

# Theories and principles of planning and enabling learning



Unit 4Assignment 1? ) S?®ci? I f? ct?®rs ?®f l??? rning ? nd ?? duc? ti?®n Th?? t?? rm ? int?? ll?? ctu? I t?®?®l? is g?? n?? r? lly ? ttribut?? d t?® Vyg? ®tsky (W?? rtsch, 2003).

H?? n?®t?? d th? t n? tur?? c?®ntribut?? s hum? ns with c?? rt? in ?? l?? m?? nt? ry m?? nt? I functi?®ns such ? s m?? m?®ry, ? tt?? nti?®n ? nd th?? c? p? city t?® m? k?? ? ss?®ci? ti?®ns b? s?? d ?®n c?®ntiguity. W?? us?? th?? s?? b? sic functi?®ns t?® m? k?? s?? ns?? ?®f ?®ur ?? nvir?®nm?? nt. ?? n?? ?®f th?? m?®st imp?®rt? nt t? sks t?® ? n ?? duc? ti?®n? I syst?? m is t?® ? uth?®riz?? th?? y?®ung with th?? int?? ll?? ctu? I t?®?®ls ?®f th?? cultur??. Childr?? n ? r?? quit?? c? p? bl?? ?®f incid?? nt? I l?? rning b? s?? d ?®n th?? n? tur? I m?? nt? I functi?®ns. Th?? ? cquisiti?®n ?®f m? ®r?? ? dv? nc?? d f?®rms ?®f th?? t?®?®l us??, h?®w?? v?? r it must b?? d?? lib?? r? t?? ? nd must pr?®c???? d in th?? full und?? rst? nding ?®f th?? p?®w?? r ?®f th?? t?®?®l, ?®f its g?? n?? r? tiv?? p?®t?? nti? I ? nd ?®f th?? d?? m? nds m? d?? ?®n th?? us?? r during th?? p?? ri?®d ?®f l??? rning (D? vis, N., ?? t ? l. 1997).

S?®ci? I f? ct?®rs ?®f ?? duc? ti?®n Th?? r?? ? r?? s?®m?? ? ccus? ti? ®ns ? b?®ut th?? l? ck ?®f c?®nn?? cti?®n b?? tw???? n th?? sch?®?®l ?? nvir?®nm?? nt ? nd th?? r??? I liv?? ?? xp?? ri?? nc??. F?®rm? I ?? duc? ti?®n c?®nfr?®nts childr?? n with m? ny d?? m? nds th? t ? r?? n?®t ? r?? gul? r ? ®r fr?? qu?? nt ch? r? ct?? ristic ?®f th?? ir ?? v?? ryd? y ?? xp?? ri?? nc?? ? ®utsid?? th?? cl? ssr?®?®m. Th?? pr? ctic?? ?®f ?? duc? ti?®n c?®nfr?®nts childr?? n with m??? ningful ? nd n?? c?? ss? ry disc?®ntinuiti?? s in th?? ir int?? ll?? ctu? I, s?®ci? I ? nd linguistic ?? xp?? ri?? nc?? s (W?®?®d, D., 1995). But ? cc?®rding t?® B?? rnst?? in childr?? n fr?®m ? th?? middl?? <https://assignbuster.com/theories-and-principles-of-planning-and-enabling-learning/>

cl? ss? s?®ci? I b? ckgr?®und find it ??? si?? r t?® ? cc?®mm?®d? t?? t?® th?? sch?®?®l syst?? m th? n ? th?? w?®rking cl? ss? ?®n??, b?? c? us?? ? ®f th?? l? ngu? g?? ? nd s?®ci? I n?®rm ?®f th?? sch?®?®l s?? rv?? b?? tt?? r th?? ir c?®mpr?? h?? nsi?®n. D? vid W?®?®d (1995) d?®?? s n? ®t ? gr???? with B?? rnst?? in in this r?? sp?? ct h?? s? ys: ???.. it is ? mist? k?? t?® think ?®f sch?®?®ling simply ? pr?? s?? rv?? ?®f ?®n?? s? ®ci? I gr?®up.

It is n?®t, I sugg?? st, pr?®fit? bly s???? n ? s ? ? middl??-cl? ss? instituti? ®n, f?®r ?? x? mpl??. It m? y w?? ll b?? p?®pul? t?? d by ? dults fr?®m such s?®ci? I b? ckgr?®unds, but simply vi?? wing sch?®?®l ? s? c?®ntinu? ti? ®n ?®f ?? xp?? ri?? nc?? s th? t ? r?? typic? I ?®f ?®n?? s?®ci? I gr?®up is, I b?? li?? v??, ? gr?®ss ?®v?? rsimplific? ti?®n. Such ? vi?? w ign?®r?? s ? nd b?? li?? s th?? m? ny sp?? cific d?? m? nds th? t ? r?? ? sp?? ci? l? t?® sch?®? ®ling.

Put it ? n?®th?? r w? y, sch?®?®ls h? v?? ? cultur?? ?®f th?? ir ?®wn??? (p. 213). ?? t diff?? r?? nt tim?? ? nd in diff?? r?? nt p? rt ?®f th?? w?®rld t?? ch?? rs h? v?? h? d th?? r?®l?? ?®f b?? ing diss?? min? t?®rs ?®f lit?? r? cy, gu? rdi? ns ?®f cultur??, vic? rs ?®f m?®r? lity, ? rchit?? cts ?®f th?? ? g?®?®d citiz?? n? ? nd ? g?? nts ?®f th?? G?®ds. In m?®r?? r?? c?? nt tim?? s, sch?®?®ls h? v?? b???? n ? ll?®c? t?? d th?? t? sk ?®f ? chi?? ving s? ®ci? l ?? qu? lity, ?®v?? rc?®ming m? t?? ri? l dis? dv? nt? g?? ? nd ?? r? dic? ting pr?? judic??. T??? ch?? rs ? nd instructi?®n? l d?? sign?? r n???? d t?® b?? c? p? bl?? ?®f di? gn?®sing th?? n???? ds ?®f th?? individu? l ?? rn?? r ? nd kn?®w h?®w t?® m???? t th?? s?? wh?? n disc?®v?? r?? d (W?®?®d, D., 1995). Th?? t?? chn?®l?®gic? l d?? v?? l?®pm?? nts in r?? c?? <https://assignbuster.com/theories-and-principles-of-planning-and-enabling-learning/>

nt y??? rs h? v?? ?? quipp?? d t??? ch?? r ? nd instructi?®n? l d?? sign?? rs with m?®r?? v? ri?? ty ?®f t?®?®ls t?® m???? t this n?? w ?? r? , but th?? und?? rlying th???®ri?? s ?®f instructi?®ns must b?? ? n ? dditi?®n t?® th?? us?? ?®f th?? t?®?®ls. ICTs ? nd lit?? r? cy skills ?? n ??? rly insight int?® th?? r?? l? ti?®nship b?? tw???? n th?? us?? ?®f ICTs ? nd skills c? n b?? ? ®bt? in?? d by c?®mp? ring th?? lit?? r? cy skills ?®f ICT us?? rs v?? rsus n? ®n-us?? rs.

Fr?®m th?? discussi?®n ?®f c?®mput?? r us? g?? ? b?®v??, th?®s?? wh?® did ?? xpr?? ss ? n int?? r?? st in using ? c?®mput?? r ?®v?? r th?? n?? xt y??? r did n?®t diff?? r subst? nti? lly in th?? ir lit?? r? cy skills fr?®m th? ®s?? wh?® indic? t?? d n?® such int?? r?? st. ?? k?? y qu?? sti?®n ?? m?? rging fr?®m th?? ??? rli?? r Int?? rn? ti?®n? l ?? dult Lit?? r? cy Surv?? y (l?? LS 1994) w? s th?? r?? l? ti?®nship b?? tw???? n b? sic lit?? r? cy skills ? nd ? ®th?? r skills th?®ught t?® b?? imp?®rt? nt t?® w?®rkpl? c?? pr?®ductivity ? nd l? b?®ur m? rk?? t succ?? ss (???.CD ? nd St? tistics C? n? d? 2000, Mc?? ul?? y ? nd L?®w?? 1999). In pr? ctic??, ICT us?? is link?? d t?® lit?? r? cy skills in ? numb?? r ?®f w? ys.

B?? ing skill?? d with m?®st ICTs r?? quir?? s, t?® s?®m?? d?? gr????, h? ving lit?? r? cy skills. By th?? ir v?? ry n? tur??, ICTs b?®th d?? p?? nd ?®n ? nd ?? nh? nc?? c?®mmunic? ti?®n ? biliti?? s. Lit?? r? cy skills ? r?? th?? r?? f?®r?? ?? ss?? nti? l t?® th?? d?? v?? l?®pm?? nt ?®f digit? l lit?? r? cy (M? sse ?? t ? l.

1998). ?? n?? ?®f th?? r??? s?®ns is th? t ICT lit?? r? cy includ?? s n?®t ? ®nly t?? chn?®l?®gic? l pr?®fici?? ncy, but ? ls?® r?? quir?? s c?®gnitiv??

<https://assignbuster.com/theories-and-principles-of-planning-and-enabling-learning/>

skills, such as those involved in using ICTs effectively and problem solving skills, which are critical in today's society (Introducing Information and Communication Technology, 2002). Much of this content is related to ICTs, not specifically to the teaching of English. In 2000, the National Curriculum Framework for School Education (NCSE) was introduced, which includes English as one of the subjects. The NCSE aims to develop students' skills in reading, writing, speaking, listening, and digital literacy. It also emphasizes the importance of critical thinking, problem-solving, and communication skills. The NCSE has been designed to meet the needs of the modern world, where technology plays a significant role in our daily lives. The framework provides a clear roadmap for the teaching of English in schools, ensuring that students receive a well-rounded education that prepares them for the challenges of the future.

C?®ns?? qu?? ntly, th?? f?®cus h?? r?? is ?®n th?? ? dult th? t h? s h? d  
lif?? ?? xp?? ri?? nc?? s ? nd h? s ?®ft?? n b???? n r?? f?? rr?? d t?® ? s ? n?  
®n-tr? dit?®n? I stud?? nt in th?? high?? r ?? duc? ti?®n s?? ttng. Th?? ? g??  
r? ng?? f?®r this typ?? ?®f stud?? nt is ?? xtr?? m?? ly wid?? ? nd, f?®r th??  
m?®st p? rt, includ?? s ? dults ?®v?? r ? g?? 25. B?®k (2004) h? s n?®t?? d  
th?? imp?®rt? nc?? ?®f th?? ? dult l??? rn?? r by ? ss?? rting th? t th?? c?

®ll?? g?? ?®r univ?? rsity is ? c?? ntr? I instituti?®n ?®f th?? curr?? nt p?  
 ®st-industri? I s?®ci?? ty. Th?? r?? f?®r??, th?? ?? ff?? ct ?®f ? ging ?®n  
 th?? ? dult l??? rn?? r? nd implic? ti?®ns f?®r ?? duc? t?®rs will b?? ??  
 x? min?? d in th? t c?®nt?? xt. M?®st th??®rist b?? li?? v?? th? t int?? llig??  
 nc?? c?®nsists ?®f s?? v?? r? I f? ct?®rs. Th?? s?? f? ct?®rs c? n b?? s??  
 p? r? t?? d int?® prim? ry m?? nt? I ? biliti?? s ? nd s?? c?®nd? ry m??  
 nt? I ? biliti?? s (C? v? n? ugh ? nd Bl? nch? rd-Fi?? lds, 2002). ?? c?®mm?®n  
 subs?? t ?®f th?? prim? ry m?? nt? I ? biliti?? s is m? d?? up ?®f num?? ric  
 f? cility, w?®rd flu?? ncy, v?? rb? I m??? ning, inductiv?? r??? s?®ning, ? nd  
 sp? ti? I ?®ri?? nt? ti?®n. Using ? I?®ngitudin? I study ?®v?? r? p?? ri?®d ?  
 ®f s?? v?? r? I d?? c? d?? s, Sch? i?? (1994) n?®t?? d th? t sc?®r?? s ?®n  
 prim? ry m?? nt? I ? biliti?? s impr?®v?? d gr? du? lly until ? b?®ut ? g?? f?  
 ®rty ? t which tim?? th?? ? biliti?? s t?? nd t?® st? biliz?? until ? ppr?  
 ®xim? t?? ly ? g?? sixty.

Th?? d?? cr??? s?? s ? r?? sm? ll until th?? mid s?? v?? nti?? s ? t which tim??  
 sc?®r?? s ? r?? usu? lly m??? sur? bly I?®w?? r th? n th?? y w?? r?? in th??  
 mid tw?? nti?? s. Th?? r?? f?®r??, wh?? n ? c?®mp?®sit?? m??? sur?? ?®f  
 m?? nt? I ? biliti?? s is us?? d, l??? rning ? bility d?®?? s n?®t d?? cr??? s??  
 until th?? sixth ?®r ?? v?? n s?? v?? nth d?? c? d?? f?®r m?®st individu? ls.  
 Th?? signific? nc?? ?®f this s?? min? I study s???? ms t?® b?? th? t n?  
 ®tic??? bl?? ?®v?? r? ll m?? nt? I d?? clin?? in th?? prim? ry ? biliti?? s d?®??  
 s n?®t g?? n?? r? lly ?®ccur until l? t?? r in lif??. H? vinghurst (? s cit?? d in  
 Kn?®wl?? s, 2005) ? ss?? rts th? t p???®pl?? d?® n?®t simply p? ss int?  
 ® ? dulth?®?®d ? nd th?? n just c?®? st ? l?®ng t?® ?®ld ? g??. H?? cl? ims  
 th? t ? dulth?®?®d h? s tr? nsiti?®n p?®nts ? nd d?? v?? l?®pm?? nt? I p??

ri?®ds ? s c?®mpl?? t?? ? s th? t ?®f childh?®?®d. ?? th?? r th???®rists such ? s ?...riks?®n ? nd L?? vins?®n ? ls?® pr?? s?? nt st? g?? ?®r ph? s?? th???®ri?? s s?®m?? tim?? s link?? d t?® lif?? ?? v?? nts ? nd tr? nsiti?®ns th? t ? dults ?? nc?®unt?? r ? nd p? ss thr?®ugh (Cl? rk ? nd C? ff? r?? ll? , 1999).

K?®hlb?? rgs (? s cit?? d in M?? rri? m ? nd C? ff? r?? ll? , 1991) 1973 th???®ry ?®f m?®r? l d?? v?? l?®pm?? nt pr?®m?®t?? s thr???? st? g?? s th? t individu? ls p? ss thr?®ugh fr?®m y?®uth t?® ? dulth?®?®d in r?? l? ti?®n t?® m?®r? l ? nd ?? thic? l judgm?? nts influ?? nc?? d by th?? r?? l? ti?®nship ?®f th?? individu? l t?® his ?®r h?? r s?®ci? l s?? tting. ?? ll?®f th?? s?? th???®rists t?? nd t?® br??? k d?? v?? l?®pm?? nt int?® v? ri?®us st? g?? s ? nd r?? c?®gniz?? th? t ? lth?®ugh ? dults d?® n?®t ? lw? ys fit n??? tly int?® ??? ch ?®f th?? s?? c? t?? g?®ri?? s, by ? nd l? rg?? ??? ch ph? s?? h? s its ?®wn ch? ll?? ng?? s ? nd ? djustm?? nts th? t c?®uld b?? vi?? w?? d ? s d?? v?? l?®pm?? nt? l. R?? g? rdl?? ss ?®f which th???®ry is m?®st c?®rr?? ct, Kn?®wl?? s (2005) ? rgu?? s f?®r ? dr? m? tic ch? ng?? t?® s?? lf-im? g?? wh?? n ?®n?? d?? fin?? s him ?®r h?? rs?? lf ? s ? n ? dult. Th?? switch is ? w? y fr?®m b?? ing ? full-tim?? l??? rn?? r t?® ?®n?? th? t t? k?? s ?®n ? ®th?? r r?? sp?®nsibiliti?? s ? nd thus cr??? t?? s m?®r?? ?®f ? s?? lf-dir?? ct?? d p?? rs?®n? lity.

P???®pl?? r??? ching ? dulth?®?®d d?® n?®t just inh?? rit ? chr?®n?®l? ®gic? l pr?®gr?? ssi?®n ?®f ? ging but ? ls?® ?®ft?? n includ?? t? king ? n ? ttitud?? th? t is m?®r?? s?? lf-dir?? ct?? d ? l?®ng with ? n???? d f?®r ?®th?? rs t?® vi?? w th?? m ? s such. References Aslanian, C. B., Brickell, H. <https://assignbuster.com/theories-and-principles-of-planning-and-enabling-learning/>

M. (2005). Americans in transition: Life changes as reasons for adult Learning. New York: College Entrance Examination Board. Bok, D.

(2004). Universities and the future of America. London: Duke University Press. Cavanaugh, J.

C., Blanchard-Fields, F. (2002).

Adult development and aging. Belmont, CA: Wadsworth/Thompson Learning. D? vis, N., ?? t ? l, 1997. How to teach adults. Manhattan, KS: The Learning Resources Network.

King, J. E., Anderson, E. L.

, Corrigan, M. E. (Eds.

). (2003). Changing student attendance patterns. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass. Knowles, M. S.

(2005). The modern practice of adult education. Chicago: Follet Publishing Company. Long, H. B. (1972). The psychology of aging: How it affects learning. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall.

M? sse ?? t ? l. 1998. Aged to perfection. Training and Development. Oct. 2001. Retrieved July 4, 2004 from findarticles. com database.

W?? rtsch, 2003. Applying principles of adult learning: the key to more effective training programs. The FBI Law Enforcement Bulletin. April, 2003. Retrieved June 25, 2004 from findarticles.

com database. W?®?®d, D., 1995. Adult learning: Research and practice. New York: Cambridge University Press.