How visual information influences coordination dynamics when following the leader. *Neurosci. Lett.* 582, 12–15. doi: 10. 1016/j. neulet. 2014. 08. 022

Moffatt, J., Scarf, P., Passfield, L., McHale, I. G., and Zhang, K. (2014). To lead or not to lead: analysis of the sprint in track cycling. J. Quant. Anal. Sports 10, 161-172. doi: 10. 1515/jgas-2013-0112

Neisser, U. (1967). Cognitive Psychology . New York, NY: Appleton, Century, & Crofts.

Noakes, T. D. (1997). Challenging beliefs: ex Africa semper aliquid novi. Med. Sci. Sports Exerc. 29, 571-590. doi: 10. 1097/00005768-199705000-00001

Noakes, T. D. (2012). Fatigue is a brain-derived emotion that regulates the exercise behavior to ensure the protection of whole body homeostasis. Front. Physiol. 3: 82. doi: 10. 3389/fphys. 2012. 00082

Noorbergen, O. S., Konings, M. J., Elferink-Gemser, M. T., Micklewright, D., and Hettinga, F. J. (2016). Pacing and tactical positioning in 500 and 1000m short-track speed skating. Int. J. Sports Physiol. Perform. 11, 742-748. doi: 10. 1123/ijspp. 2015-0384

Paton, C. D., and Hopkins, W. G. (2006). Variation in performance of elite cyclists from race to race. Eur. J. Sport Sci. 6, 25-31. doi: 10. 1080/17461390500422796

Peveler, W. W., and Green, M. (2010). The effect of extrinsic factors on simulated 20-km time-trial performance. J. Strength Cond. Res. 24, 3265-3269. doi: 10. 1519/JSC. 0b013e3181b2c817

Reed, E. S. (1993). "The intention to use a specific affordance: a framework for psychology," in Development in Context: Acting and Thinking in Specific Environments, eds R. Wozniak and K. Fisscher (Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates), 45-75.

Reed, E. S. (1996). *Encountering the World: Toward an Ecological Psychology* . New York, NY: Oxford University Press.

Renfree, A., and St Clair Gibson, A. (2013). Influence of different performance levels on pacing strategy during the Women's World Championship marathon race. Int. J. Sports Physiol. Perform. 8, 279–285. doi: 10. 1123/ijspp. 8. 3. 279

Renfree, A., Crivoi do Carmo, E., Martin, L., and Peters, D. M. (2015). The influence of collective behavior on pacing in endurance competitions. *Front. Physiol.* 6: 373. doi: 10. 3389/fphys. 2015. 00373

Renfree, A., Martin, L., Micklewright, D., and St Clair Gibson, A. (2014a). Application of decision-making theory to the regulation of muscular work rate during self-paced competitive endurance activity. Sport. Med. 44, 147-158. doi: 10. 1007/s40279-013-0107-0

Renfree, A., Mytton, G. J., Skorski, S., and St Clair Gibson, A. (2014b). Tactical considerations in the middle distance running events at the 2012 Olympic games: a case study. Int. J. Sports Physiol. Perform. 9, 362–364. doi: 10. 1123/ijspp. 2013-0020

Smits, B. L., Pepping, G.-J., and Hettinga, F. J. (2014). Pacing and decision making in sport and exercise: the roles of perception and action in the

regulation of exercise intensity. *Sport. Med.* 44, 763–775. doi: 10. 1007/s40279-014-0163-0

Smits, B. L., Polman, R. C., Otten, E., Pepping, G.-J., and Hettinga, F. J. (2016). Cycling in the absence of task-related feedback: effects on pacing and performance. *Front. Physiol.* 7: 348. doi: 10. 3389/fphys. 2016. 00348

Stone, M. R., Thomas, K., Wilkinson, M., Jones, A. M., St Clair Gibson, A., and Thompson, K. G. (2012). Effects of deception on exercise performance: implications for determinants of fatigue in humans. *Med. Sci. Sports Exerc.* 44, 534–541. doi: 10. 1249/MSS. 0b013e318232cf77

Stoter, I. K., MacIntosh, B. R., Fletcher, J. R., Pootz, S., Zijdewind, I., and Hettinga, F. J. (2016). Pacing strategy, muscle fatigue and technique in 1500 m speed skating and cycling time-trials. *Int. J. Sports Physiol. Perform.* 11, 337–343. doi: 10. 1123/ijspp. 2014-0603

Thiel, C., Foster, C., Banzer, W., and De Koning, J. J. (2012). Pacing in Olympic track races: competitive tactics versus best performance strategy. *J. Sports Sci.* 30, 1107–1115. doi: 10. 1080/02640414. 2012. 701759

Tomazini, F., Pasqua, L. A., Damasceno, M. V., Silva-Cavalcante, M. D., De Oliveira, F. R., Lima-Silva, A. E., et al. (2015). Head-to-head running race simulation alters pacing strategy, performance, and mood state. *Physiol. Behav.* 149, 39–44. doi: 10. 1016/j. physbeh. 2015. 05. 021

Trenchard, H., Richardson, A., Ratamero, E., and Perc, M. (2014). Collective behavior and the identification of phases in bicycle pelotons. *Phys. A Statist. Mech. Appl*. 405, 92–103. doi: 10. 1016/j. physa. 2014. 03. 002

Ulmer, H. (1996). Concept of an extracellular regulation of muscular metabolic rate during heavy exercise in humans by psychophysiological feedback. *Experientia* 52, 416–420. doi: 10. 1007/BF01919309

Van Ingen Schenau, G. J. (1980). Some fundamental aspects of the biomechanics of overground versus treadmill locomotion. *Med. Sci. Sports Exerc.* 12, 257–261. doi: 10. 1249/00005768-198024000-00005

Van Ingen Schenau, G. J. (1982). The influence of air friction in speed skating. *J. Biomech.* 15, 449–458. doi: 10. 1016/0021-9290(82)90081-1

Van Ingen Schenau, G. J., and Cavanagh, P. (1990). Power equations in endurance sports. *J. Biomech.* 23, 865–881. doi: 10. 1016/0021-9290(90)90352-4

Van Ingen Schenau, G. J., and De Groot, G. (1983). On the origin of differences in performance level between elite male and female speed skaters. *Hum. Mov. Sci.* 2, 151–159. doi: 10. 1016/0167-9457(83)90013-1

Van Ingen Schenau, G. J., de Groot, G., and Hollander, A. P. (1983). Some technical, physiological and anthropometrical aspects of speed skating. *Eur. J. Appl. Physiol. Occup. Physiol.* 50, 343–354. doi: 10. 1007/BF00423240

Van Ingen Schenau, G. J., De Koning, J. J., and De Groot, G. (1990). A simulation of speed skating performances based on a power equation. *Med. Sci. Sports Exerc.* 22, 718–728. doi: 10. 1249/00005768-199010000-00026

Williams, E. L., Jones, H. S., Sparks, S. A., Marchant, D. C., Midgley, A. W., and McNaughton, L. R. (2015a). Competitor presence reduces internal attentional focus and improves 16. 1km cycling time trial performance. *J. Sci. Med. Sport* 18, 486–491. doi: 10. 1016/j. jsams. 2014. 07. 003

Williams, E. L., Jones, H. S., Sparks, S. A., Midgley, A. W., Marchant, D. C., Bridge, C. A., et al. (2015b). Altered psychological responses to different magnitudes of deception during cycling. *Med. Sci. Sports Exerc.* 47, 2423– 2430. doi: 10. 1249/MSS. 000000000000694

Withagen, R., de Poel, H. J., Araújo, D., and Pepping, G.-J. (2012). Affordances can invite behavior: reconsidering the relationship between affordances and agency. *New Ideas Psychol.* 30, 250–258. doi: 10. 1016/j. newideapsych. 2011. 12. 003